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We have prepared nanocomposites of mixtures of ferromagnetic $\alpha$-Fe and antiferromagnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ nanoparticles, and studied their magnetic and structural properties by magnetization measurements, Mössbauer spectroscopy, and x-ray diffraction. A sample consisting of a 1:1 mixture of the two materials showed enhanced coercivity, but almost negligible exchange bias at room temperature after field cooling from 520 K. However, samples with higher content of $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ showed significant exchange bias. The mechanisms for exchange bias and enhanced coercivity in the system are discussed. © 2004 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.1650920]

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of exchange anisotropy in composites of ferro- and antiferromagnetic materials by Meiklejohn and Bean$^{1,2}$ the subject has received considerable attention$^{3,4}$ and the phenomenon is utilized in, for example, spin valves, which have applications in read heads in modern computers. Most of the research and applications have been concentrated on thin film structures, which can be fabricated in a well-controlled way. Efforts have also been made to produce so-called exchange spring magnets in which the exchange coupling between hard and soft magnetic materials are utilized to produce permanent magnets with improved properties.$^{5,6}$ Some of the proposed production methods are well suited only for preparation of small quantities of permanent magnets or require advanced preparation techniques. Furthermore, some of the produced materials are based on relatively expensive elements, e.g., rare earths. Therefore, it would be interesting if one could develop new types of magnets based on cheap materials and simple preparation techniques, which are applicable for large-scale production of permanent magnets. Recently it has been shown$^7-9$ that magnetic materials with exchange bias can be produced by ball-milling mixtures of ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic materials. Sort et al.$^8,9$ studied mixtures of ferromagnetic metallic particles (Co) and antiferromagnetic oxides (NiO) or sulphides (FeS). They observed a small exchange bias in the composites after field cooling from a temperature above the Néel temperature of the antiferromagnetic material. Moreover, the composites showed enhanced coercivity. Here, we report on studies of the magnetic properties of composites of metallic ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic nanoparticles.

$\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ is an antiferromagnetic metallic material with a Néel temperature of about 490 K, which is commonly used in spin valves, and it is known that it can give rise to a large exchange bias.$^{3,4}$ Therefore, it is interesting to study the magnetic properties of composites consisting of nanoparticles of $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ mixed with nanoparticles of a ferromagnetic material. In this study we have chosen metallic iron as the ferromagnetic material. Both Fe and Mn are relatively inexpensive and the desired nanoparticles can conveniently be prepared by high-energy ball-milling, which is a relatively simple preparation technique that may be used for large-scale production. Because various chemical reactions may take place during ball-milling, we have followed the evolution in the materials by Mössbauer spectroscopy and x-ray diffraction.

The structure of Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ alloys does not only depend on the concentrations but also on the preparation technique.$^9,10$ For $x \leq 0.1$ the alloys form the bcc $\alpha$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ phase which is ferromagnetic at room temperature. In alloys with $0.1 \leq x \leq 0.6$ an antiferromagnetic fcc $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ phase can be found. For $0.1 \leq x \leq 0.4$ the Néel temperature is lower than 295 K, i.e., these alloys are paramagnetic at room temperature. For larger values of $x$ (up to $\sim 0.6$), the fcc $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ phase is antiferromagnetic at room temperature.

II. EXPERIMENT

Samples were prepared in a planetary ball-mill (Fritsch Pulverisette 5) with tungsten carbide vial and balls, operating at 200 rpm. The vial has a volume of 250 cm$^3$, and the nine balls weigh approximately 500 g. Prior to the milling, the vial was flushed with argon for approximately 10 min. After milling in argon, the vial was left to cool before it was opened. $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ particles were prepared by mechanical alloying of a 1:1 atomic ratio mixture of Mn ($>99\%$ pure, particle size $<100$ $\mu$m) and Fe ($\geq 99.5\%$ pure, particle size 10 $\mu$m) from Merck. In the following these samples, which only contain the $\gamma$-phase after sufficiently long milling time, will be termed $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$. The Fe nanoparticles were prepared from the same batch of iron powder. In both cases the sample-to-ball weight ratio was 1:20. The components were ball-milled dry for 25 h. There was a tendency for the particles to adhere to the walls of the vial and to the balls, especially when ball-milling the mixture of Fe and Mn. Therefore, after this first, dry ball-milling, the components were ball-milled for two hours with 20 ml of ethanol. This loosened the particles, which had adhered to vial and balls, and the final product was a fine-grained powder.

$\alpha$-Fe/$\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$, composites were prepared from mixtures
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of the two components, which were either mixed thoroughly by hand using a spatula, or ball-milled with a sample-to-ball weight ratio of 1:250, and with 10 ml of ethanol added in order to prevent adhesion to vial and balls.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed using a Phillips PW1050 powder diffractometer with a Cu x-ray tube, and with a graphite monochromator placed between sample and detector.

Mössbauer spectra were recorded with conventional constant acceleration spectrometers in transmission geometry using $^{57}$Co/Rh sources. Isomer shifts are given relative to $\alpha$-Fe at room temperature.

Magnetization measurements were performed with a homemade 69 Hz Foner vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). The instrument was calibrated by measuring the known saturation moment of a 31.7 mg polycrystalline Ni-sample. Measurements were carried out at room temperature in the magnetic field range from $-1.25$ to $+1.25$ T, on powdered samples of approximately 80 mg in cylindrical acrylic or copper sample containers sealed off with lids. Field cooling was carried out in the VSM oven by cooling the samples at approximately 10 K min$^{-1}$ to room temperature in an applied field of 1.0 T. Information about saturation magnetization, coercivity, exchange bias, and remanence were extracted from the obtained hysteresis loops. The saturation magnetization $\sigma_S$ was calculated as the mean of linear extrapolations of high field data points ($1.00 T < \mu_0H < 1.25$ T) to zero field, for positive and negative fields. The intrinsic coercive field strength $H_C$ and the exchange bias field strength $H_E$ were calculated from interpolations of data points around zero magnetization, for positive and negative fields. As exchange biased hysteresis loops are nonsymmetric, the remanent magnetization upon magnetization in a high positive field $\sigma^+_{re}$ is the point of interest, and was found by interpolation of data points around zero field for positive magnetization.

III. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows room temperature Mössbauer spectra of a 1:1 (atomic ratio) mixture of Fe and Mn after various ball-milling times. After 1 h, the spectrum consists of a sextet that is essentially identical to that of pure metallic iron, i.e., no significant reaction has taken place. After 2 and 4 h, a singlet with isomer shift of about $-0.1$ mm s$^{-1}$ appears in the spectra. After 25 h, the spectrum consists of a sextet with a magnetic hyperfine field of $\sim 3$ T, an isomer shift of $-0.1$ mm s$^{-1}$, and negligible quadrupole shift. These parameters are characteristic for antiferromagnetic $\gamma$-$Fe_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ with $x = 0.5$. The fcc structure of the phase was confirmed by x-ray diffraction, and the antiferromagnetic ordering was confirmed by magnetic measurements. At intermediate milling times, e.g., after 10 h, the spectrum contains all three components. The singlet can be explained by the presence of a paramagnetic, iron-rich $\gamma$-$Fe_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ alloy. The small discrepancies between data and fits may be explained by defects in the structures and, especially for intermediate milling times, by inhomogeneous distributions of Fe and Mn in the $\alpha$- and $\gamma$-phases. The studies show that we can form the antiferromagnetic $\gamma$-$Fe_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ phase by ball-milling the mixture of Fe and Mn powders for 25 h. The crystallite size, estimated from the line broadening of the XRD spectra, was about 20 nm, neglecting the contribution to the line broadening due to strain. We found that ball-milling of pure iron powder for 25 h resulted in a similar crystallite size. Neither Mössbauer spectra nor XRD spectra showed any indication of the presence of oxides or other impurity phases apart from small amounts of tungsten carbide from the ball-milling equipment.

The powders of $\alpha$-Fe and $\gamma$-$Fe_{50}$Mn$_{50}$, prepared as described above, were mixed (mixing ratio 1:1 by weight) and the mixture was ball-milled for milling times up to 10 h in order to create interfaces between $\alpha$-Fe and $\gamma$-$Fe_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ particles. Subsequently, the samples were heated at 520 K for 30 min in an applied magnetic field of 1.0 T and cooled in this field to room temperature. Figure 2(a) shows the coercivity at room temperature as a function of the time the mixture of $\alpha$-Fe and $\gamma$-$Fe_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ was milled. Data were obtained before

![FIG. 1. Room temperature Mössbauer spectra of a 1:1 mixture (atomic ratio) of Fe and Mn powders obtained after the indicated ball milling times. The closed circles are data points, while the lines represent fits to the data.](image-url)
and after the field cooling. For both types of samples, the coercivity increases with the milling time up to 6 h. For longer milling times it decreases slightly. The exchange bias, shown in Fig. 2, is very small for all milling times, but for all samples there seems to be a tendency of positive values, possibly with a maximum around 4–5 h. However, the coercivity, which initially decreases upon field cooling, increases in samples milled for four hours or longer. This suggests exchange coupling between the two components at these ball-milling times. After milling for seven hours or longer, the effect is weakened. The Mössbauer spectra of some of the samples, obtained before field cooling, are shown in Fig. 3. As expected, the spectrum initially consists of the components due to α-Fe and γ-Fe50Mn50. However, during ball-milling a singlet component with an isomer shift of about 20.1 mm s⁻¹ appears. This component can be ascribed to a paramagnetic iron-rich γ-Fe₁₋ₓMnₓ alloy. The relative intensity of the α-Fe component decreases with milling time. These observations show that part of the α-Fe is dissolved in the γ-Fe₁₋ₓMnₓ phase such that this phase becomes paramagnetic. However, for the longest milling times, a sextet with broad lines and a hyperfine field of about 30 T appears. This is indicative of a ferromagnetic iron-rich α-Fe₁₋ₓMnₓ component. The relative areas of the ferromagnetic and the antiferromagnetic/paramagnetic components in the Mössbauer spectra as a function of the milling time are shown in Fig. 4. As illustrated in Fig. 4(a), the relative amount of ferromagnetic material (α-Fe and α-Fe₁₋ₓMnₓ alloy) has a minimum at about 5 h. This explains the minimum in the saturation magnetization at similar milling times. Mössbauer spectra of a sample ball-milled for four hours obtained before and after field cooling show a slight increase (from 48% to 51%) of the ferromagnetic component upon field cooling. The increase is comparable to the uncertainty, but magnetization measurements show a relative increase of saturation magnetization upon field cooling of similar magnitude.

The very small exchange bias [Fig. 2(b)] might be due to a small anisotropy energy of the antiferromagnetic γ-Fe₁₋ₓMnₓ particles related to their small size. We therefore studied the effect of increasing the relative amount of γ-Fe50Mn50 in the samples, which may result in larger γ-Fe₁₋ₓMnₓ particles. The exchange bias, the coercivity, the saturation magnetization, and the remanence as a function of the initial content of γ-Fe50Mn50, obtained after field cooling of samples mixed by hand and by ball-milling for 4 h, are shown in Fig. 5. In samples with more than 80% γ-Fe50Mn50 there is a very clear exchange bias both in samples of powders that are mixed without and with ball-milling [Fig. 5(b)]. The saturation magnetization of the hand-mixed samples [Fig. 5(c), open symbols] decreases linearly with increasing initial content of antiferromagnetic γ-Fe50Mn50 as expected. For the ball-milled samples [full symbols, Fig. 5(c)], the saturation magnetization is lower for most samples indicat-
ing that some of the $\alpha$-Fe has reacted with $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ such that the amount of ferromagnetic material has decreased. However, in the case of 95% of $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$, the saturation magnetization of the ball-milled sample is higher than that of the hand-mixed sample. The remanent magnetization [Fig. 5(d)] decreases much less with increasing $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ content than the saturation magnetization.

Figure 6 shows hysteresis loops for samples with a $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$/\(\alpha\)-Fe ratio of 10 before and after field cooling. It can be seen that the field cooling results in a clearly visible exchange bias and an enhanced coercivity. Moreover, the saturation magnetization is significantly enhanced after field cooling. In order to find the reason for this we have obtained Mössbauer spectra of the two samples. The room temperature spectra are shown in Fig. 7. After field cooling, the relative intensity of the sextet due to $\alpha$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ has increased significantly (from 11% to 18%). This explains the increase of the saturation magnetization.
which is formed during milling of the mixture of a milling the 1:1 mixture of in Fig. 3, it constitutes about 40% of the area after ball-milling. After ball-milling of the mixture of the two phases for a short period of time, one might expect that interfaces between the two phases should have been formed, such that there should be strong exchange coupling between many of the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic nanoparticles, which might result in a significant exchange bias after the field cooling procedure. However, as seen in Fig. 2, the exchange bias is almost negligible in the 1:1 mixture of the two phases, but there is an increase of the coercivity. It is likely that the absence of a significant exchange bias is related to the small size of the antiferromagnetic particles. Because the magnetic anisotropy energy of the antiferromagnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ particles decreases with decreasing particle size, the energy required to reverse the sublattice magnetization will be small. Thus, when the magnetization direction of a neighboring ferromagnetic particle is reversed because it is exposed to an external field, the exchange coupling at the interface may be sufficient to reverse the sublattice magnetization in the antiferromagnetic nanoparticle. In this case, the coupling of the ferromagnetic particles to the antiferromagnetic ones will mainly give rise to an enhanced coercivity, as we have observed.

Another factor, which probably affects the exchange interactions, is the paramagnetic Fe-rich $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ phase, which is formed during milling of the mixture of $\alpha$-Fe and $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ nanoparticles. In the Mössbauer spectra, shown in Fig. 3, it constitutes about 40% of the area after ball-milling the 1:1 mixture of $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ and $\alpha$-Fe for 4 and 6 h. In the spectra of the 1:10 mixture, shown in Fig. 7, it constitutes about 54% before field cooling and 44% after field cooling. It is likely that this phase can separate ferromagnetic $\alpha$-Fe and antiferromagnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ grains. The presence of such a paramagnetic phase can therefore seriously reduce the exchange coupling between the ferromagnetic and the antiferromagnetic grains. The migration of Fe atoms into the $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ phase may also have another consequence. As the Fe concentration in the phase increases, and the Neél temperature decreases towards room temperature, the anisotropy decreases, resulting in a smaller exchange bias.

When the relative amount of $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ is increased, a clear exchange bias appears in the field-cooled samples along with an increase of the coercivity. In samples that predominantly consist of antiferromagnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ particles these will presumably form large aggregates with larger anisotropy energy than the individual particles. This could explain the increase of the exchange bias with increasing initial content of $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ in the samples.

Although it is found that the ball milling of the composites can result in formation of a paramagnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ phase, the results given in Figs. 3 and 4 show that extended ball milling can increase the amount of ferromagnetic $\alpha$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ and this may improve the magnetic properties. As shown in Figs. 6 and 7, heat treatment of a sample with a $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}/\alpha$-Fe ratio of 10 can also lead to the formation of ferromagnetic $\alpha$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$, resulting in an enhanced saturation magnetization.

### IV. DISCUSSION

The Mössbauer and x-ray studies show that one can easily prepare nanostructured $\alpha$-Fe and $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ by use of ball-milling. After ball-milling of the mixture of the two phases a short period of time, one might expect that interfaces between the two phases should have been formed, such that there should be strong exchange coupling between many of the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic nanoparticles, which might result in a significant exchange bias after the field cooling procedure. However, as seen in Fig. 2, the exchange bias is almost negligible in the 1:1 mixture of the two phases, but there is an increase of the coercivity. It is likely that the absence of a significant exchange bias is related to the small size of the antiferromagnetic particles. Because the magnetic anisotropy energy of the antiferromagnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ particles decreases with decreasing particle size, the energy required to reverse the sublattice magnetization will be small. Thus, when the magnetization direction of a neighboring ferromagnetic particle is reversed because it is exposed to an external field, the exchange coupling at the interface may be sufficient to reverse the sublattice magnetization in the antiferromagnetic nanoparticle. In this case, the coupling of the ferromagnetic particles to the antiferromagnetic ones will mainly give rise to an enhanced coercivity, as we have observed.

Another factor, which probably affects the exchange interactions, is the paramagnetic Fe-rich $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ phase, which is formed during milling of the mixture of $\alpha$-Fe and $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ nanoparticles. In the Mössbauer spectra, shown in Fig. 3, it constitutes about 40% of the area after ball-milling the 1:1 mixture of $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ and $\alpha$-Fe for 4 and 6 h. In the spectra of the 1:10 mixture, shown in Fig. 7, it constitutes about 54% before field cooling and 44% after field cooling. It is likely that this phase can separate ferromagnetic $\alpha$-Fe and antiferromagnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ grains. The presence of such a paramagnetic phase can therefore seriously reduce the exchange coupling between the ferromagnetic and the antiferromagnetic grains. The migration of Fe atoms into the $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ phase may also have another consequence. As the Fe concentration in the phase increases, and the Néél temperature decreases towards room temperature, the anisotropy decreases, resulting in a smaller exchange bias.

When the relative amount of $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ is increased, a clear exchange bias appears in the field-cooled samples along with an increase of the coercivity. In samples that predominantly consist of antiferromagnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ particles these will presumably form large aggregates with larger anisotropy energy than the individual particles. This could explain the increase of the exchange bias with increasing initial content of $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ in the samples.

Although it is found that the ball milling of the composites can result in formation of a paramagnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ phase, the results given in Figs. 3 and 4 show that extended ball milling can increase the amount of ferromagnetic $\alpha$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ and this may improve the magnetic properties. As shown in Figs. 6 and 7, heat treatment of a sample with a $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}/\alpha$-Fe ratio of 10 can also lead to the formation of ferromagnetic $\alpha$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$, resulting in an enhanced saturation magnetization.

### V. CONCLUSIONS

The present study has shown that it is possible to produce nanocomposites consisting of mixtures of $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ and $\alpha$-Fe nanoparticles with enhanced coercivity and a non-zero exchange bias after field cooling. In order to understand the changes in magnetic properties during ball-milling and heat treatments, it is important to follow the structural evolution during ball-milling and heat treatments. Mössbauer spectroscopy is particularly useful for studies of such reactions because it can be used to distinguish, for example, between antiferromagnetic and paramagnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$. The formation of a paramagnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ phase presumably is one of the reasons for the low exchange bias in samples with an initial 1:1 ratio of the two phases. The small anisotropy energy of the antiferromagnetic $\gamma$-Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$ particles is another probable reason. Samples with a larger initial fraction of $\gamma$-Fe$_{50}$Mn$_{50}$ show unambiguously an exchange bias, both after mixing particles by hand and after ball-milling. In these samples it was also found that the amount of ferromagnetic material increased during the heat treatment in connection with the field cooling, such that these samples have an enhanced magnetization as well as a significant exchange bias and enhanced coercivity. It is likely that further studies of the influence of the initial composition, ball-milling conditions, and heat treatments on the magnetic properties of the composites can lead to synthesis procedures that can be used to make nanocomposites with larger coercivity and exchange bias.
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