



## Ability of carbon footprint to reflect the environmental burden of a product or service – an empirical study

Laurent, Alexis; Olsen, Stig Irving; Hauschild, Michael Zwicky

*Publication date:*  
2010

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*

Laurent, A., Olsen, S. I., & Hauschild, M. Z. (2010). *Ability of carbon footprint to reflect the environmental burden of a product or service – an empirical study*. Poster session presented at 20th SETAC Europe Annual Meeting, Sevilla, Spain.

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Ability of Carbon Footprint (CFP) to reflect the environmental burden of a product/service – An empirical study

Alexis Laurent<sup>1</sup>, Stig Irving Olsen<sup>1</sup>, Michael Zwicky Hauschild<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Management Engineering, Technical University of Denmark (DTU), Lyngby, Denmark

## What the world is looking at today...

⇒ Extensive use of carbon footprint (CFP), which is:

- An accepted reference indicator for climate change
- An assessment of the 6 GHG of the Kyoto Protocol (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, SF<sub>6</sub>, PFCs, HFCs)

⇒ **What about the consideration of other impacts on environment?**

⇒ **Is carbon footprint representative of other environmental impacts?**




## Correlation between CFP and other environmental impacts?

### OBJECTIVES AND METHODS

- Comparisons of carbon footprint with other relevant LCA impact categories
- Inclusion of the USEtox™-based toxic impacts:
- Normalization of all impacts (including CFP) to support the comparisons
- 637 product/service life cycle inventories tested (from Ecoinvent database)

### RESULTS

#### Carbon footprint vs. LCA-based global warming




- Overall excellent match (-5% difference)
- Large differences when significant emissions of NMVOC or ODS (e.g. TCE, TFE productions)

**Carbon footprint is roughly the same as LCA-based global warming**


#### Carbon footprint vs. non-toxic impacts

- Overall good correlation for acidification, terrestrial eutrophication, photochemical ozone formation
- Impacts primarily originating from the combustion of fossil fuels used in energy production



**Carbon footprint is an acceptable representative**

#### Carbon footprint vs. toxic impacts



- Emissions of toxic substances arising from various processes
- No direct relation with energy production

**Carbon footprint is not an acceptable representative**

#### Uncertainties

- Expected underestimations due to inventory incompleteness:
  - Good coverage for emissions from combustion of fossils
  - Limited coverage for emissions of chemicals in other processes

**Several non energy-related emissions likely to be missing**  
⇒ **Real picture expected to be worse**


### CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

#### CFP not representative in many cases

#### Risk of sub-optimization

Example of switch from fossil fuels to use of renewables in energy production (cf. opposite graph):

- Overlooking of human toxicity
- Risk of misinterpretation (e.g. overutilization of terms “green” or “eco-friendly”)



#### Further work needed to:

- Identify patterns among the different categories of products/services
- Quantify the uncertainties and their influences on the results (in particular for the toxicity-related impacts)