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Abstract—A novel Duffing–Holmes type autonomous chaotic oscillator is described. In comparison with the well-known nonautonomous Duffing–Holmes circuit it lacks the external periodic drive, but includes two extra linear feedback subcircuits, namely a direct positive feedback loop, and an inertial negative feedback loop. In contrast to many other autonomous chaotic oscillators, including linear unstable resonators and nonlinear damping loops, the novel circuit is based on nonlinear resonator and linear damping loop in the negative feedback. SPICE simulation and hardware experimental investigations are presented. The Lyapunov exponents calculated from the rate equations confirm dynamical nature of chaotic oscillations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Based on a well-known forced oscillator given by the second-order Duffing–Holmes differential equation [1,2]

$$\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} - x + x^3 = a \sin \omega t.$$  (1)

Silva and Young [3,4] suggested a nonautonomous circuit providing broadband chaotic oscillation with a noise–like spectrum. Low-frequency version of the oscillator has been described in detail by Kandangath [5]. The circuit diagram of the Silva–Young oscillator is sketched in Fig. 1. Later it was employed for scientific research to demonstrate the effect of resonant perturbations for inducing chaos [6,7]. A simplified version of the Silva–Young circuit (Fig. 2) was used to test the control methods of unstable periodic orbits [8–10] and unknown unstable steady states [11] of nonlinear dynamical systems. The simplified oscillator is depicted in Fig. 2 and is described in detail elsewhere [12].

Figure 1. Silva–Young circuit: Kandangath’s implementation [5].
Figure 2. Nonautonomous Duffing–Holmes type oscillator [12].

The nonautonomous oscillator (Fig. 2) contains a single nonlinear positive feedback loop introduced by the resistor R3, two diodes, and the operational amplifier OA1. The external periodic drive is supplied from a sine wave oscillator.

In this paper, we suggest an autonomous oscillator which is not only a formal alternative to the classical nonautonomous Duffing–Holmes oscillator. The point is, that the externally driven chaotic oscillator has a sharp and 20 dB or more high peaks in the power spectrum at the drive frequency \( f_1 = \frac{\omega_1}{2\pi} \) and its higher harmonics [4]. While autonomous oscillator exhibits no peaks but essentially smoother spectrum. This feature may have an advantage in practical applications. The autonomous oscillator is given by the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= -bx - x^3 + kz, \\
\dot{z} &= \omega f (\dot{x} - z).
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

In comparison with the nonautonomous Duffing–Holmes equation the Eq. (2) lacks the external driving term \( A \sin(\omega_1 t) \). Instead it involves the third degree of freedom describing inertia of the first–order low-pass filter. Here \( \omega f \) is the cut–off frequency of the filter, \( k \) is the feedback coefficient. Eq. (2) is equivalent to a set of three first–order equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= y, \\
\dot{y} &= x - x^3 + by - kz, \\
\dot{z} &= \omega f (y - z).
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

We emphasize in Eq. (2) an opposite sign of the damping term compared to Eq. (1). The negative damping, \(-bx\) or \(+by\) in Eq. (3) yields additional spiral instability. In Section II we propose a specific electrical circuit implementing Eq. (3).

II. ELECTRICAL CIRCUIT

The autonomous oscillator (Fig. 3) lacks the external periodic drive, but includes two additional linear feedback loops. The circuit composed of the OA2 based stage and the resistor R5 introduce the positive feedback loop, specifically negative damping. While the circuit including the OA2–OA3 stages (note a capacitor C1 in the latter stage) and the resistor R8 compose the inertial negative feedback.

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

The circuit in Fig. 3 has been simulated using the ‘Electronics Workbench Professional’ software. The following element values have been used: \( L = 19 \text{ mH}, C = 470 \text{ nF}, C1 = 20 \text{ nF}, R = 20 \text{ \Omega}, R1 = 30 \text{ k\Omega}, R2 = 10 \text{ k\Omega}, R3 = 30 \text{ k\Omega}, R4 = 820 \text{ \Omega}, R5 = 75 \text{ k\Omega}, R6 = R7 = 10 \text{ k\Omega}, R8 = 20 \text{ k\Omega} \). The OA1, OA2 and OA3 are the LM741 type or similar operational amplifiers, the diodes are the 1N4148 type or similar general–purpose silicon devices. The results are presented in Figs. 4–7.
The autonomous oscillator has been built using the elements described in Section III, except $R_5 = 68 \, \text{k}\Omega$. Typical experimental results are presented in Figs. 8–11.

We have designed and built a novel third–order Duffing–Holmes type autonomous chaotic oscillator. In comparison with the common nonautonomous Duffing–Holmes oscillator the autonomous circuit has an internal positive feedback loop instead of an external periodic drive source. In addition, it is supplemented with an RC inertial damping loop providing negative feedback. The circuit has been investigated both numerically and experimentally. The main characteristics, including the time series, phase portraits, and power spectra have been calculated using the SPICE based software, also taken experimentally. Fairly good agreement between the simulation and the hardware experimental results is observed (Figs. 3–10). Some discrepancy (of about 10%) between the model and the hardware prototype, namely $R_5 = 75 \, \text{k}\Omega$ in the model (Figs. 3–6) and $R_5 = 68 \, \text{k}\Omega$ in the experimental circuit (Figs. 7–10) can be explained in the following way. The inductive element in the model is an ideal device in the sense that its $L = \text{const}$. Meanwhile the inductance of a real inductor, e.g. a coil wound on a ferrite toroidal core has a slight dependence on the current through it: $L = L(I)$.

We note that the structure of the proposed oscillator is rather different in comparison to many other third–order autonomous chaotic oscillators described so far. The basic unit of the RC Wien–bridge [13,14] and LC tank [15–17] based oscillators is the second-order linear unstable resonator. An additional degree of freedom required for chaos is introduced by supplementing the resonator with the first–order inertial nonlinear damping loop [13–17]. The same approach of building chaotic oscillators is used in higher order circuits [18–20] (some of the design principles are overviewed in a book chapter [21]). In contrast, the oscillator described in this paper contains a nonlinear unstable resonator and an inertial linear damping loop.

We do not show here the simulation results (the phase portraits, the Poincaré sections, the power spectra) from Eqs. (3) since all of them are very similar to the corresponding plots presented in Figs. (4–11). While the bifurcation diagram and the spectrum of the Lyapunov exponents are important results from Eqs. (3). For a specific set of fixed parameters, namely $k = 1.6$ and $\alpha = 0.5$, the bifurcation diagram and the

---

**Figure 7.** Simulated power spectrum $S$ from the variable $x(t)$.

**Figure 8.** Experimental phase portraits.

**Figure 9.** Experimental Poincaré section.

**Figure 10.** Experimental snapshot of chaotic waveform $x(t)$. Horizontal scale 2 ms/div. Vertical scale 1 V/div.

**Figure 11.** Experimental power spectrum $S_f$ from the output signal $x(t)$. Frequency range 0 to 5 kHz. Horizontal scale 500 Hz/div., resolution 100 Hz. Vertical scale 10 dB/div.
three Lyapunov exponents are presented as functions of the parameter $b$ in Fig. 12 and Fig. 13, respectively.

![Figure 12. Bifurcation diagram: local maxima points of $x(t)$ vs. parameter $b$. Other parameters are fixed at $\lambda = 1.6$ and $\omega = 0.5$.](image)

![Figure 13. Lyapunov exponents vs. parameter $b$. Other parameters are fixed at $\lambda = 1.6$ and $\omega = 0.5$.](image)

Specifically, the positive value of the $\lambda_i$ is a direct evidence of chaotic nature of the system.
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