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Abstract:

The NOx reduction of flue gas by plasma generated ozone was investigated in pilot test experiments at two industrial power plants running on natural gas (Ringsted) and biomass (Haslev). Reduction rates higher than 95% have been achieved for a molar ratio O3:NOx of 1.56. Fourier transform infrared and ultraviolet absorption spectroscopy were used for spatial measurements of stable molecules and radicals along the reduction reactor. Reactions of O3 injected in the flue gas in the reduction reactor were also modeled including the influence of the flue gas temperature, water droplets and SOx and HCl content. Experiments are in good agreement with numerical simulations. An optimized oxidation scheme for NOx reduction processes with time dependent combustion, such as the biomass power plants, was developed. Ozone production by micro-hollow and capillary discharges at atmospheric pressures was investigated for oxygen and air gas flows.
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Preface

This report summarizes the work performed by Risø DTU for the PSO project no. 2006-1-6365 “Pilot test and optimization of plasma based NOx reduction”.

The project was carried out in collaboration between Risø National Laboratory for Sustainable Energy, Technical University of Denmark (Risø DTU), the Danish Gas Technology Centre (DGC) and DONG Energy over a three year period (March 2006-February 2009). Laboratory experiments on NOx reduction and ozone optimization were carried out at DGC in collaboration with Risø DTU. The pilot test experiments were carried out at the power plant running on natural gas in Ringsted and at the power plant running on biomass in Haslev.

The authors express their gratitude to Dr. Alexander Fateev for direct support and to Dr. B. Stenum†, Dr. H. Mortensen, Dr. Y. Kusano, Dr. F. Leipold and Dr. H. Bindslev for their early involvement in this research.
1 Summary

The aim of the project was to,

• Scale-up of the plasma based NOx reduction to pilot scale and test it in a natural gas engine power plant and a biomass power plant;
• Investigate the influence of the additional constituents of flue gas coming from biomass combustion (HCl and SO2) and their reaction products collected in the scrubber;
• Develop a chemical kinetics model of plasma based NOx reduction;
• Optimise the ozone production using plasma discharges at atmospheric pressure.

All project objectives have been successfully addressed in a very good collaboration between DONG Energy, DGC and Risø DTU. Risø DTU’s effort was mainly concentrated on process diagnostics by UV and FTIR during the pilot test measurements, chemical kinetics modelling of the NOx reduction process and ozone production optimization.

FTIR and UV gas absorption measurements have been performed during the NOx reduction process both at Ringsted (natural gas power plant) and Haslev (biomass power plant). Reduction rates higher than 95% have been achieved for a molar ratio O3:NOx = 1.56 for the natural gas plant. A rate equation model has been developed to assess the chemical kinetics for the NOx process in the reactor under various experimental conditions (for example, different flue gas temperatures and humidity). A good agreement was found between measured and calculated values of the main species. Simulation suggests that in current experiment conditions, the deNOx efficiency is highest for a flue gas temperature of 100 °C. The addition of small water spray droplets in the reactor may further improve the NOx oxidation rate. Due to combustion particularities in the biomass power plant the NOx level exhibited very large variation within time intervals of less than a minute. An optimized reduction scheme that can significantly improve the ozone consumption in a time dependent process has been developed and partially implemented. Kinetic simulations show that SO2 oxidation by ozone is too low and that HCl and SOx are not affecting the NOx reduction rate. It was shown that fine water droplets of a few tens of μm contribute significantly to N2O5 capture in the reactor. The ozone production by multi hollow and capillary discharges was investigated for different discharge parameters. The results show that a complex construction structure including thick metallic films deposited on dielectric materials is necessary for long and steady operation of these discharges.
2 Pilot test measurements in a power plant running on natural gas

2.1 Introduction

Nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{x} = NO + NO\textsubscript{2}) formed during fuel burning affect the quality of air, soil and water through acid rains. At higher concentrations they may also have a direct negative influence on human health [1]. Recent environmental concerns lead to stringent regulations on the allowed levels of NO\textsubscript{x} emission from combustion power plants, gas turbines, incinerators, boilers, diesel engines and other polluting sources. Available technologies for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction includes: selective catalytic reduction [2], selective non-catalytic reduction [3, 4], low-temperature oxidation by ozone [5], non-thermal plasma [6-9], electron beam irradiation and several hybrid techniques [10, 11]. Despite of this variety, none of these methods is free of trade-offs and limitations including capital investments and/or operational costs. Low temperature oxidation (LTO) of NO\textsubscript{x} by ozone (O\textsubscript{3}) injection is an attractive gas cleaning process where the relatively insoluble NO\textsubscript{x} is oxidized to higher oxides such as N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} that are highly soluble and can easily be removed in wet scrubbers [5, 12]. NO\textsubscript{x} oxidation method by ozone injection has several advantages compared to other deNO\textsubscript{x} techniques, as e.g. direct ozone production in the reactor, because the plasma discharge is kept clean and the removal rate of NO is higher than direct oxidation methods where the reverse reactions occur to reform NO and NO\textsubscript{2} by O radical. [13, 14] Despite of these advantages the low-temperature oxidation technique is still relatively expensive, a fact that requires further process optimization and cost estimation for the whole deNO\textsubscript{x} reduction process. There are only few reports in the literature on NO\textsubscript{x} reduction experiments on industrial power plants using the LTO technique [15]. Therefore, detailed online measurements of the spatial NO\textsubscript{x} and ozone concentration profiles along an LTO oxidation reactor will be beneficial for a deeper understanding of the chemical kinetics in order to design an efficient deNO\textsubscript{x} reactor for practical application of LTO technique in industry.

2.2 Experimental details - Ringsted

The movable measurement stand realized and tested at Risø DTU to collect UV and FTIR data at different port along the reactor is presented in Fig. 1 (a) located at Risø DTU, (b) placed under the reactor inside of the container, (c) including placement details for the optical tables supporting the UV and FTIR equipment.
Fig. 1 (a) Movable stand used for UV and FTIR measurements built and tested at Risø DTU; (b) The movable measurement stand placed under the reactor inside of container; (c) Emplacement of the measurements parts near the reactor.
The flue gas source located in Ringsted is a 2×5.5 MWel natural gas fired power plant with a total flow rate of the flue gas of 49000 Nm$^3$/h from which only a portion of 3% was treated for NO$_x$ reduction. The flue gas temperature was steady at 60 °C. The main component of NO$_x$ in the flue gas was NO (over 90%) and the total NO$_x$ level was kept by process optimization at around 80 ppm. Fig. 2 shows a schematic of the experimental setup. A 4.5 m long and 0.6 m in diameter reactor with a residence time of the flue gas of about 5 seconds was used to oxidize the NO$_x$ to soluble N$_2$O$_5$. Ozone produced by a Wedeco® generator based on dielectric barrier discharge plasma source operated in O$_2$ was injected into the reactor and mixed with the flue gas. A wet scrubber unit was used to capture and neutralize the N$_2$O$_5$s before releasing the treated gas in the atmosphere. To evaluate the NO$_x$ removal efficiency, the input (before the oxidation reactor) and output (after the wet scrubber), NO$_x$ concentrations have been measured by a chemiluminescence analyzer (CLD60, Eco

![Fig. 2 Schematic of the NO$_x$ oxidation process using ozone.](image-url)
Physics). O$_3$, NO$_2$, N$_2$O$_5$ and HNO$_3$ in the reactor were measured by FTIR (MB-100, BOMEM) spectrometer. Ozone concentration was also measured by UV absorption at 254 nm. Six equidistant observation ports (0.87 m) along the reactor were used to detect IR and UV absorption signals. The measurement equipment was placed on a table movable under the reactor, thus keeping the same optical alignment. The following six concentrations of ozone injected into the reactor were used: 1) 25 ppm; 2) 50 ppm; 3) 75 ppm; 4) 100 ppm; 5) 125 ppm; and 6) 150 ppm.

2.3 Chemical mechanism of O$_3$-NO$_x$

Processes influencing on the NO$_x$ oxidation in the flue gas after the ozone injection were modeled using the chemical kinetics simulation program CHEMSIMUL. [16] The program principally consists of a solver of ordinary differential equation (ODE) systems with additional code to transform chemical reaction schemes to ODEs.

2.4 Gas phase reactions

Table 1 lists the gas phase reactions included in the model along with the rate constant parameters used in the program. The reaction rate constants are calculated as

$$k = AT^\beta e^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}}$$

(1)

where $R=1.987207 \times 10^3$ kcal $\times$ mol$^{-1} \times$ K$^{-1}$ is the gas constant, $E_a$ is the activation energy (kcal $\times$ mol$^{-1}$), $\beta$ is an empirical constant, and $T$ is the gas temperature. Most of the rate constants in the Table 1 were obtained from the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) kinetic database [17]. In the simulation, all reactions must be treated as bimolecular. For three-body reactions this was achieved by assuming a constant third body density corresponding to an ideal gas at 1 atm., room temperature. In reaction R30, however, the third body concentration was assumed to equal the initial concentration of [H$_2$O]. The rate constant of R31 was estimated by an extrapolation from those of R19 and R30 based on the equidistance of the activation energies as calculated by Voegele et al. [18].
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$E_a$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>NO + O$_3$ → NO$_2$ + O$_2$;</td>
<td>3.16×10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>NO$_2$ + O$_3$ → NO$_3$ + O$_2$;</td>
<td>1.20×10$^{-13}$</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>NO$_2$ + NO$_3$ → N$_2$O$_5$;</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R4</td>
<td>O$_3$ + N$_2$ → O$_2$ + O($^3$P) + N$_2$;</td>
<td>7.16×10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>22.26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5</td>
<td>O($^3$P) + O$_3$ → 2 O$_2$;</td>
<td>3.11×10$^{-14}$</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6</td>
<td>O($^3$P) + O$_2$ → O$_3$;</td>
<td>2.32×10$^{-9}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R7</td>
<td>N$_2$O$_5$ + N$_2$ → NO$_2$ + NO$_3$ + N$_2$;</td>
<td>4.57×10$^5$</td>
<td>21.86</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R8</td>
<td>NO$_3$ → NO + O$_2$;</td>
<td>2.50×10$^6$</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R9</td>
<td>NO + O($^3$P) → NO$_2$;</td>
<td>1.51×10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.41</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R10</td>
<td>NO$_2$ + O($^3$P) → NO$_3$;</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>-4.94</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R11</td>
<td>NO$_3$ + O($^3$P) → O$_2$ + NO$_2$;</td>
<td>1.00×10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R12</td>
<td>2 NO$_3$ → O$_2$ + 2 NO$_2$;</td>
<td>8.50×10$^{-13}$</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R13</td>
<td>NO$_2$ + NO$_3$ → O$_2$ + NO + NO$_2$;</td>
<td>5.40×10$^{-14}$</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R14</td>
<td>2 NO$_2$ → O$_2$ + 2 NO;</td>
<td>2.71×10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>26.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R15</td>
<td>2 NO$_2$ → NO + NO$_3$;</td>
<td>1.59×10$^{-14}$</td>
<td>20.87</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R16</td>
<td>2 NO$_2$ → N$_2$O$_4$;</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4.8</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R17</td>
<td>N$_2$O$_4$ + H$_2$O → HNO$_3$ + HNO$_2$;</td>
<td>4.18×10$^{-10}$</td>
<td>11.59</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R18</td>
<td>N$_2$O$_4$ + N$_2$ → 2 NO$_2$ + N$_2$;</td>
<td>3.28×10$^6$</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R19</td>
<td>N$_2$O$_5$ + H$_2$O → 2 HNO$_2$;</td>
<td>2.51×10$^{-22}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R20</td>
<td>HNO$_2$ + NO$_3$ → HNO$_3$ + NO$_2$;</td>
<td>1.00×10$^{-15}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R21</td>
<td>NO$_3$ + NO → 2 NO$_2$;</td>
<td>1.80×10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R22</td>
<td>O$_3$ + NO$_2$ → NO + 2 O$_2$;</td>
<td>1.00×10$^{-18}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R23</td>
<td>O$_3$ + NO$_3$ → NO$_2$ + 2 O$_2$;</td>
<td>1.00×10$^{-17}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R24</td>
<td>NO + NO$_3$ → 2 NO + O$_2$;</td>
<td>2.71×10$^{-11}$</td>
<td>1.882</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R25</td>
<td>2 O($^3$P) → O$_2$;</td>
<td>3.82×10$^{-13}$</td>
<td>-1.79</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R26</td>
<td>O($^3$P) + NO$_2$ → O$_2$ + NO;</td>
<td>5.5×10$^{-12}$</td>
<td>0.3736</td>
<td></td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R27</td>
<td>2 NO + O$_2$ → 2 NO$_2$;</td>
<td>5.61×10$^{-21}$</td>
<td>1.053</td>
<td></td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R28</td>
<td>NO + NO$_2$ → N$_2$O$_3$;</td>
<td>2.70×10$^7$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-8.7</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R29</td>
<td>N$_2$O$_3$ + N$_2$ → NO + NO$_2$ + N$_2$;</td>
<td>6.76×10$^{14}$</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>-8.7</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R30</td>
<td>N$_2$O$_3$ + 2 H$_2$O → 2 HNO$_3$ + H$_2$O;</td>
<td>4.4×10$^{21}$</td>
<td>(*)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R31</td>
<td>N$_2$O$_3$ + 3 H$_2$O → 2 HNO$_3$ + 2 H$_2$O;</td>
<td>8×10$^{20}$</td>
<td>(***)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Termolecular reaction;  (***) Quadrimolecular reaction.

Table 1. Detailed O$_3$ - NO$_x$ reaction mechanism.
2.5 Heterophase reactions

Three additional, heterophase reactions were included in the model to account for the decomposition of oxygen atoms and ozone on the reaction walls and a possible hydrolysis of N$_2$O$_5$ on any water-containing particles in the flue gas.

Reaction R32:

\[
\frac{d[O^+(P)]}{dt} = -[O^+(P)] \left( \frac{(30 \text{ cm})^2}{5.78 \times 0.292 \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1} (T/273 \text{K})^3} + \frac{2 	imes 30 \text{ cm}}{9.9 \times 10^{-7} \sqrt{2} \times 4.25 \times 10^4 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \sqrt{T/273 \text{K}}} \right)^{-1}
\]

(2)

Reaction R33:

\[
\frac{d[O_3]}{dt} = -[O_3] \left( \frac{(30 \text{ cm})^2}{5.78 \times 0.208 \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1} (T/273 \text{K})^3} + \frac{2 	imes 30 \text{ cm}}{2 \times 10^{-8} \sqrt{2} \times 3.47 \times 10^4 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \sqrt{T/273 \text{K}}} \right)^{-1}
\]

(3)

2.6 Hydrolysis of N$_2$O$_5$ on droplets

NO$_2$ can be reproduced by N$_2$O$_5$ → NO$_2$ + NO$_3$ back reaction (reaction R7). However, this reaction can be suppressed in the presence of a sink for N$_2$O$_5$ molecules. One possible sink can be the water droplets from condensation in the reactor. The N$_2$O$_5$ uptake by water droplets has been studied by Van Doren et al. [19]. They expressed the rate of uptake in terms of an uptake coefficient, $\gamma_{\text{obs}}$, which based on their measurements, can be roughly extrapolated to a value of 0.02 for N$_2$O$_5$ into water. This coefficient is related to the flux of N$_2$O$_5$ into water droplets by

\[
J = n_g \gamma_{\text{obs}} / 4,
\]

where $n_g$ is the gas density ([N$_2$O$_5$]) and

\[
\bar{c} = \sqrt{3RT / M_{N_2O_5}} \approx 300 \text{ m/s}
\]

is the root mean square thermal velocity of the N$_2$O$_5$ molecules, which have a molar mass of $M_{N_2O_5} = 0.108 \text{ kg/mol}$. Let us assume, for example, that a fraction $x$ of the H$_2$O has condensed and, for simplicity, that spherical droplets of equal radius, $r$ are formed. The number density of such droplets is $n_d = n_c / N_d$, where $n_c$ is the number density of water molecules that have been condensed into the droplets:

\[
n_c = x \cdot [\text{H}_2\text{O}] = x \cdot C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \cdot \frac{P}{k_B T},
\]

(4)

and $N_d$ is the number of molecules in each such droplet.
\[ N_d = N_A \frac{m_d}{M_{H_2O}} = \frac{4N_A \rho \pi r^3}{3M_{H_2O}}, \]  

(5)

with \( \rho \approx 1 \, \text{g/cm}^3 \) the density of water, \( M_{H_2O} = 18 \, \text{g/mol} \) its molar mass, and \( N_d \) Avogadro’s number.

The overall rate of \( \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \) uptake by these droplets is given by Reaction R34:

\[-d\left[\text{N}_2\text{O}_5\right]/dt = JA \equiv \nu_u \left[\text{N}_2\text{O}_5\right], \]

where \( A \) is the total surface area of droplets per unit of vessel volume:

\[ A = 4\pi r^2 n_d \frac{C_{H_2O} \cdot pM_{H_2O}}{\rho RT}. \]

(6)

Inserting the above values, \( C_{H_2O}=10\% \), and \( p=1 \, \text{atm} \), a value for the uptake frequency \( \nu_u \) of \( \sim 0.3 \, \text{s}^{-1} \) is found for \( r = 100 \, \mu\text{m} \) and \( \sim 3 \, \text{s}^{-1} \) for \( r = 10 \, \mu\text{m} \). In the present study simulations were performed for both cases: without water droplets and with water droplets of these two drop radii.

2.7 Results and discussion

Calculated evolutions of \( \text{O}_3 \), NO, NO\(_2\), and \( \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \) concentrations in the reactor during 5 seconds after ozone injection are shown in Fig. 3 as an example, at (a) 75 ppm ozone input and (b) 150 ppm ozone input. The kinetic curves in the Fig. 2 were calculated for the NO initial concentration of 73 ppm and the NO\(_2\) initial concentration of 7 ppm. The simulations in the Fig. 3 do not include any effect of heterophase reactions (Reaction R32, R33, R34). One can see that immediately after ozone injection, the concentration of NO decreases and the concentration of NO\(_2\) increases accordingly. This is due to the fast reaction

\[
\text{Reaction R1:} \quad \text{NO} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2,
\]

(7)

Characteristic time of the NO conversion to NO\(_2\) depends on the \( \text{O}_3 \) concentration. Therefore, the first main path of NO\(_x\) reduction is the rapid oxidation of NO to NO\(_2\) and, later conversion to the \( \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \) through reactions (8-9) takes place,
Reaction R2: \[ \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_3 + \text{O}_2, \] and

Reaction R3: \[ \text{NO}_2 + \text{NO}_3 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{M}. \]

The slower process R2 takes place after the process R1 is completed. So, when the input ozone concentration is less than NO concentration, in the first stage the ozone is consumed in NO→NO₂ conversion without remarkable decrease in NO+NO₂.

![Calculated evolutions of the concentrations of O₃, NO, NO₂, N₂O₅ in the reactor during 5 seconds after ozone injection without considering any effects of heterophase reactions](image.png)

Fig. 3. Calculated evolutions of the concentrations of O₃, NO, NO₂, N₂O₅ in the reactor during 5 seconds after ozone injection without considering any effects of heterophase reactions (a) 75 ppm ozone input, (b) 150 ppm ozone input.
concentration as shown in Fig. 3 (a). At higher ozone input level (150 ppm), the conversion of NO to NO₂ is rapid (t < 0.3 seconds) and the excess ozone is used to further oxidize NO₂ (Reaction R2, R3).

The characteristic time of the reaction R2 is determined by the O₃ concentration, as well as the NO₂ concentration determined by reaction R1. For a case when all NOₓ is NO, one can get a deNOₓ reaction path from reactions R1, R2 and R3,

Reaction RA: \[ \text{NO} + 1.5 \text{O}_3 \rightarrow 0.5 \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + 1.5 \text{O}_2 \]. \hspace{1cm} (10)

However, when NOₓ is 100 percent by NO₂, one can get a deNOₓ reaction path from Reaction R2 and R3,

Reaction RB: \[ \text{NO}_2 + 0.5 \text{O}_3 \rightarrow 0.5 \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + 0.5 \text{O}_2 \]. \hspace{1cm} (11)

This means that if NO is the main component in NOₓ, to remove one NO molecule, 1.5 O₃ molecules are needed, and in the case when NO₂ is the main component in NOₓ, 0.5 O₃ molecules are needed to remove one NO₂ molecule.

Fig. 4 shows the remained NOₓ level after the scrubber at various ozone input levels. The NOₓ reduction efficiency reached 85% for 100 ppm O₃ (molar ratio
O₃:NOₓ = 1.25) and it was higher than 95 % for a 125 ppm input O₃ (molar ratio O₃:NOₓ = 1.56). In this calculation a residence time of 5 s in the reactor and no wet scrubbing by water droplets have been assumed.

The spatial distribution of different species was measured by moving the measurement equipment to the various ports. Typical FTIR spectra measured at P₂ port for different ozone input concentrations are shown in Fig. 5. The overlap of water absorption band and NO limits NO detection by FTIR. While the ozone was quickly consumed at lower O₃ inputs (25 ppm and 50 ppm) where no O₃ could be detected by FTIR, the NO₂ peak was the dominant peak. For higher ozone input (150 ppm), O₃, NO₂, N₂O₅ and HNO₃ were all detected even after about 1 second (P₂) after the O₃ injection.

Remained ozone concentration at ports P₂ to P₅ as a function of the ozone input level measured by both FTIR and UV is shown in Fig. 6 for NO = 80 ppm. The NOₓ removal process by ozone oxidation was modeled by solving the rate equations of chemical reactions as listed in the Table 1. Concentrations of different species as a function of the residence time were calculated. Remained ozone levels are shown with dashed lines in Fig. 6 for residence times corresponding to ports P₂ (1 s) and P₆ (5 s). From calculations, it can be see that for initial ozone concentrations below 80 ppm (O₃:NOₓ ≤ 1), all ozone is consumed for NO+NO₂ oxidation and no ozone remains in the reactor. In the measurements, ozone was

Fig. 5 FTIR absorption spectra measured at P₂ for (a) 25, (b) 100 and (c) 150 ppm ozone input.
detected for input levels higher than 50 ppm, this may be due to incomplete reaction of ozone in the real situation. With further increase of ozone concentration, the amount of the ozone remained in the reactor increased, however with longer residence time (for example from 1 second or $P_2$ to 5 seconds or $P_5$) the concentration of the remained ozone decreased. Calculations and experimental results by both FTIR and UV absorption are in good agreement. To achieve high ozone utilization efficiency, long residence time of the flue gas in the reactor is desired. This can be achieved by uniform distribution of the ozone and adequate
mixing of ozone with flue gas and a longer reaction zone.

Simulated NO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} values at different ozone input levels and time after ozone injection with no water sink effect and 10 μm water droplets in the reactor are shown in Figure 7. The NO\textsubscript{2} concentration increases with O\textsubscript{3} input because of NO to NO\textsubscript{2} conversion at low O\textsubscript{3} input but it decreases for higher O\textsubscript{3} input due to NO\textsubscript{2} to N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} conversion. In the case of no water sink effect, the N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} concentration increases with O\textsubscript{3} input and also with the residence time in the reactor. Without considering water sink effect in simulation, the calculated values for N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} are, as expected, higher than the measured values. However, by taking into

![Fig. 7 Simulated NO\textsubscript{2} (a) and N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} (b) in case of no water in the reactor and 10 μm water droplets in the reactor for T=60 °C.](image-url)
consideration 10 μm water droplets in the reactor, the calculated values for N$_2$O$_5$ were much lower than the measured values. This suggests that small water droplets have a larger water sink effect on capturing the N$_2$O$_5$. Figure 8 presents the NO$_2$ (a) and N$_2$O$_5$ (b) measured concentrations together with simulation considering water sink (100 μm water droplets) effect for N$_2$O$_5$, where NO=80 ppm, $T$=60 °C.

Fig. 8 NO$_2$ (a) and N$_2$O$_5$ (b) with simulation considering water sink (100 μm water droplets) effect for N$_2$O$_5$, where NO=80 ppm, $T$=60 °C.

and N$_2$O$_5$ (b) measured concentrations together with simulation considering water sink (100 μm water droplets) effect for N$_2$O$_5$. Compared with the case of no water sink effect or the case of 10 μm water droplets in the reactor as shown in the Fig. 7, the calculations in the Fig. 8 shows the best fit correlation with measured values.
It is also interesting to study the effects of flue gas temperature and water capture of N$_2$O$_5$ on deNO$_x$ kinetics. Fig. 9 shows calculated concentrations of NO$_2$ (a), (c) and (e) and N$_2$O$_5$ (b), (d) and (f) without considering water sink effect (a) and (b), (c) and (d) considering 100 µm water droplets, and (e) and (f) considering 10 µm water droplets for N$_2$O$_5$ removal at different temperatures within 5 seconds after ozone injection. It shows that in the cases of no water ((a) and (b)) or 100 µm water in the reactor ((c) and (d)), at 80 °C the NO$_x$ removal efficiency is the highest (with smallest NO$_2$ concentration and highest N$_2$O$_5$ concentration). The optimum reduction temperature increases to 100 °C in the case considering 10 µm water droplets, Fig. 9.
The decomposition rate of ozone (reaction R4: \( \text{O}_3 + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{O}(^3\text{P}) + \text{N}_2 \)) increases at high temperature (over 80 °C) and less ozone is used for NO\(_x\) oxidation. The decomposition of N\(_2\)O\(_5\) (reaction R17: \( \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{NO}_3 + \text{N}_2 \)) increases also at high temperature (over 80 °C). Thus, one can conclude that the best NO\(_x\) reduction efficiency can be achieved in the order: with 10 \(\mu\)m water droplets, then with 100 \(\mu\)m water and less efficiently with no water. This may be due to the fact that smaller water droplets play a more significant role for N\(_2\)O\(_5\) capture and compete with reaction R17. For example, with an excess of ozone (150 ppm) the NO\(_x\) oxidation efficiency can also be evaluated from the NO\(_2\) concentration in the flue gas. Compare to the case of no water droplets (Fig. 9 (a)) where NO\(_2\)=21 ppm at 80°C or NO\(_2\)=26 ppm at 100°C, the 10 \(\mu\)m H\(_2\)O (Fig. 8 (e)) droplet content gives improvement in NO\(_x\) oxidation i) from 67.5% to 85% at 100°C or ii) from 73.8% at 80 °C to 85% at 100 °C. This suggests that the presence of a water spray in the reactor could substantially increase the rate of NO\(_x\) removal. However, it must be noted that it is not obviously desirable to have a mist of nitric acid in the reactor, so the balance of adverse and favorable effects has to be considered carefully.

2.8 Conclusions – gas power plant

FTIR and UV gas absorption measurements have been performed in a NO\(_x\) oxidation reactor in a large scale experiment where NO\(_x\) was oxidized by plasma-generated O\(_3\). Reduction rates higher than 95% have been achieved for a molar ratio O\(_3\):NO\(_x\) = 1.56. A rate equation model has been developed to assess the deNO\(_x\) chemical kinetics in the reactor under various experimental conditions (for example, different flue gas temperatures and humidity). A good agreement was found between measured and calculated values of the main species. Simulation suggests that in current experiment conditions, the deNO\(_x\) efficiency is highest for a flue gas temperature of 100 °C. The addition of small water spray droplets in the reactor may further improve the NO\(_x\) oxidation rate.

3 Pilot test measurements in a power plant running on biomass

3.1 Introduction

Biomass such as wood and straw are CO\(_2\) neutral fuels which may help to reduce the greenhouse effect. The Danish resources of biomass for the production of energy are estimated to approximate 165PJ a year and only half of which are currently used
The use of biomass for production of energy is expected to keep an ascendant trend despite of its rater low caloric value. The main pollutants resulting from biofuels are nitrogen, chlorine, potassium and silicon. While potassium and chlorine are deposited on the tubes of the boiler as a salt coating (deposition that can be reduced by silicon) the main emission remains NOx.

The current project also aimed to evaluate the use of the low temperature oxidation by ozone for a biomass power plant both in terms applicability but also for evaluating the SOx and HCl effect on the NOx reduction mechanism.

### 3.2 Chemical kinetics of NOx reduction in the presence of SOx and HCl

A gas kinetics procedure similar to that presented in paragraph 2.4 was used. The gas phase reactions included in the simulation supplementary to reactions presented in Table 1 are listed in Table 2. The solubility coefficients of SO2, SO3 and HCL in water are, 1.2, ∞ and $2.5 \times 10^3$, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>$A$ (cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$E_a$ (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R32</td>
<td>SO + O3 $\rightarrow$ SO2 + O2;</td>
<td>$4.50 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R33</td>
<td>SO + O2 $\rightarrow$ SO2 + O(3P);</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R34</td>
<td>SO + O2 $\rightarrow$ SO3;</td>
<td>$6.1 \times 10^{-21}$</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R35</td>
<td>SO2 + O3 $\rightarrow$ SO3 + O2;</td>
<td>$3.01 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R36</td>
<td>SO2 + NO2 $\rightarrow$ SO3 + NO;</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-26}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R37</td>
<td>SO2 + N2O5 $\rightarrow$ SO3 + N2O4;</td>
<td>$9.1 \times 10^{-24}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R38</td>
<td>SO2 + ClO $\rightarrow$ SO3 + Cl;</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-18}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R39</td>
<td>SO + ClO $\rightarrow$ SO2 + Cl;</td>
<td>$3.22 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Main reactions involving SOx.

Calculated evolutions of O$_3$, NO, NO$_2$, N$_2$O$_5$, SO, SO$_2$ and SO$_3$ concentrations in the reactor during 20 seconds after ozone injection are shown in Fig. 10 as an example, for (a) no water and (b) including 10 μm water droplets. The flue gas temperature was set to 115 °C and the input ozone to 500 ppm for a flue gas composition including, 60 ppm of SO$_2$, 140 ppm of NO, 10 ppm of NO$_2$ and 70 ppm of HCl. The hydrolysis of N$_2$O$_5$ on droplets was described in a similar way as presented in paragraph 2.6.
Since the oxidation rate of SO$_2$ to SO$_3$ is too low, due to high $E_a$ for this reaction, one cannot expect an efficient SO$_x$ reduction by ozone despite of a good solubility of SO$_3$ in water. A significant oxidation of SO$_2$ to SO$_3$ can only be achieved at temperatures higher than 400°C a value that conflicts with the need to keep the working temperature below 130°C as to prevent the O$_3$ destruction. Moreover, N$_2$O$_5$ back reaction can also occur at high flue gas temperatures.

Fig. 10 Calculated evolutions of O$_3$, NO, NO$_2$, N$_2$O$_5$, SO, SO$_2$ and SO$_3$ concentrations in the reactor during 20 seconds after ozone injection, (a) no water and (b) including 10 μm water droplets.
The evolution of simulated concentrations of different radicals in the presence of 100 ppm of ClO is presented in Fig. 11 (a) with no water and (b) including 10 μm water droplets. The other input concentrations are similar with those used in Fig. 10. Except for the effect on water droplets on H₂O₅ the simulation shows that ClO will have very limited influence on NOₓ and SOₓ reduction.
One alternative would be to remove simultaneously SO₂ and NO by wet scrubbing using chlorine dioxide solution [21]. HCl can also be removed by wet scrubbing as shown in the following reaction,

\[ 2\text{HCl} + \text{Ca(OH)}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (12) \]

### 3.3 Experimental details – Haslev

The following aspects were significantly different from the measurements performed at the power plant running on natural gas in Ringsted:
- The flue gas temperature was around 115 °C (only 60° C at Ringsted);
- The NOₓ level was strongly fluctuating in time, in a range from 100 ppm up to 300 ppm (the NOₓ level in Ringsted was almost constant at 80 ppm);
- The inner pressure in the reactor was positive (slightly negative at Ringsted);
- Higher water vapor concentrations;
- Large content of dust particles (almost dust free combustion at Ringsted).

All these aspects needed special care for the measurement system that was severely affected by water condensation and deposition of large dust particles on the observation windows as shown in Fig. 12 (a). In order to avoid these problems the windows were flashed all the time with a low flow of dry air as shown in Fig. 12 (b).

![Fig 12 (a) Measurement windows contaminated with large dust particles; (b) Mounting system for observation window including a small pipe for pouring dry air.](image)

### 3.4 Experimental results and discussion

Three traces of the NOₓ level recorded continuously for 15 min at time intervals larger than several hours are shown in Fig. 13. As one can see, due to unavoidable unsteady combustion of the straw, the NOₓ level was fluctuating most of the time with variations larger than 100 ppm in less than one minute.
The NOx levels measured at the input, NOx_In, and output, NOx_Out, of the reactor with the corresponding level of ozone after the oxidation process took place, Ozone_Out, are shown in Fig. 14 for a constant input of ozone of (a) 300 g/h and (b) 500 g/h. While a NOx reduction rate of about 50% resulted in large amounts of unused ozone correlated with low NOx levels at that particular time, a NOx reduction rate larger than 80% resulted in amounts of unused ozone that are unaffordable taking into consideration that the operation cost is mainly reflected in the price of the ozone.

In order to solve the problem of a time dependent NOx level for biomass power plants a special NOx reduction scheme was implemented. The main idea is that one can minimize the ozone loss by correlating the ozone and NOx levels at the input of the reactor. Since the ozone generator has an internal reaction time to deliver and transport a requested amount of ozone, $\Delta T_{O_3}$, the optimization scheme can not be implemented as a direct feedback between the NOx level at the input of the reactor and the command signal of the ozone generator. For example, the reaction time for the ozone generator used in this project is shown in Fig. 15, where the requested ozone level was incremented with 100 g/h by 3 minutes time intervals.
Fig. 14 NOx levels at the input and output of the reactor with the corresponding unconsumed ozone for a constant input of ozone of (a) 300 g/h and (b) 500 g/h.
Fig. 15 Ozone levels showing an almost constant generation and transport time of about 42 seconds without respect of the delivered concentration. The ozone generator was set to change its output at equal time intervals of exactly 3 min.

Fig. 16 Schematic of the optimization scheme. NO₅ is sampled at locations SL1, SL2 and SL3 while ozone at SL2 and SL3.
This measurement shows that the generator needed about 42 seconds to adjust the ozone level to the requested value and to transport it to the entrance of the reactor. For an optimum match between the NO\textsubscript{x} and ozone levels one needs to know the NO\textsubscript{x} level more than 42 sec prior to the flue gas entrance in the reactor.

The implementation of such an optimization principle is shown in Fig. 16 where the oxidation and the scrubbing zones are merged schematically. SL1, SL2 and SL3 are three sampling locations where the NO\textsubscript{x} level can be measured while the ozone level is measured only at SL2 and SL3. If $\Delta T_{\text{NO}\textsubscript{x}}$ is the transport time between SL1 and SL2 then one needs a geometric distance of about 35 m to compensate $\Delta T_{\text{O}3}$ at a gas flow of 0.9 m/sec. Since most of the power plants are using rather long evacuation lines for the flue gas, including portions of heath exchange, it makes possible to ensure $\Delta T_{\text{NO}\textsubscript{x}}$ time intervals of more than 20 seconds by placing a NO\textsubscript{x} sensor as close as possible to the combustion zone.

The NO\textsubscript{x} level measured at two locations situated about 25 m apart is shown in Fig. 17 from where one can deduce a transport time of 37 sec. The 10% difference in the NO\textsubscript{x} levels is attributed to different sensor calibration.

![Figure 17: NO\textsubscript{x} levels at two locations, 1 and 2, showing that a transportation line of 25 m between provides a time delay of 37 seconds. The 10% difference in the NO\textsubscript{x} levels is attributed to different sensor calibration.](image)
ozone. In practice the ozone generator can be placed just a few meters from the oxidation reactor, so that it is possible to assume that the $\Delta T_{O3}$ is mainly the reaction time of the generator.

In order to test this optimization scheme the rental time for the equipment was extended with two weeks. However, this time interval was not enough for a complete implementation, so that, the optimization scheme was implemented only partially in the sense that we could compensate only time fluctuations larger than one 30 sec.

![Graph showing NOx level commanding the ozone generator, NOx_Com, and NOx and ozone levels after the scrubber, NOx_Out and Ozone_Out, respectively.](image)

**Fig. 18** NOx level commanding the ozone generator, NOx_Com, and NOx and ozone levels after the scrubber, NOx_Out and Ozone_Out, respectively.

Fig. 18 presents with NOx_Com the NOx level used to command the ozone level in the ozone generator, and NOx_OUT and Ozone_OUT the NOx and ozone levels after the scrubber. A NOx reduction rate higher than 85% obtained at the same time with a low level of unconsumed ozone proves the applicability of this new optimization scheme which was not previously used in research or direct implementations for NOx reduction by ozone oxidation.

### 3.5 Conclusions – biomass power plant

NOx reduction by ozone oxidation is applicable for biomass power plants. However, due to large fluctuations in the NOx level within time intervals of a few minutes a special optimization scheme ensuring an efficient use of ozone is required. Such
optimization has been developed and partially implemented. The oxidation rate of SO$_2$ to soluble SO$_3$ by ozone is too low at temperatures below 130 °C. Higher operation temperatures are not applicable due to significant ozone destruction. SO$_x$ and HCl have a very small effect on NO$_x$ reduction by ozone.

**4 References**


Risø DTU is the National Laboratory for Sustainable Energy. Our research focuses on development of energy technologies and systems with minimal effect on climate, and contributes to innovation, education and policy. Risø has large experimental facilities and interdisciplinary research environments, and includes the national centre for nuclear technologies.