



## Formate dehydrogenases for CO<sub>2</sub> utilization

**Calzadiaz-Ramirez, Liliana; Meyer, Anne S.**

*Published in:*  
Current Opinion in Biotechnology

*Link to article, DOI:*  
[10.1016/j.copbio.2021.07.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2021.07.011)

*Publication date:*  
2022

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
Calzadiaz-Ramirez, L., & Meyer, A. S. (2022). Formate dehydrogenases for CO<sub>2</sub> utilization. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 73, 95-100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2021.07.011>

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 899987



# Formate dehydrogenases for CO<sub>2</sub> utilization

Liliana Calzadiaz-Ramirez and Anne S Meyer

New measures for reducing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> are urgently needed. Formate dehydrogenases (FDHs, EC 1.17.1.9) catalyze conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to formate (HCOO<sup>-</sup>) via a reverse catalytic ability. This enzymatic conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> represents a novel first step approach for biocatalytic carbon capture and utilization targeting both CO<sub>2</sub> reduction and substitution of petrochemical-based production of important commodity chemicals. To achieve robust and efficient FDH catalyzed CO<sub>2</sub> conversion for sustainable large-scale implementation, it is critical to focus on the efficacy of the electron donor, enzyme stabilization, and on how the desired reverse FDH reactivity can be enhanced. Recent advances include the realization that NADH, the most common natural cofactor for reverse FDH catalysis, is an inefficient electron donor for FDH catalyzed CO<sub>2</sub> conversion. Improved understanding of the redox reaction details and structure-function relations of both metal-dependent and metal-independent FDHs provides the foundation for achieving rational technological advancements to promote enzymatic CO<sub>2</sub> utilization.

## Address

Protein Chemistry and Enzyme Technology Section, DTU Bioengineering, Department of Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Technical University of Denmark, 2800, Kgs Lyngby, Denmark

Corresponding author: Meyer, Anne S ([asme@dtu.dk](mailto:asme@dtu.dk))

**Current Opinion in Biotechnology** 2021, 73:95–100

This review comes from a themed issue on **Energy biotechnology**

Edited by **Jonathan Dordick** and **Jungbae Kim**

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2021.07.011>

0958-1669/© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## Introduction

Although the rate of increase of global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil resource utilization has slowed recently, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels are currently higher than ever, close to 420 ppm, and continue to increase [1]. Clearly, natural photosynthesis processes are unable to capture the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and current strategies for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction are mainly emission mitigation strategies [2]. Utilizing CO<sub>2</sub> as a carbon source for production of high-in-demand chemicals represents a double gain by both replenishing fossil-fuel processes and simultaneously assisting in mitigating CO<sub>2</sub> accumulation and climate change.

Formic acid/formate (HCOOH/HCOO<sup>-</sup>) is a consolidated commodity chemical having an annual value of about 780 million US\$. Formic acid is moreover considered a potential liquid fuel compound and a feedstock for green synthesis of a range of ‘petro’chemicals including methanol in the new bioeconomy [3,4,5<sup>\*\*</sup>]. Formate dehydrogenases (FDHs, EC 1.17.1.9) naturally catalyze oxidation (dehydrogenation) of HCOO<sup>-</sup> to CO<sub>2</sub> (HCOO<sup>-</sup> ⇌ CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sup>+</sup> + 2e<sup>-</sup>). The enzymatic reaction uses various natural cofactors as electron acceptors, notably nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD<sup>+</sup>) and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP<sup>+</sup>). FDHs also readily catalyze the reverse one-step CO<sub>2</sub> reduction to HCOO<sup>-</sup> under mild reaction conditions, that is, CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sup>+</sup> + 2e<sup>-</sup> ⇌ HCOO<sup>-</sup> using NADH (or NADPH) as electron donor. Whether the actual substrate for this reverse FDH catalyzed reaction is dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> or hydrated species such as HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> has been unclear, but recent electrochemical experiments have confirmed that CO<sub>2</sub> is the substrate in the reverse FDH catalysis [6]. FDHs are widespread in Nature and found in a broad range of anaerobic and aerobic bacteria as well as in some yeasts and plants. (For the sake of completion, the existence of another group of formate dehydrogenases, categorized as EC 1.2.2.1, that require cytochrome as acceptor, should be mentioned. This latter type is currently not considered suitable for technical CO<sub>2</sub> conversion).

## CO<sub>2</sub> reduction with FDHs

FDHs are divided into two types: (i) metal-dependent enzymes that contain molybdenum (Mo) or tungsten (W) in their active site and (ii) metal-independent enzymes that function without relying on metal-driven redox catalysis [7–9]. In general, the metal-dependent FDHs favor catalyzing the reverse CO<sub>2</sub> reduction reaction relatively more than the metal-independent FDHs. The redox potential for the enzymatic reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to COO<sup>-</sup> is  $E^{\circ\prime} = -420$  mV, but both types of FDHs mainly catalyze HCOO<sup>-</sup> oxidation, hence their dehydrogenase designation. The difference in catalytic reaction reversibility is due to differences in the catalytic reaction mechanism and the enzyme structure governing the energy reorganization during catalysis. However, the detailed understanding of how the reverse and the forward catalytic reactions are controlled is incomplete. NADH and NADPH are expensive and unstable [10<sup>\*\*</sup>,11,12] and unfit for large-scale technical applications, despite being the natural and hence the most frequently applied electron donors (cofactors) in FDH catalysis studies. FDHs have been intensely studied for cofactor regeneration (NAD(P)<sup>+</sup> ⇌ NAD(P)H) in various oxidoreductase-driven synthesis reactions [13].

## Electrochemical reduction by alternative cofactors

During reaction, the metal-dependent FDHs transfer electrons within the enzyme through a FeS mediated electron transfer chain. Yet, for the actual FDH catalyzed conversion of  $\text{CO}_2$  to  $\text{HCOO}^-$  a balanced regeneration of reducing equivalents is required to sustain the continued enzymatic reaction. The metal-dependent FDHs accept a broader spectrum of electron donors than metal-independent FDHs, but appear to be more sensitive to oxygen than the metal-independent FDHs [10\*\*].

Although the redox potential of  $E^{\circ'} = -320$  mV for the reaction  $\text{NADH} \rightleftharpoons \text{NAD}^+ + 2e^-$  presents a thermodynamically favorable half-reaction to FDH catalyzed  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction, NADH is both expensive and unstable, and exhibits poor kinetic rates in practical *in vitro* reactions. For this reason, a range of artificial cofactors as well as direct supply of electrons via electrodes have been explored for electron donation to promote fast and efficient FDH catalyzed  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction for technical applications. Methylviologen ( $\text{MV}^{2+}$ ), also known as 1,1-dimethyl-4,4'-bipyridinium dichloride [14,15] (and its various 2,2'-bipyridinium salt derivatives (1,1'-dimethyl (DM), trimethylene (TB), ethylene (DB), and tetramethylene (QB)) has been shown to be a particularly efficient electron vehicle for FDH catalyzed conversion of  $\text{CO}_2$  to  $\text{HCOO}^-$  [16,17]. Hence, the FDHs derived from *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* and *Acetobacterium woodii* exhibit higher turnover rates ( $k_{cat}$ ) and higher specificity constants ( $k_{cat}/K_M$ ) than what is achieved with other electron donors in other systems, and notably higher than with NADH (Figure 1). However, the available data provide a comparison of the inherently more efficient metal-dependent FDHs with metal-independent FDHs from *Candida boidinii*, *Candida methylca*, *Chaetomium thermophilum*, *Myceliophthora thermophila*, and *Thiobacillus* sp. *KNK65MA* (Figure 1). An interesting comparison, however, is that the catalytic efficiency in *A. woodii* FDH is 13-fold higher with  $\text{MV}^{2+}$  than with  $\text{H}_2$  (Figure 1), corroborating the significant impact of the electron donor selection for reverse FDH kinetics.

## Stabilization by enzyme immobilization

In addition to high enzymatic turnover rates ( $k_{cat}$ ) providing fast  $\text{CO}_2$  conversion and high catalytic specificity constants ( $k_{cat}/K_M$ ) supporting efficient  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction rates at low  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations, enzyme robustness is a paramount prerequisite for large scale implementation of FDHs for  $\text{CO}_2$  utilization. Insight into the structural features of FDHs are not yet mature enough to guide enzyme stabilization by rational protein engineering. Improved recombinant expression, especially of the metal-dependent FDHs will pave the way for production of high enzyme yields for further structural studies in turn allowing robustness improvements by rational enzyme engineering. Meanwhile experimental enzyme

immobilization of metal-independent as well as metal-dependent FDHs has been deployed for stabilization, often combined with assessment of other electron donors than NADH or NADPH. From a kinetics point of view, immobilization of FDHs appears a particularly wise strategy because both the reactants and products of the FDH catalysis are small, supporting fast mass transfer, although efficient cofactor contact is an issue.

Covalent immobilization was used to immobilize *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* FdhAB FDH to chemically modified gold and low-density graphite electrodes. This technology allowed measuring high electrocatalytic currents by direct electron transfer for both  $\text{HCOO}^-$  oxidation and  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction, using electrostatic interactions to favor spatially advantageous immobilization of the enzyme [18]. Recent work has shown that FDH of *C. boidinii* immobilized covalently with mesoporous silica modified with glyoxyl groups, exhibited higher thermal stability than the free enzyme [19].


Immobilization of the FDH from *C. boidinii* by cross-linked enzyme aggregate (CLEA) technology using dextran polyaldehyde and glutaraldehyde as cross-linking reagents increased thermal stability of the enzyme 3.6 times compared to the free enzymes, but the activity of the CLEA-immobilized enzyme for  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction was only 13% of that of the free FDH. Glutaraldehyde supports have generally been widely studied for enzyme immobilization, but despite producing stable, covalent immobilization, the activity of the immobilized FDH is often vastly reduced compared to free enzyme [20,21]. Recently, the use of polyethyleneimine, which is a common modifier in the field of  $\text{CO}_2$  adsorption, with plenty of amine groups, low volatility and good chemical stability, has proven effective for FDH immobilization [22,23].

Direct electrocatalytic FDH mediated reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  to  $\text{C}_1$  compounds by supplying electrons to enzymes immobilized directly on an electrode has given promising results, and may be particularly useful for designing *in vitro* cascade reactions, for example, catalyzing conversion of  $\text{CO}_2$  to methanol via co-immobilization of several enzymes involving reverse FDH catalysis as the first step [24–27].

## FDH protein engineering

Most studies have been focused on engineering of bacterial and yeast FDHs to improve catalytic activity and chemical and thermal stability [28,29]. The main FDHs subjected to protein engineering enhancements have been on metal-independent FDHs derived from for example, *Pseudomonas* sp. 101, *Granulicella mallensis* MP5ACTX8, *Candida boidinii*, *Burkholderia stabilis* 15516, *Mycobacterium vaccae* N10, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, but initial protein engineering has also been done on the more complex metal-dependent FDHs from

Figure 1



Kinetic properties of FDH catalyzed CO<sub>2</sub> reduction for different types of electron donors, discriminated by color and shape of markers. The compared FDHs are: (M) metal-dependent (i.e. metal-containing) FDHs from: (Ec) *Escherichia coli*, (Po) *Pseudomonas oxilatus*, (Cn) *Cupriavidus necator*, (Dd) *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*, and (Aw) *Acetobacterium woodii*; (NM): Metal-independent FDHs from: (Mt) *Myceliophthora thermophila*, (Ts) *Thiobacillus* sp. KNK65MA, (Ct) *Chaetomium thermophilum*, (Cb) *Candida boidinii* and (Cm) *Candida methylca* (Figure adapted from Ref. [10\*\*]).

*Escherichia coli*, *D. vulgaris*, *Rhodobacter capsulatus*, and *Cupriavidus necator*. Besides the main aim to improve the catalytic properties, engineering providing changed cofactor specificity from NAD<sup>+</sup> to NADP<sup>+</sup> for improved cofactor regeneration has been of huge research interest [30–33]. In this regard, *Pseudomonas* sp. 101 FDH appears to be the most promising NADPH regenerator and determination of the crystal structure of this enzyme has been used to establish the main residues governing the cofactor specificity and active site conformation [34,35]. The high sequence similarity of the metal-independent FDHs now permit their structural comparison via homology modeling. In contrast, the structural details of metal-dependent FDHs, including the structural features and residues responsible for cofactor specificity, catalytic activity, and reaction direction specificity, are not yet fully elucidated, although recently reported structural data for the Mo-containing *R. capsulatus* FDH provide important new insight into the active site structure and notably the electron transfer pathways in this enzyme [36\*\*]. However, this new structural insight, achieved by cryo-electron microscopy, has in fact revealed an unexpected complexity by showing that the FdsD subunit is retained

as a subunit in the active enzyme, and that the main electron pathway takes place through five of the seven Fe–S clusters, and that the functional enzyme appears to exist as a heterotetrameric dimer that may interconnect the electron pathway in the enzyme [36\*\*]. Hence, despite this recent progress, targeted protein engineering of metal-dependent FDHs remains a huge challenge.

One of the few protein engineering attempts reported for FDHs aimed for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction, was to engineer the metal-free FDH from *C. thermophilum* (CtFDH) to enhance its CO<sub>2</sub> reduction activity through directed evolution. Three variants were identified (G93H/I94Y, G93H/I94R and R259C) which were characterized in presence of both aqueous CO<sub>2(g)</sub> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> [37,38\*,39,40]. The three variants exhibited up to three-fold higher turnover rate ( $k_{cat}$ ) than the wild-type (WT), but only the variant G93H/I94Y exhibited an improved specificity constant ( $k_{cat}/K_M$ ) for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction (2.8-fold improvement) [37,38\*,39]. Although it has recently been proven that a metal-dependent FDH (specifically a W-dependent FDH from *D. vulgaris*) indeed catalyzes reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> rather than HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> [6], it should be

mentioned that the metal-independent *C. thermophilum* FDH (CtFDH) has been claimed to catalyze conversion of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  to  $\text{HCOO}^-$ , and models of this enzyme shows that  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  fits the active site [40]. Likewise, the engineered variant G93H/I94Y of the CtFDH enzyme was reported to show a 5.4-fold increase in  $k_{cat}/K_M$  for  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  reduction compared to the WT [37,38\*,39,40]. However, due to the spontaneous interconversion between  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  in aqueous solution and the approximate  $pK_a$  of 6.4 of the reaction  $\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}^+$  it cannot be ruled out that the reaction kinetics reported for the enzymatic  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  conversion in reality concerned CtFDH catalyzed  $\text{CO}_2$  conversion to  $\text{HCOO}^-$ .

### FDHs for *in vivo* $\text{CO}_2$ utilization

Development of *in vivo* systems, meaning using of microorganisms to obtain specific commercial compounds such as methanol, certain organic acids (lactic and succinic) as well as L-alanine and L-serine from  $\text{CO}_2$  is a hot research area. However, the low solubility of  $\text{CO}_2$  in water and the lack of efficient  $\text{CO}_2$  assimilation pathways are challenging. Therefore,  $\text{HCOO}^-$  is favorably produced from  $\text{CO}_2$  using FDHs, and the advantage is that  $\text{HCOO}^-$  presents a more convenient C-storage compound than  $\text{CO}_2$  because it is a liquid. In addition, as a carbon source  $\text{HCOO}^-$  can be assimilated more efficiently than  $\text{CO}_2$  by microorganisms [41].

In order to prepare an engineered whole-cell biocatalyst for producing  $\text{HCOO}^-$ , the FDHs from *Clostridium carboxidovorans* (CcFDH), *Pyrococcus furiosus* (PffFDH), or *Methanobacterium thermoformicum* (MtFDH) have been attempted overexpressed in *E. coli* JM109. The strain overexpressing PffFDH was able to produce 44 mM of  $\text{HCOO}^-$  from sodium bicarbonate and gaseous hydrogen [42].

Despite the existence of native formatotrophs such as *Methylobacterium extorquens* that can grow with  $\text{HCOO}^-$  as sole carbon source, its growth rate is too slow for practical exploitation. Therefore, different metabolic pathways to support formatotrophic growth have been designed in different microorganisms through rational metabolic engineering, involving new enzymes and/or adaptive laboratory evolution. Notably, the reductive glycine pathway (rGlyP), which is a synthetic pathway for formate assimilation, has become promising after it was shown that an rGlyP engineered *E. coli* strain could grow under formatotrophic conditions [43\*\*]. Furthermore the full rGlyP was implemented in the bioplastic producer *C. necator* and formate assimilation to glycine through the core module of rGlyP was shown to work in *S. cerevisiae* [44,45]. The expression of FDHs to catalyze reduction of  $\text{CO}_2$  to  $\text{HCOO}^-$  through synthetic formate assimilation pathways thus appears as a budding strategy for  $\text{CO}_2$  reduction with simultaneous bioproduction of new products. In this regard, the production of methyl ketones,

isoprenoids and terpenes, isobutanol, alkanes and alkenes from  $\text{CO}_2$  using *C. necator* looks particularly promising [46].

### Conclusion

The high atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  levels are of crucial concern in relation to climate change and global warming and new strategies for  $\text{CO}_2$  utilization have to be developed. In this review, we defined the application of FDHs for conversion of  $\text{CO}_2$  to  $\text{HCOO}^-$  as a potential and promising strategy for  $\text{CO}_2$  utilization. By comparing the kinetic rates ( $k_{cat}$ ) and specificity constants ( $k_{cat}/K_M$ ) achieved for FDH catalyzed  $\text{CO}_2$  conversion we highlighted the improvements in catalytic conversion efficiency achievable by alternative electron donors and illustrated that NADH is in fact a poor electron donor for FDH catalysis. Immobilization of FDHs to electrodes can also optimize the FDH catalyzed  $\text{CO}_2$  conversion kinetics, and pave the way for using electricity as electron source for FDH catalyzed  $\text{CO}_2$  utilization. Such use will allow very large scale reactions and provide an option for  $\text{HCOO}^-$  production by use of excess electricity from for example, wind turbines. Although  $\text{HCOO}^-$  is a valuable base chemical, the application of FDHs for multi-enzymatic cascades to convert  $\text{CO}_2$  to various high-in-demand chemicals, including methanol, is of high interest; such reaction cascades support a dual gain, namely  $\text{CO}_2$  mitigation and substitution of current petrochemical processes (methanol is considered a top 10 'petrochemical'). Improved recombinant expression, deeper understanding of structural features of FDHs, notably of metal-dependent FDHs, and provision of robust enzymes and fast reactions via proper electron donor development, will pave the way for practical use of FDHs in enzymatic  $\text{CO}_2$  conversion processes.

### Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

### References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
  - of outstanding interest
1. <https://climate.nasa.gov/vital-signs/carbon-dioxide/>. [Accessed 17 May 2021].
  2. Aresta M, Dibenedetto A: **Reduction of the  $\text{CO}_2$  production**. In *The Carbon Dioxide Revolution: Challenges and Perspectives for a Global Society*. Edited by Aresta M, Dibenedetto A. Springer International Publishing; 2021:45-59.
  3. Gao W, Liang S, Wang R, Jiang Q, Zhang Y, Zheng Q, Xie B, Toe CY, Zhu X, Wang J *et al.*: **Industrial carbon dioxide capture and utilization: state of the art and future challenges**. *Chem Soc Rev* 2020, **49**:8584-8686.
  4. Alissandratos A, Easton CJ: **Biocatalysis for the application of  $\text{CO}_2$  as a chemical feedstock**. *Bellstein J Org Chem* 2015, **11**:2370-2387.

5. Yishai O, Lindner SN, Gonzalez de la Cruz J, Tenenboim H, Bar-Even A: **The formate bioeconomy**. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2016, **35**:1-9  
An insightful treatise suggesting an important role for formate in a renewable bioeconomy.
6. Meneghello M, Oliveira AR, Jacq-Bailly A, Pereira IAC, Léger C, Fourmond V: **Formate dehydrogenases reduce CO<sub>2</sub> rather than HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>**. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2021, **60**:9964-9967.
7. Jormakka M, Byrne B, Iwata S: **Formate dehydrogenase - a versatile enzyme in changing environments**. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2003, **13**:418-423.
8. Ferry JG: **Formate dehydrogenase**. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 1990, **87**:377-382.
9. Maia LB, Moura JGG, Moura I: **Molybdenum and tungsten-dependent formate dehydrogenases**. *J Biol Inorg Chem* 2015, **20**:287-309.
10. Nielsen CF, Lange L, Meyer AS: **Classification and enzyme kinetics of formate dehydrogenases for biomanufacturing via CO<sub>2</sub> utilization**. *Biotechnol Adv* 2019, **37**:107408  
A major review discussing the use of FDHs for CO<sub>2</sub> utilization and presenting a new gene-structure based classification scheme for metal-dependent FDHs.
11. Alissandratos A, Kim HK, Easton CJ: **Formate production through biocatalysis**. *Bioengineered* 2013, **4**:348-350.
12. Yang JY, Kerr TA, Wang XS, Barlow JM: **Reducing CO<sub>2</sub> to HCO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> at mild potentials: lessons from formate dehydrogenase**. *J Am Chem Soc* 2020, **46**:19438-19445.
13. Tishkov VI, Popov VO: **Catalytic mechanism and application of formate dehydrogenase**. *Biochemistry* 2004, **69**:1252-1267.
14. Sakai K, Kitazumi Y, Shirai O, Kano K: **Bioelectrocatalytic formate oxidation and carbon dioxide reduction at high current density and low overpotential with tungsten-containing formate dehydrogenase and mediators**. *Electrochem Commun* 2016, **65**:31-34.
15. Amao Y: **Formate dehydrogenase for CO<sub>2</sub> utilization and its application**. *J CO<sub>2</sub> Util* 2018, **26**:623-641.
16. Amao Y, Abe R, Shiotani S: **Effect of chemical structure of bipyridinium salts as electron carrier on the visible-light induced conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to formic acid with the system consisting of water-soluble zinc porphyrin and formate dehydrogenase**. *J Photochem Photobiol A Chem* 2015, **313**:149-153.
17. Amao Y: **Viologens for coenzymes of biocatalysts with the function of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction and utilization**. *Chem Lett* 2017, **46**:780-788.
18. Alvarez-Malmagro J, Oliveira AR, Gutiérrez-Sánchez C, Villajos B, Pereira IAC, Vélez M, Pita M, De Lacey AL: **Bioelectrocatalytic activity of W-formate dehydrogenase covalently immobilized on functionalized gold and graphite electrodes**. *ACS Appl Mat Interfaces* 2021, **13**:11891-11900.
19. Pietricola G, Tommasi T, Dosa M, Camelin E, Berruto E, Ottone C, Fino D, Cauda V, Piumetti M: **Synthesis and characterization of ordered mesoporous silicas for the immobilization of formate dehydrogenase (FDH)**. *Int J Biol Macromol* 2021, **177**:261-270.
20. Bolivar JM, Wilson L, Ferrarotti SA, Fernandez-Lafuente R, Guisán JM, Mateo C: **Evaluation of different immobilization strategies to prepare an industrial biocatalyst of formate dehydrogenase from *Candida boidinii***. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 2007, **40**:540-546.
21. Betancor L, López-Gallego F, Hidalgo A, Alonso-Morales N, Dellamora-Ortiz G, CesarMateo GDO, Fernández-Lafuente R, Guisán JM: **Different mechanisms of protein immobilization on glutaraldehyde activated supports: effect of support activation and immobilization conditions**. *Enzyme Microb Technol* 2006, **39**:877-882.
22. Kim YK, Lee SY, Oh BK: **Enhancement of formic acid production from CO<sub>2</sub> in formate dehydrogenase reaction using nanoparticles**. *RSC Adv* 2016, **6**:109978-109982.
23. Guo MY, Zhai TT, Wang CH, Meng ZH, Liu WF: **Immobilization of formate dehydrogenase on polyethyleneimine modified carriers for the enhancement of catalytic performance**. *Catal Commun* 2021, **149**:106259.
24. Majumdar P, Bera MK, Pant D, Patra S: **Enzymatic electrocatalysis of CO<sub>2</sub> reduction**. *Encyclopedia of Interfacial Chemistry: Surface Science and Electrochemistry*. 2018:1-13.
25. Li Y, Wen L, Tan T, Lv Y: **Sequential co-immobilization of enzymes in metal-organic frameworks for efficient biocatalytic conversion of adsorbed CO<sub>2</sub> to formate**. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 2019, **7**:1-13.
26. Luo J, Meyer AS, Mateiu RV, Pinelo M: **Cascade catalysis in membranes with enzyme immobilization for multi-enzymatic conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to methanol**. *New Biotechnol* 2015, **32**:319-327.
27. Marpani F, Pinelo M, Meyer AS: **Enzymatic conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to CH<sub>3</sub>OH via reverse dehydrogenase cascade catalysis: quantitative comparison of efficiencies of immobilized enzyme systems**. *Biochem Eng J* 2017, **127**:217-228.
28. Alekseeva AA, Serenko AA, Kargov IS, Savin SS, Kleymenov SY, Tishkov VI: **Engineering catalytic properties and thermal stability of plant formate dehydrogenase by single-point mutations**. *Protein Eng Des Sel* 2012, **25**:781-788.
29. Tishkov VI, Popov VO: **Protein engineering of formate dehydrogenase**. *Biomol Eng* 2006, **23**:89-110.
30. Hoelsch K, Sührer I, Heusel M, Weuster-Botz D: **Engineering of formate dehydrogenase: synergistic effect of mutations affecting cofactor specificity and chemical stability**. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2013, **97**:2473-2481.
31. Galkin AG, Kutsenko AS, Bajulina NP, Esipova NG, Lamzin VS, Mesentsev AV, Shelukho DV, Tikhonova TV, Tishkov VI, Ustinnikova TB et al.: **Site-directed mutagenesis of the essential arginine of the formate dehydrogenase active centre**. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2002, **1594**:136-149.
32. Châniqne AM, Parra LP: **Protein engineering for nicotinamide coenzyme specificity in oxidoreductases: attempts and challenges**. *Front Microbiol* 2018, **9**:194.
33. Serov AE, Popova AS, Fedorchuk VV, Tishkov VI: **Engineering of coenzyme specificity of formate dehydrogenase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae***. *Biochem J* 2002, **367**:841-847.
34. Calzadiaz-Ramirez L, Calvó-Tusell C, Stoffel GMM, Lindner SN, Osuna S, Erb TJ, Garcia-Borrás M, Bar-Even A, Acevedo-Rocha CG: **In vivo selection for formate dehydrogenases with high efficiency and specificity toward NADP<sup>+</sup>**. *ACS Catal* 2020, **10**:7512-7525.
35. Alekseeva AA, Fedorchuk VV, Zarubina SA, Sadykhov EG, Matorin AD, Savin SS, Tishkov VI: **The role of Ala198 in the stability and coenzyme specificity of bacterial formate dehydrogenases**. *Acta Naturae* 2015, **7**:60-69.
36. Radon C, Mittelstädt G, Duffus BR, Bürger J, Hartmann T, Mielke T, Teutloff C, Leimkühler S, Wendler P: **Cryo-EM structures reveal intricate Fe-S cluster arrangement and charging in *Rhodobacter capsulatus* formate dehydrogenase**. *Nat Commun* 2020, **11**:1912  
This paper discloses the first detailed structural information of a molybdenum-containing (metal-dependent) FDH.
37. Pala U, Yelmazer B, Çorbacıoğlu M, Ruuponen J, Valjakka J, Turunen O, Binay BY: **Functional effects of active site mutations in NAD<sup>+</sup>-dependent formate dehydrogenases on transformation of hydrogen carbonate to formate**. *Protein Eng Des Sel* 2018, **31**:327-335.
38. Koçdemir K, Şen F, Wedajo YA, Bilgici MÇ, Bayram M, Selçuk İ, Yılmaz B, Çakar MM, Aslan ES, Binay B: **Investigation new positions for catalytic activity of *Chaetomium thermophilum* and *Ceriporiopsis subvermispora* formate dehydrogenases**. *Biocatal Biotransform* 2020 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10242422.2020.1863951>  
Presentation of protein engineering data that provide new insight into the catalytic mechanism of two fungal metal-independent FDHs.

39. Çakar MM, Ruupunen J, Mangas-Sanchez J, Birmingham WR, Yildirim D, Turunen O, Turner NJ, Valjakka J, Binay B: **Engineered formate dehydro-genase from *Chaetomium thermophilum*, a promising enzymatic solution for biotechnical CO<sub>2</sub> fixation.** *Biotechnol Lett* 2020, **42**:2251-2262.
40. Aslan AS, Valjakka J, Ruupunen J, Yildirim D, Turner NJ, Turunen O, Binay B: ***Chaetomium thermophilum* formate dehydrogenase has high activity in the reduction of hydrogen carbonate (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) to formate.** *Protein Eng Des Sel* 2017, **30**:47-55.
41. Bang J, Ahn JH, Lee JA, Hwang CH, Kim GB, Lee J, Lee SY: **Synthetic formatotrophs for one-carbon biorefinery.** *Adv Sci* 2021 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/advs.202100199>.
42. Alissandratos A, Kim HK, Easton CJ: **Formate production through carbon dioxide hydrogenation with recombinant whole cell biocatalysts.** *Bioresour Technol* 2014, **164**:7-11.
43. Kim S, Lindner SN, Aslan S, Yishai O, Wenk S, Schann K: **Growth of *E. coli* on formate and methanol via the reductive glycine pathway.** *Nat Chem Biol* 2020, **16**:538-545  
This study shows the design of formatotrophic *E. coli*.
44. Claassens NJ, Bordanaba-Florit G, Cotton CAR, De Maria A, Finger-Bou M, Friedeheim L, Giner-Laguarda N, Munar-Palmer M, Newell W, Scarinci G *et al.*: **Replacing the Calvin cycle with the reductive glycine pathway in *Cupriavidus necator*.** *Metab Eng* 2020, **62**:40-41.
45. Gonzalez De La Cruz J, Machens F, Messerschmidt K, Bar-Even A: **Core catalysis of the reductive glycine pathway demonstrated in yeast.** *ACS Synth Biol* 2019, **8**:911-917.
46. Cotton CA, Claassens NJ, Benito-Vaquerizo S, Bar-Even A: **Renewable methanol and formate as microbial feedstocks.** *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2020, **62**:168-180.