Experimental demonstration of a four-port photonic crystal cross-waveguide structure
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We report the design and fabrication of a four-port InP photonic crystal cavity-waveguide structure in which two crossing waveguides intersect in a cavity. Transmission measurements show that by exploiting mode-gap effects, high cross-talk suppression between the two waveguides can be obtained. In addition, the waveguides couple to two distinct cavity resonances with different quality-factors as well as small mode volumes. This structure is promising for realizing ultra-fast, low-energy optical switches or memories. © 2012 American Institute of Physics.

Photonic crystal (PhC) membrane structures enable the realization of waveguides with tailored dispersion as well as nanocavities, which can be used to control and enhance light-matter interactions, with applications in integrated photonics1–3 and quantum information technology.4 Featuring small modal volume and large quality-factor (Q-factor), PhC nanocavity devices may enhance nonlinearity due to Kerr or carrier effects5–8 thus realizing ultra-compact and integrated devices facilitating ultra-fast, low-energy optical signal processing.

For switching applications, such as de-multiplexing,9 the high-speed time-multiplexed data signal is switched by a control signal at the frequency of the tributary. Since the two signals need to be separated at the output, separate channels are required for the two beams, either enabled by wavelength or spatially using a three- or four-port structure.10 However, in most previous PhC device demonstrations, two-port structures were considered, consisting of a single mode cavity coupled with a single line-defect waveguide acting as input as well as output channel.5–7 In those cases, the control and data signals are injected into a common input waveguide simultaneously6 and interact with the same cavity resonance. The weak data signal is switched on or off through the cavity resonance shift caused by the control signal. This structure has several disadvantages. In order to distinguish the signals at the output, optical band-pass filters need to be used.6,8 This causes additional complexity of the system and for a minimized switching energy, the optimum operation wavelengths of the data and control signal may not be compatible with the required wavelength separation needed for the band-pass filtering and a compromise must be made. Besides, the single resonance of the structure does not allow for optimum cavity enhancement of both the control and data signal, which may differ both in wavelength and bandwidth. This becomes a critical issue if the criteria on energy consumption are to be met at high speeds.11 In this paper, we experimentally demonstrate a structure, where the two signals excite different, yet spatially overlapping, resonances and are spatially separated at the output.

Designs for low cross-talk multi-port structures with crossing waveguides have been suggested previously and numerically investigated.12,13 Experimental results were obtained for a square lattice geometry14 but not for a triangular lattice, which is favored for ultra-compact cavities and slow light structures.15 The structure investigated here, shown schematically in Fig. 1(a), is a four-port PhC slab similar to the multi-arm structure in Ref. 13. It has a defect-type single cell nanocavity coupled with two waveguides, WG1 and WG2, crossing each other at angles of 60° or 120°. The cavity is formed by removing one hole in the center and displacing 18 neighboring holes by the amounts $A_i$ or $B_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). The single line-defect waveguides WG1 and WG2 are based on normal W1 waveguides (defined as
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FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of the four-port structure with two crossing waveguides, WG1 and WG2, intersecting in a single cell cavity. Magnetic field intensity of (b) even and (c) odd quadrupole modes of the single cell cavity.
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the removal of one row of air holes) but their innermost two rows of holes (green and blue holes) are shifted a distance \( L_i \) \((i = 1, 2)\). The air hole shifting directions are indicated by the arrows in Fig. 1(a).

If the structure is symmetric \((A_1 = B_1, L_1 = L_2)\), the single cell cavity has \( C_{6v} \) symmetry,\(^1\) resulting in the existence of a nondegenerate hexapole and monopole mode, and a pair of doubly degenerate dipole and quadrupole modes. Our investigations only focus on the quadrupole modes. Figs. 1(b) and 1(c) show the magnetic field intensity of the corresponding even and odd modes. If the cavity mode frequencies are commensurate with WG1 and WG2 propagating waveguide modes, light injected into either WG1 or WG2 is expected to couple into both WG1 and WG2. In order to suppress cross-coupling between the waveguides we exploit the mode-gap effect,\(^1\) i.e., the modes of WG1 and WG2 are separated in frequency and made to couple to different cavity resonances, as illustrated in the calculated band diagram in Fig. 2(a). The first is achieved by choosing different waveguide parameters \((L_1 \neq L_2)\) and the latter by making the cavity asymmetric \((A_i \neq B_i)\). Figs. 2(b) and 2(c) show the field intensity maps, calculated using three dimensional finite-difference time-domain (3D FDTD) technique, of such an asymmetric structure when exciting either WG1 or the WG2 mode at the input, illustrating that light can pass through the cavity from either waveguide without crosstalk.

The detailed design procedure is the following: starting from the symmetric structure, by shifting the innermost two rows of holes of WG2 away from the waveguide center, i.e., decreasing the value of \( L_2 \) to make \( L_2 < L_1 \), the fundamental and second WG2 waveguide modes (solid and hollow red markers) move to lower frequency and separate from the fundamental and second WG1 modes (solid and hollow blue markers) as shown in Fig. 2(a). Moving the 6 holes neighboring the cavity away from the center, i.e., increasing the values of \( B_i \) to make \( B_i > A_i \), the cavity even mode (horizontal dashed red) can also be shifted down below the odd mode (horizontal dashed blue) in frequency, without affecting the cavity odd mode significantly. This is because the field intensity is much larger near these 6 holes with \( B_i \) shifts for the even mode than for the odd mode, as can be seen from Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). Consequently, the leakage from WG1 (WG2) to WG2 (WG1) is suppressed.

In the actual design, we have used parameters appropriate for InP membranes with refractive index \( n \) of 3.16, hole radius \( r \) of 0.259\( a \), and membrane thickness \( h \) of 0.77\( a \), where \( a \) is the lattice constant. In contrast to the design of Ref. 13, we have restricted ourselves to the use of one common hole size in order to increase the robustness towards fabrication-related uncertainties. By merely changing the relative positions of the holes, it is still possible to engineer both the dispersion of the waveguide modes as well as the cavity resonances and their Q-factors to realize the desired functionality. A disadvantage of using only one hole size, however, is that the waveguides become multi-mode,\(^2\) considering the cavity odd mode and the WG2 second mode has the same mode symmetry, the cavity odd mode frequency thus needs to be sufficiently high to be non-overlapping with the higher-order WG2 mode. The specific parameters for the design are \( A_1 = 0.18a \), \( A_2 = 0.04a \), \( A_3 = 0.44a \), \( B_1 = 0.42a \), \( B_2 = 0.34a \), \( B_3 = 0.06a \), and \( L_1 = 0.12a \), \( L_2 = -0.08a \) (minus means moving the hole away from the center of the waveguide).

The photonic crystal pattern is first defined in positive resist (ZEP520A) by electron-beam lithography and then transferred to a 200 nm Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) hard mask by CH\(_3\)F\(_2\)/O\(_2\) reactive-ion etching (RIE). After removing the resist, the pattern was transferred to a 340 nm InP layer by a cyclic CH\(_4\)/H\(_2\) and O\(_2\) RIE. The Si\(_3\)N\(_4\) layer is removed by HF and finally the membrane structure is formed with diluted H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)/H\(_2\)O\(_2\) selective wet-etching to remove a 1 \( \mu \)m In\(_{0.55}\)Ga\(_{0.45}\)As sacrificial layer located below the membrane.

Fig. 3 shows scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of one of the fabricated devices, for which \( a = 440\) nm, \( r = 114.9\) nm. In order to facilitate external coupling, the access waveguides of the 900 \( \mu \)m long structure are equipped with mode adapters\(^3\) through which only the fundamental waveguide mode can be excited by a single mode fiber due to the even symmetry of the injection condition. Two broadband 60° bendings along WG2 are implemented, c.f. Fig. 3. The bending structure, similar to the designs in Ref. 19, is realized by connecting two air holes with an arc of 60° to reduce the light leakage. 3D FDTD simulations predict that the cavity odd mode coupling with WG1 has a resonance peak at 1531.2 nm and a mode volume of 0.65\((\lambda/n)^3\); the cavity even mode coupling with WG2 has a resonance peak at 1601.6 nm and a larger mode volume of 0.77\((\lambda/n)^3\).

The fabricated devices are characterized using a tunable continuous wave (CW) laser source. Input light is TE-polarized (electric field in the plane of the PhC slab) utilizing a polarization controller and is coupled in and out of the device using lensed single mode fibers. The output is measured by an optical spectrum analyzer, while an infrared camera
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**FIG. 2.** (a) Band diagram of an asymmetric four-port structure calculated using plane wave expansion method. (b) Magnetic field intensity of cavity odd mode only coupling into WG1 fundamental mode. (c) Magnetic field intensity of cavity even mode only coupling into WG2 fundamental mode.
(Xeva-1.7-320) is mounted on a microscope to image the far-field scattered light from the top of the sample.

Injecting light into WG1, Fig. 4(a) shows the normalized transmission spectra from WG1 input to WG1 output (WG1-WG1) and from WG1 input to WG2 output (WG1-WG2). Here, the spectra of WG1-WG1 shows a resonance with a peak centered at 1531.5 nm and a Q-factor of $8.2 \times 10^3$ as obtained by fitting the resonance with a Lorentzian line-shape. The infra-red images, Figs. 4(b) and 4(c), clearly show the transmission of the beam through WG1 on-resonance and from Fig. 4(a) we see that, on-resonance, the coupling from WG1 to WG2 is suppressed by more than 30 dB. The transmission loss including coupling and propagation losses for WG1 at the resonance is about $34 \text{ dB}$.

From 3D FDTD simulations, this relatively low transmission is mainly caused by a low cavity transmission which is originated from a much lower out-of-plane Q-factor of the cavity odd mode compared with its in-plane Q-factor. This can be improved by gradually tapering the size of the 3 displaced cavity holes with $A_i$ shifts along WG1 to make the evanescent field tunnel more gently between the cavity and WG1. In addition, the narrow waveguide width of WG1 may also increase the loss.

Injecting light into WG2, Fig. 5(a) shows the normalized transmission spectra from WG2 input to WG2 output (WG2-WG2) and from WG2 input to WG1 output (WG2-WG1). The WG2-WG2 transmission has a resonance centered at 1605.7 nm and a Q-factor of $2.8 \times 10^3$. From Figs. 5(b) and 5(c), we see that when the cavity is on-resonance, light only propagates in WG2, and from Fig. 5(a), the leakage into WG1 is suppressed by more than 36 dB. The transmission loss for WG2 at the resonance is about $15 \text{ dB}$.

From the insets of Figs. 4 and 5, only one resonance can be observed. This agrees with the simulation results in which the dipole and monopole modes of the single cell cavity have their resonances far beyond the L-band. The hexapole mode centered at $1565 \text{ nm}$ does not couple into WG1 nor WG2. This is because its mode frequency lies just above the top edge of the WG2 second band diagram in this design, and it has a different mode symmetry compared with the WG1 fundamental waveguide mode.

In conclusion, a multi-mode InP PhC cavity structure has been designed and fabricated. The structure consists of two crossing waveguides intersecting in a single cell cavity. Using mode-gap effects, the leakage between the waveguides was suppressed by more than 30 dB. The two waveguides couple to two different resonances, whose frequencies both are within the C+L band and their quality-factors can be independently tuned. The structure was realized using a single hole size, which is advantageous from a fabrication point of view. In the fabricated structure, quality factors of $8.2 \times 10^3$ and $2.8 \times 10^3$ were demonstrated. This makes the structure very suitable for optical signal processing tasks such as all-optical de-multiplexing, where the control and data signals may have different.
wavelengths and bandwidths, and where demands on energy consumption per bit require separate optimization of the resonances. In addition, the cavity modes have small mode volumes which are promising for fast data-processing. Though this structure is an air suspended PhC having low thermal conductivity, we expect thermal effects can be reduced using such as selective regrowth technique\textsuperscript{7} or encapsulating the structure in SiO\textsubscript{2}.
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