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Preamble

This scientific assessment serves as the basis for a materials research roadmap for hydrogen and fuel cell technologies, itself an integral element of an overall "Materials Roadmap Enabling Low Carbon Technologies", a Commission Staff Working Document published in December 2011. The Materials Roadmap aims at contributing to strategic decisions on materials research funding at European and Member State levels and is aligned with the priorities of the Strategic Energy Technology Plan (SET-Plan). It is intended to serve as a guide for developing specific research and development activities in the field of materials for energy applications over the next 10 years.

This report provides an in-depth analysis of the state-of-the-art and future challenges for energy technology-related materials and the needs for research activities to support the development of hydrogen and fuel cell technology both for the 2020 and the 2050 market horizons.

It has been produced by independent and renowned European materials scientists and energy technology experts, drawn from academia, research institutes and industry, under the coordination the SET-Plan Information System (SETIS), which is managed by the Joint Research Centre (JRC) of the European Commission. The contents were presented and discussed at a dedicated hearing in which a wide pool of stakeholders participated, including representatives of the relevant technology platforms, industry associations and the Joint Programmes of the European Energy Research Association.
Aim and scope

The purpose of this technology chapter on Hydrogen and Fuel Cells (H₂&FC) technologies is to provide an overview of material issues in the field. Even though the Materials Roadmap is focussed on energy applications, it should be pointed out that H₂&FC technologies can potentially play a key role also in future transport applications. The chapter is covering the areas of fuel cells, hydrogen production processes and hydrogen storage technologies. There is a large variety of processes and materials which cannot be analyzed in detail within the limited format of this contribution. Some topics are deliberately considered less in this report: H₂ from fossil fuels, H₂ purification, H₂ from biological processes, large-scale-hydrogen storage and H₂ transport, but synergies with other technology fields have been identified. Materials specification targets at different time horizons have been defined by estimating the performances requirements of the different technologies for market introduction.
SECTION 1: TECHNOLOGY AND SYSTEM STATE OF THE ART AND CHALLENGES

1.1. Fuel cells

Fuel cells are classified primarily by electrolyte material because the electrolyte determines the specific chemical reactions, the fuel, the catalysts and the operating temperature. A comparison of main fuel cell technologies (Proton exchange membrane, phosphoric acid, molten carbonate and solid oxide), showing conventional materials and associated performances in state-of-art technology, is provided in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alkaline FC</th>
<th>PEM FC</th>
<th>PAFC1</th>
<th>MCFC</th>
<th>SOFC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Main applications</td>
<td>automotive</td>
<td>stationary</td>
<td>stationary</td>
<td>stationary</td>
<td>stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology status</td>
<td>mature</td>
<td>mature</td>
<td>mature</td>
<td>mature</td>
<td>mature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power range (kW)</td>
<td>10 – 100 kW</td>
<td>1 – 250 kW</td>
<td>50 kW – 1 MW</td>
<td>1 kW – 5 MW</td>
<td>1 kW – 3 MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T range (°C)</td>
<td>+25 / +250</td>
<td>-20 / +100</td>
<td>+150 / +200</td>
<td>+600 / +700</td>
<td>+600 / +1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P range (bar)</td>
<td>1 – 5 bar</td>
<td>1 – 5 bar</td>
<td>1 – 5 bar</td>
<td>1 – 5 bar</td>
<td>1 – 5 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>CH4 (NG, bio)</td>
<td>CH4 (NG, bio)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidizer</td>
<td>air-O2</td>
<td>air-O2</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte / pH</td>
<td>30-45% aqueous KOH</td>
<td>perfluorosulfonic acid polymer</td>
<td>liquid H3PO4</td>
<td>Li0.62K0.38CO3</td>
<td>Li0.3Na0.7CO3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile species</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H2O4</td>
<td>H2O2</td>
<td>CO22-</td>
<td>O2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode catalyst</td>
<td>Ag, Pt</td>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>Li4Ni11.0O</td>
<td>LaSr manganite, LaSr ferrites, LaSr cobaltites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode carrier</td>
<td>carbon</td>
<td>carbon paper</td>
<td>carbon paper</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode catalyst loading (mg/cm²)</td>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>&gt; 0.4</td>
<td>0.8-0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anode catalyst</td>
<td>Raney Ni</td>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>Pt, Pt-Ru</td>
<td>Ni + 2 wt.% Cr</td>
<td>Ni-cermet Yr-stabilised ZrO2 + ceria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anode catalyst loading (mg/cm²)</td>
<td>10-100</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
<td>0.5-0.35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separator</td>
<td>asbestos polyethylene, polypropylene</td>
<td>PFSA polymer electrolyte</td>
<td>C, SiC</td>
<td>γ-LiAlO2</td>
<td>ceramic electrolyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar plates</td>
<td>stainless steel</td>
<td>Carbon-based</td>
<td>metals, TiC, molded graphite</td>
<td>austenitic stainless steel</td>
<td>ferritic steel Cr96Fe alloy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell gasket</td>
<td>EPDM</td>
<td>silicone rubber EPDM fluororolastomer</td>
<td>exfoliated graphite</td>
<td>Viton, PTFE</td>
<td>glass, glass-ceramics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containment</td>
<td>stainless steel</td>
<td>stainless steel</td>
<td>stainless steel</td>
<td>stainless steel</td>
<td>ferritic steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. current density (A/cm²)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2-0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell voltage with air as oxidizer (V)</td>
<td>0.5-0.6</td>
<td>0.6-0.7</td>
<td>0.5-0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max fuel-to-electricity efficiency (%)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>45–55 %</td>
<td>&gt; 40 %</td>
<td>52-60 %</td>
<td>35-60 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max combined heat &amp; power efficiency (%)</td>
<td>&gt; 80% (low grade waste heat)</td>
<td>70–90% (low grade waste heat)</td>
<td>&gt; 85 %</td>
<td>&gt; 80 %</td>
<td>&lt; 95 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durability (hours)</td>
<td>5,000 – 8,000</td>
<td>5,000-10,000</td>
<td>15,000-20,000</td>
<td>20,000-30,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: state-of-art performances of main fuel cell technologies.

1.1.1. Alkaline Fuel Cells

AFCs operate well at room temperature, have a good cold start capability and provide extremely high power densities. In addition to that, this is a rather cheap technology. However, a major disadvantage is the CO\textsubscript{2} sensitivity of the alkaline electrolytes as non-conducting alkali carbonates are formed. As a consequence, the use of AFCs has been restricted to special applications, where no CO\textsubscript{2} is present (e.g. in space vehicles). Nevertheless, some commercialization efforts are underway. Key engineering components and key materials are: (i) \textit{Electrolyte:} a static or flowing aqueous solution (30–45 wt.%) of potassium hydroxide (KOH) is normally used as an electrolyte. Asbestos has been used as cell separator. Its use is now prohibited; it could be replaced advantageously by alkalai anion exchange polymer membranes (see section on alkaline water electrolysis) (ii) \textit{Electrode/Catalyst Layer:} the oxygen reduction in alkaline environments as in AFC is more favorable than in acid environments, i.e., the voltage drop is lower or higher voltages are obtained at comparable current densities, leading to a higher efficiency of the system. Consequently, the use of smaller amounts of noble metal electrocatalysts and the use of non-noble metal catalysts is more favorable in an AFC than in any other system; Ni is used to oxidize hydrogen and silver/Pt to reduce oxygen; (iii) \textit{Bipolar plates:} alkaline fuel cell stacks are mostly build in monopolar configuration of the cells. Some attempts have been made to develop bipolar design using stainless steel\textsuperscript{7}. Conventional alkaline fuel cells are not prospective, but new concepts offer potential.

1.1.2. Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells

Low temperature PEMFC are considered for automotive applications. Other applications are for back-up power units, micro Combined Heat and Power (mCHP) and small portable power supply units. Large scale stationary PEMFC are interesting when H\textsubscript{2} is available from industrial plants (e.g. chlorine industry). Key components of PEMFC are: (i) \textit{Electrolyte:} state-of-the art electrolytes are based on perfluorosulfonic acid (PFSA) polymers such as Nafion\textsuperscript{8}. While specific conductivities of about 100 mS/cm are reached in fully humidified membranes, the conductivity is several orders of magnitude smaller at dry conditions. As a consequence, PEMFC stacks usually require complex auxiliary components for the humidification of the reactants and limited operating temperature (80 °C). The Nafion-based membranes are costly primarily due to their complex fabrication process. Nafion can be produced by polymerisation of tetrafluoroethylene with appropriate amounts of the co-monomer in its sulfonyl fluoride form. After processing this melt-fabricable precursor into a membrane Nafion is obtained by hydrolyzing the SO\textsubscript{2}F group with hot KOH followed by acid treatment\textsuperscript{9}. Other commercially available PFSA membranes (Aciplex and Flemion\textsuperscript{10}, Dow ionomer\textsuperscript{11}, Fumapem-F\textsuperscript{12}, Aquivion\textsuperscript{13}) are characterized by slightly different structures of the side chain. Among non-fluorinated membranes, sulfonated polyarylene ether ether ketone (S-PEEK) has probably
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\textsuperscript{8} DuPont Nafion PFSA membranes, DuPont product information 2005, www.dupont.com/fuelecels
\textsuperscript{12} http://www.fumatech.com/EN/Onlineshop/fumapem-fuel-cells/
\textsuperscript{13} http://www.aquivion.com
received the most attention\textsuperscript{14}. Due to the absence of fluorine in the backbone, the acidity is weaker, its oxidative stability is less and phase separation is less pronounced when compared to PFSA polymers pores. Swelling of S-PEEK is a concern. With a too high sulfonation grade, the water uptake of S-PEEK becomes extreme, even far below 100° C\textsuperscript{15}. This limits the sulfonic acid content and thus the proton conductivity. Reinforcements have been applied to control the swelling of S-PEEK. The main benefit of S-PEEK is its relative low cost. Sulfonated poly(arylene)ethersulfone (S-PES) has been proven not to be stable enough for usage in fuel cells\textsuperscript{16}. (ii) Electrode/Catalyst Layer: The electrode can be divided into two sublayers: the so-called active or catalyst layer (CL) next to the membrane where the electrochemical reaction takes place, and the gas diffusion layer (GDL) for gas distribution and water management. In the CL the catalyst particles are dispersed on an electronically conducting carbon support that is connected to the GDL. Between and on the carbon support there is a proton conducting phase, usually recast Nafion, which is in contact with the catalyst. The advantage of using supported catalyst material is that it enables a high surface area of the catalyst. The penalty for this is that the active layer has a considerable thickness in the order of 5–15 µm. Currently only expensive Pt or Pt-alloy (Pt–Co, Pt–Ni, Pt–Fe, Pt–V, Pt–Mn and Pt–Cr) catalysts exhibit appropriate mass activities\textsuperscript{17}. Therefore the CL significantly contributes to fuel cell cost. State-of-the-art cathode catalysts are Pt or Pt-alloy supported on carbon black materials with high Pt weight percentages, order of 20–60 wt%. Pt loadings in the order of 0.4 mg/cm\textsuperscript{2} at the cathode and as low as 0.05 mg/cm\textsuperscript{2} at the anode are commonly used\textsuperscript{18}. Carbon blacks are prepared by thermal decomposition (acetylene blacks) or by partial oxidation of hydrocarbons (furnace blacks). Typical examples of furnace black are Vulcan, Ketjen black and Black Pearls. The different methods result in carbon blacks with different rates of graphite and amorphous carbons and with specific surface area in the order 10–2000 m\textsuperscript{2}/g. The electrochemical stability of the Pt supported catalyst is a severe issue, especially at 90-100 °C. CL degradation is strongly affected by materials, manufacturing and fuel cell operating conditions. Voltage cycling increases the rate of electrocatalyst surface area loss while start-up/shut-down induces membrane degradation and carbon corrosion\textsuperscript{19}. The GDL (200–400 µm thick) consists of macroporous, electronically conducting material and has an important function in the water management of the membrane electrode assembly (MEA). As under PEMFC operating conditions water is often produced in the liquid state, the GDL must allow the transport of liquid water in addition to water vapour without being flooded. On the other hand the GDL plays a role in keeping the membrane and active layers humidified when gas conditions are sub-saturated. In order to optimize the water management requirements a hydrophobic, microporous (pore sizes < 100 nm) carbon layer (MPL) with thickness 30–50 µm is often applied on the side facing the active layer; (iii) Bipolar plates: bipolar or flow plate materials must be resistant to acids, oxidation and hydrogen embrittlement in the fuel cell environment and make up for the major part of the weight (in the order of 80–90 wt.%) and volume of a fuel cell. So they are for a major part determining the power density of a PEM fuel cell stack. Presently several types of materials are being used in flow plates: Graphite, which exhibits high conductivity, is chemically stable, and can sustain high temperatures. However, it is brittle and lacks mechanical strength, and is also relatively permeable to hydrogen, which results in a minimal plate thickness of 5–6mm. Machining is the only suitable production technique, which is costly and

\begin{footnotesize}
\end{footnotesize}
time consuming. The material is available from, among others, POCO Graphite (USA), and SGL Carbon (Germany). Several metallic alloys are envisaged as possible bipolar plate materials combining excellent gas impermeability and mechanical resistance. Stainless steels, aluminum, nickel, copper, titanium, bulk amorphous alloys and even carbon steels are investigated in the literature for this application. Most of the scientific reports focus on stainless steels due to a suitable conjunction of technical performance and ease of manufacturing at low cost. It is not to be disregarded, though, that metallic bipolar plates undergo corrosive processes in the PEM fuel cell environment. Hence, the growth of a less conductive oxide layer negatively affects the electrical conductivity of the plate. Furthermore, ions that are leached from the metallic surface may poison the catalyst layer in the membrane electrode assembly. Graphite–polymer composites with either thermoplastic or thermoset matrices are advantageous over metallic materials with regard to corrosion resistance and low weight. Additionally, they may be produced in an economical way, from compression, transfer or injection molding processes, depending on the number of units to be manufactured. There are several different types of commercially available graphite–polymer composite bipolar plates, e.g. polypropylene (PP), polyphenylene sulfide (PPS), polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) and phenolic resin. Nevertheless, they are more permeable to gases, and present lower bulk electrical conductivity and mechanical resistance than metallic plates. However, the mechanical strength for stationary applications is perfectly adequate and only envisaged as a problem if one considers the vibrations typical of vehicle applications when the fuel cells replace internal combustion engines. So, the uppermost handicap is the lack of electrical conductivity, which is critical independently of the final application of the device.

The temperature of operation of conventional low temperature PEMFC has been extended over the last years by using proton-conducting polymers that can sustain higher operating temperature, leading to the concept of so-called high temperature PEMFCs. The development of materials such as Poly BenzImidazole (PBI), PBI blends or new High Temperature polymer electrolytes impregnated with phosphoric acid and appropriate electrocatalysts now allow the operation of PEMFCs at 130-200°C. In addition to higher kinetics, such cells can operate at ~180°C with a reformate gas containing up to 2% carbon monoxide (CO) whereas low temperature PEM fuel cells require high purity hydrogen fuel and cannot operate with even trace amounts of CO.

1.1.3. Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cells

Phosphoric acid fuel cells (PAFC) use concentrated (≈ 100%) liquid phosphoric acid as the electrolyte (the acid is usually contained in a PTFE-bonded silicon carbide matrix) and porous carbon electrodes containing a platinum catalyst are used at both anode and cathode. Operating temperature
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29 N. Gourdoupil et al., Aromatic polyether copolymers and polymer blends and fuel cells comprising same, US patent 60/843,801
typically ranges between 150 and 220°C. This type of fuel cell is typically used for stationary power generation. Some PAFC have been used to power large vehicles such as city buses. In principle, high temperature fuel cells may have great advantages because hydrogen fuel can be utilized which still contains impurities such as CH₄, CO or SO₂ from the production process. Hence, much cheaper hydrogen can be used which makes the technique more attractive. In addition, the higher temperature level of 120 - 180°C allows the use of smaller heat management units and transfer of excess heat to the environment is less expensive. Also, the higher working temperature allows higher turnover frequencies at the catalytic centres which offer the design of smaller and cheaper devices. Current issues in the development are the lifetime of the membranes, the power densities and cold-start properties, e.g. in combination with other devices. Phosphoric acid fuel cells are already commercially available. UTC Power has developed systems (∼ 400 kW) that use natural gas as fuel for combined heat and power applications. In Europe, SERENERGY (DK) is an actor which already offers systems of several kW commercially.

1.1.4. Solid Oxide Fuel Cells

SOFC have been initially developed for operation temperature above 900 °C by using a ceramic oxide electrolyte. Dense ceramic electrolyte and porous ceramic and metal ceramic electrodes form a multilayer, all-solid-state system. Operation at lower temperatures (less than 600 °C) offers the advantage of using inexpensive metals as bipolar plates, a main component of a SOFC stack. Key materials for SOFC are: Ni-cermet as fuel electrode, yttria or scandia stabilised (substituted) zirconia as electrolyte and electronically conducting perovskites such as La-Sr-manganites, ferrite-cobaltite’s as air electrode materials. An important structural element is the bipolar plate that interconnects the cells and controls the gas flows to fuel and air electrodes respectively. Depending on the operating temperature, stainless steels with high or low chromium content is used. To insure long-term operation, the interconnect (at least on the air side) is coated with an oxide (spinell or perovskite) to prevent oxidation and release of chromium oxide. The cells are sealed by using either glasses or glass ceramics. The fabrication processes related to materials can be considered applicable for all types of high temperature fuel cells. The ceramic powders are prepared from raw materials by either: (i) solid state reaction; (ii) combustion assisted processes (flame spraying); (iii) co-precipitation (yttria stabilised zirconia). Metal components are prepared by state of the art metallurgical routes. Forming and shaping of the ceramic layers/components involve screenprinting, tapecasting, and in some cases extrusion (MgAlO₄ support) or injection moulding. The as prepared green layers, half cells and full cells are usually sintered in air. In case of metal supported cells, sintering takes place under inert or reducing atmospheres. The main challenges for solid oxide fuel cell technology are cost reduction and increased reliability and durability. SOFC technology is particularly considered for combined heat and power supply using micro-CHP systems but also for application in larger decentralised units for which operation times above 40,000 h are required (this is equivalent to degradation rates less than 0.5%/1000 hrs). Higher operation times have to be reached in micro-CHP applications through the reduction of parasite ohmic losses primarily originating from contact coatings, inter-diffusion processes at the cathode and electrolyte conductivity decay. The electric efficiency of a SOFC can be further increased by coupling the SOFC with a gas turbine (SOFC-GT). Electric power is thus generated by the SOFC (DC) and the gas turbine generator (AC) using the same fuel/air gas flow. Some detailed analysis have shown that electrical efficiencies close to 60% can be achieved at the 250 kW power range, and even 60% at the 1 MW power range using conventional gas turbines. By using more sophisticated gas turbines, electrical efficiencies of 70% or more are expected at the 2 to 3 MW power range.

1.1.5. Molten Carbonate Fuel Cells

MCFCs are efficient and environmentally friendly CHP and/or tri-generation systems fuelled by NG or biofuels. They can tightly respond to the load profiles of specific end users. The efforts in
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MCFC over the last 20 years have resulted in an improved stack technology and optimized systems. The main efforts in MCFC were on the development of improved stack and system designs and testing of full-scale demonstrator in the 50-1,000 kW range. Significant lifetimes (> 60,000 hours at the labscale and 30,000 hours on-site) have been demonstrated\(^3\) but progress is still needed by improving system reliability and solving the major material issues like inter-diffusion processes in the electrodes, loss of electrolyte and corrosion of the bi-polar plates. Typical cell sizes are in the 0.75-1.0 m\(^2\) range. Industrial manufacturing methods like tape casting are used for the cell matrix. Industrial metal forming and join process are applied for bipolar plates, frames and collector. Cost reductions in manufacturing, improvements of components are the main challenges to become competitive.

### 1.1.6. Direct Carbon Fuel Cells

An early report on a DCFC based on molten sodium hydroxide electrolyte operating at 400-500 °C was released in 1896\(^{33}\). Since then, fuel cells using other fuels (in particular hydrogen) have received a larger attention, despite the fact that the energy released per unit volume for the direct oxidation of carbon with di-oxygen (23.95 kWh/l) substantially exceeds that of many other common fuels (5.9 kWh/l for methane, 2.6 kWh/l for hydrogen, 10.6 kWh/l for diesel\(^{34}\)). In addition to that, the DCFC offers different advantages such as (i) the use of a solid fuel with no specialised storage or handling requirements; (ii) limited NO\(_x\) and particulate emissions; (iii) a high conversion efficiency; (iv) the possibility to easily manage the sequestration of the CO\(_2\) exhaust stream. Oxide-ion conducting Yttria- (YSC) or Scandia-(SSC) Stabilized Zirconia (YSZ) can be used as electrolyte, as in SOFC. Doped or un-doped (strontium) lanthanum manganate can be used as cathode electrocatalyst for the high temperature reduction of oxygen, as in SOFC technology. A large variety of materials (metals, ceramics, cermets) can be used as bipolar plates. Main criteria for selection are (i) high temperature corrosion resistance; (ii) long-term compatibility with electrode materials; (iii) high mechanical strength; (iv) a thermal expansion coefficient adapted to the solid electrolyte; (v) good electrical and thermal conductivity. Chromium based alloys can be used for that purpose\(^3\)\(^5\). The main materials challenges in this type of fuel cell are chemical stability and obtaining high activity for the direct coal/carbon oxidation process which is currently about 50 mW/cm\(^2\) for hybrid direct carbon fuel cells\(^3\)\(^6\).

### 1.1.7. Unitized regenerative Fuel Cells

A unitized regenerative fuel cell is a device which can be used alternatively as a water electrolyser or a fuel cell. For material issues in low temperature URFC, see sections on PEM fuel cell and water electrolysis technologies. For those related to high temperature URFC, see sections on SOFC and water electrolysis technologies.

---
\(^{32}\) A. Moreno, St. McPhail, International status of Molten Carbonate Fuel Cell (MSCF) technology, IEA advanced fuel cells ENEA Roma, January 2008

\(^{33}\) W.W Jacques, An improved electric battery, and method or process of converting the potential energy of carbon or carbonaceous materials into electrical energy, US Patent 1896, No 4788.


1.2. **Hydrogen production**

Main hydrogen production paths are listed in Figure 1. Additionally, hydrogen can be obtained as by-product from a number of industrial processes.

**Figure 1**: overview of H₂ production paths categorized by source & type of primary energy.

1.2.1. **H₂ from fossil fuels**

Cheapest hydrogen is obtained today from fossil fuels using mature technologies. Typically the cost of hydrogen using either coal or natural gas ranges from 7 to 15 €/GJ (compared to 25 €/GJ for electrolytic hydrogen). The coal gasification process converts coal by limited and controlled addition of oxygen and/or steam at high temperature (> 700 °C) into a fuel gas rich in hydrogen and carbon monoxide called synthesis gas or syngas. Compared to the traditional burning of coal, gasification appeared as a clean and efficient way to generate electric power due to its ability to turn coal into a fuel gas that can be cleaned of most of its pollutant-forming impurities and burned in a gas turbine. Coal gasification is currently used on industrial scales to generate electricity. Large projects have been launched in the 2000s in Australia, Canada and South Africa assessing proof-of-concept. The produced syngas can also be converted into pure hydrogen using an additional high temperature chemical stage: the water gas shift reaction (WGS). Main material issues concern metallic components in contact with hot syngas that undergo corrosion in sulphur environment, chloride-assisted stress-corrosion cracking or erosion due to particles. Start-up and shut down also generate acidic gas condensation. Steam methane reforming (SMR) is the most common method used to produce commercial bulk hydrogen. This is a high temperature (700-1100 °C) process at 30-40 bar in presence of a metal-based catalyst. Natural gas (sulphur- and chlorine-free) is mixed with steam, heated by combustion of additional natural gas and is fed into the reformer where it reacts yielding carbon monoxide and hydrogen. The carbon monoxide (CO) can be transformed in the so called shift-reaction with additional steam over a catalyst into hydrogen and carbon dioxide (CO₂). Catalysts are made of 5-30% Ni deposited on aluminum oxide, aluminum-magnesium spinel or on silicon and magnesium oxides. Average service life of catalyst is 2 years. A possible side-application is offered by reformers integrated with or within

---


38 Roads2HyCom Hydrogen web site
MCFC systems, fed by natural gas, used as cleaner technologies to supply H₂ to service stations for H₂ vehicles. CCS technologies are critical to avoid carbon emissions (see synergies in section 5).

### 1.2.2. H₂ from water electrolysis

Water electrolysis offers a convenient way to produce decarbonized hydrogen although the energy required by the process is about four times larger than the energy required for the production of hydrogen from natural gas. The total energy required for the water splitting reaction remains almost constant over an extended range of temperature (from room temperature up to 1000°C). However, the thermodynamics of the water splitting reaction is such that the electric consumption can be reduced by increasing the operating temperature and supplying the remaining amount of energy in the form of cheaper heat\(^39\). From a technological viewpoint, different water electrolysis processes (operating at different temperatures and using different electrolytes) are available. A comparison of these processes, showing conventional materials and associated performances in state-of-art technology, is provided in Table 2. Both alkaline and PEM technologies have reach a level of technological maturity. Medium-temperature water electrolysis using proton-conducting ceramics as electrolyte and solid oxide water electrolysis using oxide-ion conducting ceramics are still the subject of extensive R&D programs.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology status</th>
<th>Alkaline(^40)</th>
<th>PEM(^41)</th>
<th>Medium T</th>
<th>Solid Oxide(^42)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T range (°C)</td>
<td>ambient - 120(^43)</td>
<td>ambient - 90</td>
<td>250-600</td>
<td>800-1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte / pH</td>
<td>25-30 wt.% (KOH)(_{aq})</td>
<td>PFSA</td>
<td>polymer or Sr[Ce(<em>{1-x}Zr_x)](</em>{1.95}) (Yb_{0.05}O_1) (La_{0.6}Ba_{0.4}SeO_2)</td>
<td>(Y_2O_3-ZrO_2, Sc_2O_3-ZrO_2, MgO-ZrO_2, CaO-ZrO_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile species</td>
<td>OH(^-)</td>
<td>H(_3O^+)</td>
<td>H(_2O^+)</td>
<td>O(^2-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode catalyst</td>
<td>nickel foam/ Ni-SS</td>
<td>platinum</td>
<td>Ni –YSZ or Ni-GDC Cermet with proton conducting electrolyte</td>
<td>Ni –YSZ or Ni-GDC Cermet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode carrier</td>
<td>nickel foam/ Ni-SS Ni-Mo/ZrO(_2)-TiO(_2)</td>
<td>carbon</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anode catalyst</td>
<td>Ni(_2)CoO(_3), La-Sr-CoO(_2), Co(_3)O(_4)</td>
<td>Ir/Ru oxide(^45)</td>
<td>(La,Sr)MnO(_3), (La,Sr)MnO(_3), (La,Sr)MnO(_3), (La,Sr)MnO(_3),</td>
<td>(La,Sr)MnO(_3), (La,Sr)MnO(_3), (La,Sr)MnO(_3), (La,Sr)MnO(_3),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anode carrier</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separator</td>
<td>asbestos, PAM(^46), ZrO(_2)-PPSF(^47), NiO, Sb(_2)O(_3)-PS(^48)</td>
<td>electrolyte membrane</td>
<td>electrolyte membrane</td>
<td>electrolyte membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sealant</td>
<td>metallic synthetic rubber or fluoroelastomer</td>
<td>glass and vitreoceramics</td>
<td>glass and vitreoceramics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current distributor</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>titanium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ferritic SS (Crofer APU)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


\(^46\) polysulfone-bonded polyantimonic acid

\(^47\) ZrO\(_2\) on polyphenylsulfone (PPSF)

\(^48\) polysulfone impregnated with Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\) polyoxide
Table 2: comparison of main water electrolysis technologies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Containment material</th>
<th>nickel plated steel</th>
<th>stainless steel</th>
<th>stainless steel</th>
<th>stainless steel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>P range (bar)</strong></td>
<td>1 – 200</td>
<td>1 – 350 (700)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 - 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conventional current density (A/cm²)</strong></td>
<td>0.2 – 0.5</td>
<td>0 - 3</td>
<td>0 – 0.1</td>
<td>0 – 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Efficiency (%)</strong> (at i A.cm⁻² / Ucell V / T°C)</td>
<td>60-80</td>
<td>80⁹⁹</td>
<td>lab-scale tests</td>
<td>100⁹⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capacity (Nm³/hour)</strong></td>
<td>1 – 500</td>
<td>1 - 230</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Durability (hours)</strong></td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>10,000 – 50,000</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500 – 2,000³¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H₂O specification</strong></td>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>ρ &gt; 10 MΩ.cm</td>
<td>steam</td>
<td>steam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Load cycling</strong></td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>no data av.</td>
<td>no data av.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stop/go cycling</strong></td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>no data av.</td>
<td>weak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a- Alkaline water electrolysis

Alkaline water electrolysis is already a mature technology. Current electrolysis modules have a capacity of approximately 60 kg/hour (≈ 670 Nm³/hour). The largest integrated electrolysis unit is located at Aswan (Egypt) with a capacity of ca. 3,000 kg/hour (≈ 33,600 Nm³/hour). From an economic viewpoint, its durability is considered as satisfactory for continuous operation. By design, current alkaline electrolysis cell can hardly operate at very low current density. This limits the flexibility of the electrolyser in load-following operation which will be necessary upon coupling to renewable energy sources. Most efficient diaphragms used to be made of asbestos which is now forbidden in most countries. They have been replaced by less hazardous materials (e.g. composite ceramic/polymer materials) but there is still room for improvement. Enhanced electro-catalysis is also an issue.

b- Proton Exchange Membrane water electrolysis

Current main materials manufacturing processes are: (i) solid polymer electrolyte (see PEMFC section); (ii) electrocatalysts are prepared from precursor noble metal salts. There is a wide variety of process used for platting catalytic layers, either or the porous current collectors or directly onto the polymer membrane. Carbon-supported catalysts used at the cathode for the HER (Hydrogen Evolution Reaction) are similar to those used in PEMFC. Iridium particles can be prepared from hexachloroiridic acid; Iridium oxide is prepared using the Adams-fusion method; (iii) current collectors are manufactured by sintering titanium metallic particles. This is an energy consuming and hence expensive process; (iv) cell sealants are made of fluoroelastomers (copolymers of hexafluoropropylene and vinylidene fluoride or terpolymers of tetrafluorethylene, vinylidene fluoride and hexafluoropropylene or perfluoromethylvinylether with additives). State-of-art PEM water electrolysis is already an efficient process. The challenge is to obtain similar efficiencies at higher current densities. PEM electrolyzers that can deliver several Nm³ H₂/hour have also demonstrated their ability to operate in the 10,000-100,000 hours time range. In spite of this, non-energy costs remain elevated and PEM water electrolysis remains an expensive technology compared to the more conventional alkaline technology. This is mostly due to the use of noble metals as electrocatalysts (including expensive precursor salts) and expensive proton-conducting perfluorinated polymers. Cost reduction remains challenging although carbon-supported catalysts can be used at the cathode and iridium alloys with low iridium contents can be used at the anode. Alternative noble-metal-free catalysts (mostly used


at the cathode in place of platinum) have been reported with significant overall efficiencies (70 % at 1 A.cm\(^{-2}\))\(^{52}\), a route which still requires additional R&D efforts and could also have positive side-effects since the replacement of platinum would release the constraints on feed-water resistivity (Pt is highly sensitive to metal under-potential deposition). Concerning the solid polymer electrolyte, there is a need to develop polymeric proton conductors for operation at more elevated temperatures. Research in this field is driven by potential applications in the \(\mathrm{H}_2/\mathrm{O}_2\) fuel cell technology for automotive applications. An operating temperature close to 150 °C would lead to more efficient fuel cells. It can be expected that the results of this research will also benefit to PEM water electrolysis. Pressure is also an important issue. Whereas only low pressure (1-50 bar) electrolyzers are available, some attempts have been made up to 150 bar and there are claims of operation up to 300 and 700 bar.

**c- Water electrolysis using proton-conducting ceramics**

There is an interest at performing water electrolysis at higher (800-1000 °C) temperatures using solid oxide water electrolysis: the amount of electricity required is lower and the kinetics of half-cell reactions is favoured. However, research in material science is facing very critical issues due to important corrosion effects and inability to sustain repeated thermal cycles. For these reasons, operation in the intermediate temperature range (400-600 °C) is now receiving larger attention. Some proton-conducting ceramics, in particular some simple or mixed perovskites present a high ionic conductivity when exposed to water vapour. They can potentially be used as thin (<100 µm) solid electrolyte for the manufacturing of water electrolysis cells\(^{53}\).

**d- Solid Oxide water electrolysis**

Cell components are related to those used in SOFC. However, even though the Solid oxide cells can be operated in fuel cell or reversed electrolysis cell mode, the electrolysis operation poses additional challenges on the materials. As can be seen from Table 2, most significant challenges are found in material science (material dilatation, material stability and corrosion issues) due to the high operating temperature. The degradation rate of the ceramic cell under high steam content remains too high. It is accelerated by interconnect corrosion and cations release in the gases and in the electrodes. Gas purity has a large influence on the degradation rate; tightness is generally ensured by glass seals or vitro-ceramic seals that do not survive numerous thermal cycles. First tests made on five-cells units over 2,000 h at 800 °C and 0.3 A/cm\(^2\) have revealed a degradation rate of cell voltage close to 15 % / 1000 h\(^54\). Results obtained at CERAMATEC and Idaho National Laboratory\(^{55}\) (INL) at limited current density also indicated a degradation rate close to 20 % / 1000 h at 800-900 °C. More recent tests performed within the EU FP7 RelHy project at 800 °C and 0.6 A/cm\(^2\) allowed decreasing the degradation rate to less than 5 % / 1000 h\(^56\). The nature of these degradations remains under investigations and only few experimental data are available on the subject\(^57\). A compromise has to be found between performance and lifetime. Among various causes for performance degradation, the highly corrosive environment in the electrolyzer and mechanical and thermal constraints are responsible for most losses. An option would be to reduce the operating temperature down to the 700-800 °C range, to facilitate the usage of metallic components and to decrease degradation rates while keeping high electrochemical activity. At such temperatures, the ionic conductivity of yttrium

---


stabilized ZrO$_2$ becomes insufficient. Scandia doped zirconium\textsuperscript{94} and some nickelates (Ruddlesden – Popper compounds) have been proposed\textsuperscript{58}. An interesting extension is the co-electrolysis of water vapor and carbon dioxide that can be used to improve the efficiency of the process and to produce a syngas (H$_2$ + CO) which in turn can be used for the production of synthetic fuels\textsuperscript{59}.

1.2.3. H$_2$ from water and thermochemical cycles

Because of thermodynamic restrictions (the free enthalpy change of the water splitting reaction becomes negative only at very high temperature) acceptable yields in the direct thermal splitting of water can only be achieved at temperatures above 2000°C\textsuperscript{60}. Such high temperatures impose very challenging constraints on materials and reactor design. These temperatures can be decreased by considering thermochemical cycles which proceed in several steps alternatively reducing and oxidizing a suitable chemical compound such as a metal oxide with water vapor to form hydrogen and oxygen while recycling the chemical compound. The heat required by the thermochemical cycles could be produced by either nuclear or solar power plants. A large variety of cycles can be used to produce hydrogen from water and has been investigated\textsuperscript{61}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle</th>
<th>No. of steps</th>
<th>Max. operation temp. (°C)</th>
<th>LHV efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>First group (short term applications)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid Sulphuric acid cycle (WH)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur-Iodine process (GA)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrites</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1100-1800</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second group (long term applications)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn/ZnO</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuCl</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: main thermochemical cycles for hydrogen production.

These promising processes for CO$_2$-free hydrogen production fall into two major categories, according to their level of maturity. The first group includes processes with industrial perspectives by 2020 and the second gathers those requiring additional developments for application beyond 2020 as illustrated in table 3 (R&D, demonstration). The major advantages of these cycles are their high efficiency and the possibility to couple them directly to concentrating solar installations (several hundred MW range). These processes require materials with optimized properties for each chemical step. Different materials (e.g. SiC or metals such as Ta, Zr, YSR, Hastelloy) that can sustain process conditions (high temperatures and corrosive environments) are under investigation.

1.2.4. H$_2$ from water and photo-electrochemical processes

Research in this field is more prospective but offers interesting perspectives. Although the concept of photo-electrochemical cells is frequently cited in the literature, the photo-electrochemical dissociation of water for the production of molecular hydrogen is not yet a mature technology. There are three different types of photo-cells: (i) n-type semiconductor photo-anode and metallic cathode; (ii) n-type semiconductor photo-anode and p-type semiconductor photo-cathode; (iii) p-type semiconductor photo-cathode and metallic anode. First kind prevails in experiments. Key engineering components of photo-cells are: (i) light transparent electron-conducting glasses; (ii) photo-electrodes;

\textsuperscript{58} P. Stevens, J-M. Bassat, F. Mauvy, J-C. Grenier, C. Lalanne, Matériaux d’anode pour SOEC, French Patent EDF/CNRS WO 2006/008390.


\textsuperscript{61} EU FP6 INNOHYP CA , IEA HIA Annex 25 and Gen IV collaboration on nuclear hydrogen production
(iii) electrolyte. The design of such cells has been reported in detail in the literature. In 1979, Fujishima and Honda showed that a suspension of colloidal TiO$_2$ nanoparticles irradiated by sun-light could also be used to split water molecules into hydrogen and oxygen, although subsequent work showed that the use of TiO$_2$ requires the application of an external bias voltage. They also showed that oxide materials, which are highly corrosion-resistant, may be used as the photo-electrodes. The search for other oxide materials as candidates for photo-electrodes that do not require an external bias for water decomposition, has led to several oxide materials such as KTiO$_3$ and SrTiO$_3$, which however exhibit light energy conversions that are substantially lower than that of TiO$_2$. Then complex electrode structures have been developed to apply internal bias voltage, leading to an increase in energy conversion efficiency to more than 1%. Further progresses have been obtained using two photo-electrodes. The light absorption of TiO$_2$ was then substantially increased by modification of its semiconducting and electrochemical properties through doping with alio-valent ions (producing solid-solutions with other oxides) as well as heterogeneous doping with nanoparticles of noble metals. Recent studies have shown the interest of dyes to increase light absorption (spectral sensitization). A lot of effort has already been made to develop and use synthetic inorganic dyes (transition metal complexes) and use them in photo-electrochemical devices to produce either electrical power ($\Delta G = 0$) or synthetic fuels ($\Delta G > 0$).

1.2.5. H$_2$ purification

The hydrogen purification process is an intermediate step between hydrogen production and its utilization. The required purity of hydrogen strongly depends on application and technology used. It is a critical issue for several H$_2$&FC technologies (fuel cells and storage units) while for others is less stressed. On the other hand, nature and quantity of contaminants is depending on the technology used for hydrogen production. Thus, there are different purification techniques used depending on the actual boundary conditions:

- **Pressure Swing Adsorption (PSA):** the feed gas passes through stationary adsorber layer (zeolite) at a maximum pressure of 40 bar and a temperature of 17-37 °C. All hydrogen pollutants (N$_2$, CO, CH$_4$, CO$_2$, H$_2$O, Ar, C$_2$-C$_8$, methanol, ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, organic sulfur compounds and many others) are trapped and purified H$_2$ is released.
- **Cryogenic process:** feed gas is cooled and main impurities are liquefied and eliminated using phase-separation techniques. In conventional technology, operating conditions are: a temperature of -173 to -153 °C and a maximum pressure of 60 bar. This is an expensive process not suited for the treatment of large quantities of H$_2$.
- **Filtration of molecular H$_2$:** porous or dense polymer or ceramic membranes are used as material.
- **Selective permeation of atomic hydrogen across metallic membranes:** palladium or palladium-alloys membranes are used as permeation material. This is a highly selective process which can be used to extract pure hydrogen from bio-fuels steam reformers feed a fuel cell but corrosion is an important issue.

---

1.3. Hydrogen storage

There are two main categories of H\textsubscript{2} storage processes: (i) physical storage (compressed gas, cryogenic); (ii) storage in solid materials (chemical storage, physisorption). In addition, there are a number of hydrogenated compounds (e.g. ammonia) which can act as hydrogen carrier. Quantitative characteristics of main H\textsubscript{2} storage technologies in state-of-art (2010) are compiled in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Storage Technology</th>
<th>Volumetric density (kg H\textsubscript{2}/m\textsuperscript{3})</th>
<th>Gravimetric density (reversible) (wt %)</th>
<th>Operating pressure (bar)</th>
<th>Operating temperature (K)</th>
<th>Cost* ($ / kg H\textsubscript{2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compressed gas (H\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{70}</td>
<td>17 - 33</td>
<td>3 - 4.8 (system)</td>
<td>350 &amp; 700</td>
<td>Ambient</td>
<td>400-700*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryogenic (H\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{71}</td>
<td>35 - 40</td>
<td>6.5 – 14 (system)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200-270*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryo-compressed (H\textsubscript{2})</td>
<td>30 - 42</td>
<td>4.7 – 5.5 (system)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High pressure - solid</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2 (system)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>243 – 298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbents (H\textsubscript{2})\textsuperscript{72}</td>
<td>20 - 30</td>
<td>5 – 7 (material)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal hydrides (H)\textsuperscript{73}</td>
<td>&lt; 150</td>
<td>2 – 6.7 (material)</td>
<td>1 - 30</td>
<td>ambient – 553</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex hydrides (H)\textsuperscript{74}</td>
<td>&lt; 120</td>
<td>4.5 – 6.7 (material)</td>
<td>1 - 50</td>
<td>423 – 573</td>
<td>300-450*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical hydrides (H)\textsuperscript{75}</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3 – 5 (system)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>353 – 473</td>
<td>160-270**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* cost estimates based on 500,000 units production; ** regeneration and processing costs not included

Table 4: comparison of main hydrogen storage media.

Materials mainly used to improve the structure of the containment vessels are found in the first category. A huge variety of compounds working as active materials is found in the second category. The material’s specification targets differ widely from one application to the other. Volumetric and gravimetric hydrogen densities of some selected hydrides compared with equivalent values describing alternative H\textsubscript{2} storage systems are plotted in figure 2\textsuperscript{70}. Mg\textsubscript{2}FeH\textsubscript{6} shows the highest known volumetric hydrogen density of 150 kg/m\textsuperscript{3}, which is more than twice that of liquid hydrogen. LiBH\textsubscript{4} exhibits the highest gravimetric hydrogen density of 18 mass%. Pressurized gas storage is shown for steel (tensile strength $\sigma_v$=460 MPa, density 6500 kg/m\textsuperscript{3}) and a hypothetical composite material ($\sigma_v$=1500 MPa, density 3000 kg/m\textsuperscript{3}).

![Figure 2: a plot of volumetric versus gravimetric H\textsubscript{2} densities for main H\textsubscript{2} storage paths.](image)

\textsuperscript{70} compressed H\textsubscript{2} in a lightweight composite reservoir
\textsuperscript{71} liquid H\textsubscript{2} tank (a few percent loss/day at ambient temperature)
\textsuperscript{72} High surface area carbons, MOFs
\textsuperscript{73} reversible formation/dissolution of metal hydrides (H\textsubscript{2}+intermetallic compounds); hysteresis but reversible; heat due to enthalpy of formation/dissolution of hydride phase
\textsuperscript{74} e.g. alanates, borohydrides, amides / imides, etc. in a reversible manner
\textsuperscript{75} off-board regeneration required
\textsuperscript{76} A. Züttel, Materials for hydrogen storage, Materials Today 2003, Vol. 6, 24-33.
To date, compressed gas or cryogenic liquid tanks are used in demonstration vehicles. These tanks are heavier and bulkier than conventional fuel tanks. Although compressed gas and liquid storage are useful as temporary options on the short-term, they are not seen as the appropriate long-term solution to the storage challenge as they are expensive and fall far short of the demanding EC and US Department of Energy (DOE) targets (5.5 wt% and 40 g/l by the year 2010, and 7.5 wt% and 70 g/l as ultimate goals). Therefore, to ensure widespread use of hydrogen as a zero-carbon and safe fuel for fuel cells, advanced storage systems will be required, especially for automotive applications. On-board hydrogen storage for automotive applications remains a bottleneck process on the way to the hydrogen economy.

1.3.1. Physical storage
a- Compressed $\text{H}_2$ Storage

From the material viewpoint, a compressed-$\text{H}_2$ (CH2) storage unit is mainly a reservoir. Light composite cylinders which can sustain large pressures (up to 80 MPa) are available, offering the possibility to reach volumetric densities half that in its liquid form at the normal boiling point. A tank classification in four types is commonly used to illustrate the evolution of the technology and maximum allowable pressures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Pressure (bar)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>metal tank</td>
<td>aluminium/steel</td>
<td>175/200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Metal tank + filament windings</td>
<td>aluminium/glass/steel/carbon fiber/steel/aramide</td>
<td>260/300/300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Composite material fiber glass + metal liner</td>
<td>aluminium/glass/alamide</td>
<td>300/450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Composite material fiber + polymer liner</td>
<td>carbon/polyeth.</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: classification of compressed hydrogen storage tanks.

The tensile strength of common materials varies from 50 MPa (aluminium) to more than 1,100 MPa for some specific steels. Composite materials can further increase the limit (2,000 MPa) with lower densities\textsuperscript{77}. For initial launches, most car manufacturers are in favour of compressed hydrogen (CH2) tanks due to their technical availability and the cost projections for the vehicle and the relevant infrastructure. All-composite (type IV) or metal lined composite (type III) tanks have been developed for automotive applications and significant progress has been achieved regarding their performance in demonstration fleets. Filling rates similar to conventional vehicles can be attained with pre-cooling of the hydrogen and communication during the fill. Cost is the greatest single barrier to large scale use of CH2 tanks. Carbon fibre is well known as a cost driver for these tanks however assembly cost is also high at present, and there is also room for cost reduction in the binder\textsuperscript{78}. While CH2 tanks are expensive at the current, extremely low, production volumes, the nature and amounts of raw materials needed allow us to consider that prices could be sustainable at high volumes. This aspect defines the most important research need – cost reduction. This can be pursued via novel methods of carbon fibre production, using fibres with a wider distribution of tensile strength, or by improved ways to assemble tanks to enhance throughput and reduce capital costs\textsuperscript{78}. Another valuable research area is enabling appropriate and effective codes and standards.

b- Liquid H₂ Storage

From the material viewpoint, a liquid-H₂ storage unit is mainly a reservoir. Aluminium or aluminium alloys (series 5000) and austenitic steels (AISI 316 L and 304 L) which retain good ductility at low temperature or PTFE (Teflon) and Kel-F are commonly used as tank component materials. Cylindrical tanks made of aluminium, which weighs 50 % less than standard steel designs and achieves a gravimetric storage density of 14.8 wt % (4.9 kWh/kg) and a volumetric density of 43 gH₂/l (1.43 kWh/l) have been developed. Moreover, flat mattress - like tank having storage density values of 6 wt% and 31 gH₂/l (2 kWh/kg, 1.03 kWh/l respectively) have also been developed. Although marked improvement in LH₂ storage has taken place in recent years, there is still some concern about maintaining low heat transfer into such a tank over the life of a vehicle that will experience a wide range of thermal and vibrational fatigue conditions. From an energy efficiency perspective, the energy consumed in hydrogen liquefaction is a problem that has reduced interest in liquid LH₂ systems – though there has been reconsideration of the so-called well to tank efficiency, which includes both production and delivery.

c- Cryo-compressed H₂ Storage

The cryo-compressed tank is an alternate design that greatly reduces the boil-off problem. It bases at combination of low temperature and pressure (cryo-compression). It not only reduce the losses of hydrogen caused by venting to cases of infrequent driving, but also improve the gravimetric and volumetric densities of hydrogen stored. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory presented a cryo-compressed tank with system storage densities of 4.7 wt% and 30 gH₂/l (1.57 kWh/kg and 1 kWh/l). This method has been cited by the DOE as capable of reaching capacities of the order of 5.5 wt% and 42 g/l (1.83 kWh/kg and 1.4 kWh/l). While such tanks approach the levels of gravimetric and volumetric capacity required, the cost remains the main obstacle.

1.3.2. Storage in solid materials

From the material viewpoint, a solid-H₂ storage unit is a reservoir plus a hydrogenated compound. Tremendous efforts have been devoted to the R&D of materials that can hold sufficient H₂ in terms of gravimetric and volumetric densities, and, at the same time, possess suitable thermodynamic and kinetic properties. Over the last decade, the scope of candidate materials has expanded greatly, from traditional metal hydrides to complex and chemical hydrides, and from activated carbon to metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) and porous polymers, while the rapid progress in nanoscience in the past five years has opened groundbreaking directions. In solid-state storage, hydrogen is bonded by either physical (e.g. in carbon-based and framework materials), or chemical forces (e.g. in hydrides, borohydrides, amides and imides). In general terms, physisorption has the advantages of faster adsorption/desorption cycles, whereas chemisorption results in the absorption of larger amounts of gas but in some cases, it is not reversible and requires a high temperature to release the absorbed gas. It is now admitted that the most promising H₂ storage routes are based on solid materials that chemically bind or physically adsorb H₂ at volume densities greater than that of liquid H₂. The challenge is to find a storage material that satisfies three competing requirements: (i) high hydrogen density; (ii) storage reversibility at moderate temperature (80-100 °C); (iii) fast release/charge. An adequate thermal conductivity is also required to prevent decomposition by the heat released upon hydriding. In addition to these basic technical criteria, viable solid storage media must satisfy cost, lifetime, and safety requirements as well. A key factor in appraising the current and future states of solid hydrogen storage is enthalpy. High enthalpy increases the release temperature as well as the energy required to heat the tank to the release temperature (thus increasing the need for heavy and frequently expensive heat transfer systems). Achieving capacity and modest enthalpy simultaneously has to remain a primary research target. Another key is kinetics. Here there have been improvements too, but a more fundamental understanding is of high priority so that progress

can be made by design rather than empirically. Safety is another important issue and there are different scenarios which can be expected for the different storage options. In general solid storage systems offer lower risks when compared to high pressure (e.g. 700 bar) tanks. A final important goal is reduction of cost. Compressed gas tanks may be near the capacity they can achieve, but presently the short and long term costs of compressed hydrogen tanks set the standard that other storage systems must attain. This means that both the material used to store hydrogen, and the structural components of the tank must be durable and inexpensive. The use of more than trace amounts of expensive elements or those not easily found in the earth’s crust must be avoided. With the logical focus on capacity in the early stages of research, costs have been less studied; however, as the field is maturing, it is increasingly evident that costs become critical to implementation. For all the above reasons, research on alternative storage systems should remain focused on storage materials. Most experiments are performed using high purity hydrogen but research is also ongoing to investigate the degree of impurity that can be tolerated by the various material types. Optimized materials for solid hydrogen storage can be based on the following: (i) Borohydrides; (ii) Amide/Imide systems; (iii) Nanostructured and metastable hydrides; (iv) Composites with other hydrides based on the aforementioned storage materials; (v) Metal hydrides (AB₂, AB₃, Mg hydride, etc); (vi) Confinement of hydrogen storage materials in nanoporous scaffolds such as MOFs; (vii) Porous hydrogen storage materials.

1.3.3. Large scale storage

Large scale H₂ storage is envisioned in natural geological sites (underground storage) such as salt domes, caverns or depleted oil/gas fields. The use of buried tanks is also an option.

1.3.4. H₂ transport

Hydrogen can be transported through pipelines. Industrial networks have been used for several decades in Benelux, Germany and the USA. The technology is proven and the transport costs are similar to those of natural gas. Alternatively, hydrogen can be co-transported in natural gas pipelines. Hydrogen can also be transported by trucks (in either compressed or liquid state).

84 S. Zhang et al., The synthesis and hydrogen storage properties of a MgH₂ incorporated carbon aerogel scaffold, Nanotechnology 2009, Vol. 20, 204027.
87 http://www.ika.rwth-aachen.de/r2h/index.php/Large_Hydrogen_Underground_Storage
88 Air Product, DOE Hydrogen pipeline working group workshop, Augusta, Georgia, 2005.
89 Naturalhy, preparing for the hydrogen economy by using the existing natural gas system as a catalyst, project contract SES6/CT/2004/502661, European Commission.
SECTION 2: MATERIAL SUPPLY STATUS AND CHALLENGES

Geopolitical issues regarding material scarcity and security of supply are considered in the ‘Critical raw materials for the EU’ report\(^9^0\). Environmental and health risks of materials are due to their chemical composition (hazardous elements and compounds) and their microstructure (nano-sized materials). Associated regulations must be developed and implemented. IPR (Intellectual Property Rights) issues are important for commercialisation and should be considered, especially for 2050 technologies. However, IPR is unlikely to be an issue for technologies that should come to market in the 2020 time frame. For the polymers of PEM fuel cells (e.g. Nafion) no IPR issues are expected as many patents are already expired.

2.1. Fuel cells

2.1.1. Alkaline Fuel Cells

Due to inherent weaknesses of the technology (in particular for automotive applications), the deployment of AFC is not envisioned. Material issues are not considered.

2.1.2. PEM fuel cells

Most studies identify the catalysts and the membrane as the most expensive components of a complete fuel cell system. While the noble metal catalyst (mainly platinum) is expected to account for about 30-50% of the cost of a 80 kW PEMFC system manufactured in 500,000 units a year\(^9^1\), the polymer membrane probably contributes to about 7% to the cost of the stack\(^9^2\) and to about 4% to that of the complete system. The net sale prices for classical PEMFC membranes in such systems have been calculated to be 2.5 to 5 €/kW of rated power of the fuel cell\(^9^3\). Taking into account the fact that fuel cell membranes normally are less than 100 μm thick, the mass specific price is 100-500 €/kg. Even if in a later stage of development 15 million fuel cell vehicles are being sold each year, less than 30,000 tons of membrane will have to be produced annually worldwide. Recycling of polymers from fuel cells does not seem feasible (due to the expected relatively low amount and to the reduced quality of recycled polymer), but the production of sufficient polymer is not critical. PGM (Platinum Group Metal) recycling is established on the industrial mass scale. So recycling of PGM from Fuel Cells is not a technical issue but maybe a challenge with regard to re-collection of stacks from used cars. A highly efficient logistic chain is needed to collect the used stacks and recycle PGMs.

2.1.3. Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cells

Concerns regarding the use of Pt in PEMFC are also relevant for PAFCs (2.1.2.).

2.1.4. Solid Oxide Fuel Cells

The need of rare earth elements as alloying elements may cause a problem (production dominated by China). Rare-earth elements (La, Ce, Gd) and Scandium used in electrodes and electrolyte are of strategic importance. Ni is widely used but its processing requires some health protections (NiO). All other elements used in cell and interconnect have either a very high availability or are minor as compared to other applications dominating the consumption. The primary sources, supply chains and economics are well established.

The future supply of La is not considered to be critical for an annual SOFC power installation of up to 5 GW. Scandium is the 35\(^{th}\) most abundant element in the Earth's crust. Scandium is rarely found at high concentration in minerals but abundant as constituent in other minerals and ores. The annual production is constant, at fluctuating high prizes due to the low demand in the very few


commercial applications. Sc supply is not secured on the short term if demand is rising rapidly. For SOFC technologies, materials and supply chains are not considered a critical issue. Main materials used in SOFC stacks are metallic components (up to 90% in weight).

Figure 3: different components of SOFC stacks and their relative proportions.

According to figure 3, the SOFC stack can be considered as a 3 material composite system including metal-based materials, ceramic and glass. It can also be noticed that the amount of metal-based components is much higher (~12 kg/kW) than glass and ceramic components (~0.8 kg/kW) that is to say that metallic components represent around 94% of the stack weight.

2.1.5. Molten Carbonate Fuel Cells

The elements used in cell and interconnect have a very high availability or are minor as compared to other applications dominating the consumption like austenitic steels. The primary sources, supply chains and economics are well established. In the very long term the availability of Li in competition with battery applications could be of importance.

2.1.6. Direct Carbon Fuel Cells

(see sections on SOFC and MCFC technologies).

2.1.7. Unitized Regenerative Fuel Cells

Concerning the low temperature process (< 150 °C) using PEM technology, the situation is similar to that of PEM fuel cells and PEM water electrolyzers. Concerning the high temperature (>600 °C) process using solid oxide technology, the situation is similar to that of SOFC and SOWE. An URFC can operate as a H₂/O₂ battery. Therefore, there is a need to store H₂ and O₂. The most convenient way to do that is to pressurize the electrolyzer and store the gases in pressurized tanks. The higher the pressure, the higher the capacity of the battery will be. R&D on high pressure water electrolysis will also benefit to URFC technology.

94 Real-SOFC deliverable 5.02
2.2. Hydrogen production

2.2.1. H₂ from fossil fuels

The main challenges for hydrogen production from fossils fuels will be: (i) to stay as cheap as possible even with the anticipated mid term rise of coal price and mainly natural gas price due to increasing scarcity; (ii) to keep the CO₂ emissions as low as possible. The challenge to lower the CO₂ emissions of such processes could be met if the ability to capture and store or recycle the CO₂ can be demonstrated in an economic way.

2.2.2. H₂ purification

No limitation is identified regarding resources or material scarcity for zeolites or ceramic membranes. Noble materials such as Pd used for membranes exhibit limited lifetime.

2.2.3. H₂ from Water electrolysis

a- Alkaline water electrolysis

No limitation is identified regarding resources or material scarcity.

b- PEM water electrolysis

State-of-art PEM water electrolysis is using platinum group metals (PGM) as electrocatalysts. This is a limitation to the large scale deployment of the technology and Europe relies strongly on foreign resources. The development of PEM water electrolysis is not a market-driven process. Private companies are active in the field but business is restricted to niche markets (meteorology, gas chromatography, etc.) or to the supply of prototypes for demonstration purposes. Electrolytic hydrogen from water cannot compete with hydrogen from methane in terms of energy costs. Regarding PGM catalysts and solid polymer electrolyte (SPE), PEM water electrolysis is in competition with PEM fuel cell technology. Also, growing automotive markets in emerging countries will require PGM for use in catalytic converters (car industry). Economics: (i) cost analysis of SPE products: fluorine is used in the SPE; SPE materials are manufactured and commercialized by US (DuPont de Nemours) and European (Solvay, Fumatech) firms. (ii) cost analysis of electrocatalysts: different PGM precursor salts are used. The cost of PGM can differ significantly from one salt to the other, depending on the chemistry used for the synthesis. There are US, EU and Japanese suppliers of raw materials. (iv) cost analysis of titanium components. Titanium is commonly available (50% of titanium demand comes from the aerospace industry). Ti-based porous current collectors remain expensive because of the energy consumption of the high temperature sintering process. They can be produced by European firms (e.g. GKN, Germany).

c- Solid Oxide Water Electrolysis

The same materials are used in Solid Oxide fuel cell or electrolysis technologies so the reader is referred to the SOFC section above.

2.2.4. H₂ from water and thermochemical cycles

The selection of the most promising thermo-chemical cycles has already taken into account the availability of the materials involved in the reactions. Problem for the supply of materials when deploying these technologies are not expected. Concerning structural materials for circuits and reactors, and chemical components, no problem is foreseen concerning material supply. However, the cost level of corrosion-resistant raw materials used in the process and the processing of materials such as SiC or “refractory” metallic alloys is rather high. Regarding catalysts and membranes which are still under investigation, the selection will require taking into account scarcity issues.
2.2.5. H₂ from water and Photo-Electrochemical Cells (PEC)

At present, dye-sensitized photo-systems provide the only technically and economically credible alternative to solid-state (PN junctions) photovoltaic devices. The concept re-unifies the electrochemical stability of large band-gap semi-conducting photo-electrodes (TiO₂) with the efficiency of photovoltaic devices capable of harvesting sunlight energy in the visible range. The possibility of using the concept for the production of synthetic fuels such as hydrogen from water remains highly challenging but offers a huge potential which could also be extended to the photo-electrochemical reduction of CO₂. In terms of materials, the interest of these approaches is that very conventional and abundant materials could be used to develop cheap and easy to recycle compounds.
2.3. Hydrogen storage and transport

2.3.1. Physical storage

a- Compressed H\textsubscript{2} storage

Carbon fibres represent about 75% of the vessel material costs and about 50% of the whole storage system costs. The materials supply chain is secured. Reducing the mass of carbon fibres while keeping a high safety level, e.g. by implementing new safety approaches, may be an option to reduce the costs of CH\textsubscript{2} storage systems. It is needed to develop recycling concepts, material sorting and recycling technologies applicable to hydrogen vehicles. It will be necessary to improve the shredder approach to vehicle disposal and the material sorting technologies (capable of e.g. separating Al and Mg in their alloys), as well as to develop more robust recycling technologies (allowing e.g. recycling of carbon fibre separated from post-shredder streams)\textsuperscript{95}.

b- Liquid H\textsubscript{2} storage

Literature data on cost distribution of liquid hydrogen storage systems indicate that (i) assembly represents one third of the total costs, (ii) components stand for another third of the system costs, and finally (iii) tests, certification and sensors constitute the last third of the system costs. Today the manufacturing process of this technology consists to a large extent of manual working steps. The automation of the manufacturing process may lead to a considerable reduction of the assembly costs\textsuperscript{95}.

2.3.2. Storage in solid materials

Metallurgical techniques are commonly used to synthesize conventional hydride-forming intermetallics or alloys, whereas chemical reaction routes are used in the production of complex hydrides, chemical hydrides, and a sub-set of sorbent materials such as MOFs. The materials supply chain is secured as long as no rare-earth elements (which are of strategic importance) are used. Processing methods range from simple techniques such as heat treatment (to homogenize composition and microstructure) and cyclic evacuation/ hydriding (activation), to more complex operations such as thin film deposition, sol–gel processing, and high energy mechanical ball milling (alloying). Scaffolding, a technique relatively new to the field of hydrogen storage, involves the deposition of a storage material within the (nano-sized) pores of a host scaffold material. High-throughput materials screening, a promising technique commonly used in the pharmaceutical industry, couples the steps of synthesis, processing, and characterization to accelerate the discovery of hydrogen storage materials\textsuperscript{96}. Investigation of new materials with long cyclic life is still required, and the safety properties of many systems have not been assessed (in particular the risk posed on health by nanostructured materials). Scalability is an issue of importance when candidate materials are investigated. The evaluation of different production routes (in terms of processing methods and raw materials) for Na-alanate or Mg-hydride, led to relatively simple processes enabling the synthesis of high quality products (fuelling times of the order of 10-20 min). The energy consumption during production was calculated to be 1-5 kWh/kg at an estimated cost of less than10 €/kg. The main challenge is the decrease of cost of storage materials. Current prices for fine chemicals range from several hundred €/kg (room temperature hydrides) to up to several thousand €/kg (borohydrides, etc). Considering the target storage capacity of 6 wt.%, a cost of more 15,000 €/kg of stored H\textsubscript{2} is estimated using presently available fine chemicals. Market requirements call for ca. 20 €/kg or well below 500 €/kg of stored H\textsubscript{2}. Besides the problem of storage material cost, the issue of availability should be considered, (in case of e.g. borohydrides the source of the boron, or with LaNi\textsubscript{5}, the source of Lanthanum). The light alkaline and earth alkaline elements are available in large amounts. Lithium and Boron containing compounds are or might become difficult to access, and the catalysts might be the largest problem, in case rare earths are needed.

\textsuperscript{95} FP6 Integrated Project STORHY Publishable Final Activity Report, 2008, www.storhy.net
2.3.3. Large scale storage

In the case of natural cavities, properties of natural materials (porosity, permeability) are critical. H₂ can also stimulate sulfato-reducing bacterial activity or react with Pyrite (FeS₂), leading to the formation of H₂S. In the case of buried tanks (with either compressed or liquid H₂), material issues are similar to those of conventional tanks. However, corrosion issues due the different environment may differ.

2.3.4. H₂ transport

The influence of hydrogen on the different materials of a gas transportation and distribution network is diverse. According to the results of the NaturalHy project, steel materials can change their material properties in the presence of hydrogen if there is direct contact of hydrogen with clean metal surfaces. In this case, the fatigue properties and toughness of steels, used for the gas transportation pipelines, are influenced in a detrimental way. This material degradation results in higher crack growth rates and can lead to the initiation of new cracks. Consequently, the service life of pipelines can be decreased in comparison to service with natural gas. Polymer (polyethylene, polyvinyl chloride) are also used for the construction of distribution networks, in particular at the downstream end of the gas grids. Polymer pipes do not suffer from conventional corrosion even though they undergo degradation over their lifetime. However, they are less gas tight regarding the loss of gases through their pipe wall by permeation. Adding hydrogen to the natural gas leads to a change in gas losses through permeation, as the transport of hydrogen through the wall of polymer pipes is quicker than for natural gas.
SECTION 3: ON-GOING RESEARCH AND ACTORS IN THE FIELD OF MATERIAL RESEARCH FOR ENERGY TECHNOLOGY APPLICATIONS AND CHALLENGES

R&D on H₂&FC technologies is actively supported through many national (e.g. US DOE, Japan, Germany, French ANR, etc.) and international (e.g. Fuel Cell and Hydrogen Joint Undertaking, FCHJU; FP6 and FP7 of the European Commission) research programs.

3.1. Fuel cells

3.1.1. AFC

Due to inherent weaknesses, AFC are not considered for application in the automotive industry and there are few if any R&D programs for such applications.

3.1.2. PEMFC

3.1.2.1. PFSA membrane in PEMFC

On-going research focuses on cost-effective materials that could operate at high (100-200 °C) temperatures (better catalyst tolerance to CO and cooling strategy for fuel cell). Proton conductivity should be high and significantly less dependent on humidification. Membrane research is actually focusing on enabling classical PFSA membranes for higher temperature application. Among these approaches are (i) the use of shorter side groups to strengthen the inter-chain attractions of neighbouring macromolecules and thus to allow higher density of sulfonic acid groups at sufficient mechanical properties; Solvay Solexis is developing Aquivion ion ionomers, also known as short side-chain (SSC) ionomers (originally developed by Dow Chemicals Company and then abandoned); (ii) the incorporation of water-retaining additives such as nano-scale oxides or (iii) the fabrication of composite membranes in which proton-conducting inorganic materials such as heteropolyacids or layered zirconium phosphates can actively contribute to the overall conductivity at high temperatures. During the last twenty years, extensive research has been devoted to the development of alternative non perfluorinated membranes. However, these materials suffer from a lack of chemical and mechanical stability in addition to lower conducting properties. Sulfonated PEEK membranes are commercialized by Fumatech GmbH in Germany. Partially fluorinated and crosslinked material are now under evaluation.

3.1.2.2. Platinum catalyst in PEMFC

Currently Pt or Pt-alloy catalysts supported on carbon are commercially available from different suppliers (E-TEK, Tanaka Kikinzoku International, Umicore and Johnson Matthey). In general, two major approaches are underway to address issues of low ORR activity, high cost, and insufficient stability: (i) Exploring non-noble metal catalysts that cost much less but still demonstrate the necessary performance under PEMFC conditions; (ii) Reducing Pt loading in PEMFC catalyst layers while maintaining high performance. Among non-noble metal catalysts two major approaches have gained momentum. The first of which is to replace the Pt with another less expensive precious metal, such as ruthenium or palladium. The second is to use non-precious metal catalysts (NPMC). Bashyam and Zelenay examined the cobalt–polypyrrole–carbon (Co–PPY–C) composite. Some studies are focused on heat-treated Fe and Co–N/C catalysts or on materials such as Cu, Pd/Co,

97 V. Arcella et al., Membrane electrode assemblies based on perfluorosulfonic ionomers for an evolving fuel cell technology, Desalination 2006, Vol. 199, 6-8.
Mo$_{12}$Ru$_{1.8}$Se$_8$, WC + Ta and LaMnO$_{3+\delta}$ Early NPMCs exhibit much lower performance than Pt$^{102}$, but an OCV of 1.04 V and a volumetric ORR activity of 165 A/cm$^3$ which meets the DOE 2010 target has been obtained with a cyanamide–Fe–C catalyst. A rapid improvement of performances (around 30 times from 2008 to 2010) has been obtained with several other NPMCs such as PANI-Fe/EDA–Co–C. Electronically conductive stable ceramics are a new promising class of materials under investigation and development as electrocatalyst and support material for Pt and Pt-alloy catalysts. The Pt loading is an important factor in the electrode development. The DOE target is 0.3 and 0.2 mg/cm$^2$ for 2010 and 2015, respectively, and most recently the 3M Company achieved 0.15 mg/cm$^2$ with a PtCoMn alloy$^{103}$. Improving the Pt utilization is another way for reducing the Pt loading and CL cost. To improve the CO tolerance of PEM fuel cells, the use of binary Pt–Ru catalysts and an oxygen bleeding technique were proposed in the 1980s and 1990s$^{104}$ and various materials for CO tolerant catalysts (Zeolite support, Pt–Mo, Sulfided catalysts, etc) are under research$^{105}$.

c- Bipolar plates in PEMFC

Graphite composite: Despite the well-established commercial products available for the manufacturing of PEM fuel cells, there is a constant search for better composites with maximized electrical conductivity. The pathway to tailor such advanced materials is to incorporate other carbon based conductive fillers in the polymer matrix. These are carbon black, multi-walled and single-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT and SWCNT), carbon fibers, expanded graphite and combinations thereof$^{106}$. Non-coated metals: Various types of metals and alloys are currently under testing and evaluation to develop bipolar plates that possess the combined merits of graphite and metals: high corrosion resistance and low surface contact resistance, high mechanical strength, no permeability to reactant gases and no brittleness. Candidates such as stainless steel, aluminum, titanium and nickel have been tested and used as bipolar plates$^{107}$. Exposed to an operating environment similar to that of a fuel cell with a pH of 2–3 at temperatures around 80 °C, they are prone to corrosion or dissolution$^{108}$. Coated metals: Metallic bipolar plates can be coated with protective coating layers to avoid corrosion. Coatings should be conductive and adhere to the base metal without exposing the substrate to corrosive media. Two types of coatings (carbon-based and metal-based) have been investigated$^{109}$. Carbon-based coatings include graphite, conductive polymer, diamond-like carbon, and organic self-assembled monomers. Noble metals, metal nitrides and metal carbides are some of the metal-based coatings.

3.1.3. Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cells

There are no significant activities on PAFC development in Europe to date. Phosphoric acid is, however, used in other fuel cell types (e.g. added to a PBI polybenzimidazole membrane for high

---

temperature PEMFC cells). Recently, so-called “solid acids” have attracted interest as proton conductors at temperatures above 200 °C\textsuperscript{110}.

### 3.1.4. Solid Oxide Fuel Cells

R&D activities on materials for SOFC technology take place on both fundamental and applied levels. **Basic R&D.** **Electrolytes:** (i) Apatite based materials; (ii) Thin film development and corresponding performance; **Anode:** (i) Nano scale investigations (“Impurity segregation”); (ii) Electrochemical kinetics; (iii) Model electrode studies: patterned electrodes; (iv) Ni-free ceramic anodes (Sr-titanate based materials). **Cathodes:** (i) Alternative cathode materials: Nickelates (\(\text{La}_2\text{NiO}_4\)), SOFC600: \(\text{Pr}_2\text{NiO}_4\), 0.130 \(\Omega\).cm composite with ceria; (ii) Electrochemical processes, oxygen exchange kinetics; (iii) Nano scale investigations (“Impurity segregation”). **Materials for cells and stacks:** basic R&D on metal-ceramic composite materials is on-going. Thermo-mechanics, materials stability in presence of biogas related impurities is also investigated as well as the impact of real environment (humidity, trace elements from air) on materials stability and performance. New materials and concepts for operation at 600-700 °C, and sealing materials are also investigated and need to be integrated faster into component development and cell production. **Applied R&D.** **Electrolytes:** (i) Development in EU is focused on fluorite based electrolytes: yttria-scandia stabilized zirconia, with other modifications to increase conductivity at around 600 °C; (ii) barrier layer development in ASC with cobalites and ferrites cathodes, durability and cell performance. **Anode:** (i) tolerance and durability to realistic fuels and operation conditions (Sulphur, C:H:O ratios, redox cycling), fuel flexibility; (ii) internal reforming; (iii) Poisoning mechanisms; (iv) Degradation mechanisms, accelerated testing; (v) Development of new cell concepts: metal supported cells, upscaling of production, durability; (vi) Full ceramic cells: integration of ceramic anode materials into large scale SOFC cells; (vii) Enhancement of electrocatalytic activity (infiltration of electro catalyst into porous backbones\textsuperscript{111}). **Cathodes:** (i) Increase durability, development of low temperature cathode materials and integration into cells; (ii) Degradation mechanisms, accelerated testing; (iii) Enhancement of electrocatalytic activity (infiltration of electro catalyst into porous backbones). **Interconnect:** (i) corrosion resistance: tailoring of composition; (ii) protective coatings to prevent corrosion. **Sealings:** (i) tailoring glass and glass ceramic compositions to match thermo mechanical requirements (load cycling); (ii) Cell level related to materials; (iii) Accelerated testing routines; (iv) Thermomechanical properties of components and cells; (v) Advanced diagnostics; (vi) Thin film SOFCs (micro SOFC) technique and prototype development. There are well defined programmes in EU, and at Member state level: (i) EU: FCH JU, Marie Curie, member states have national R&D programmes: DK national SOFC Roadmap\textsuperscript{112}; (ii) PCSOFC (proton-conducting solid oxide fuel cells)\textsuperscript{113}: Only lightly covered with two on going project in the FP7 join NMP-Energy programs EFFIPRO on efficient and robust fuel cell with novel ceramic proton conducting electrolyte and IDEAL CELL on a new concept of cell mixing proton conducting anode side and anion conducting cathode. Main european industrial developers of SOFC components and systems of pilot size are CeramTec, H.C.Starck, Kerafol, Ikerlan, CFCL EU/AUS, Ceres Power, Sofcpower, Hexis & Haldor Topsoe. There are several research institutes & universities involved in SOFC research: CEA, DLR, FZ Juelich, IKTS, Inasmet, Risø, VTT; Imperial College, Univ. St Andrews, CNRS (Bordeaux, Paris, Grenoble). The R&D activities in EU, US, Korea, China, and Japan are basically addressing the same aspects.


\textsuperscript{111} J. Laurencin, R. Laucournet, J. Mougin, High-temperature fuel cell with internal reforming of hydrocarbons, From Fr. demand 2010, FR 2945378 A1 20101112.

\textsuperscript{112} Danish SOFC Strategy: http://www.hydrogennet.dk/log/DInew/library/NationalSOFCStrategi20102020.pdf

3.1.5. Molten Carbonate Fuel Cells

Durability and cost reductions are the key issues in MCFC development. Further R&D is needed to understand the causes of microstructural changes in the electrolyte support material that lead to early stack failure and to limit these changes. Development of more robust cathode materials is needed to increase stack lifetime to 10 years. Cost reductions can be expected if production quantities are increasing and more automated manufacturing steps can be introduced. The standardization of stack elements could be an important step. A 30 to 50 % increase in lifetime is required for the complete system. The two most important life-limiting factors being: (i) Nickel oxide dissolution from the cathode and its deposition as nickel metal in the electrolyte matrix; (ii) Electrolyte loss from the matrix, resulting in the intermixing and leaking of fuel and oxidant. CFC Solutions (Germany) is a power plant installer but activities are planned to stop by the end of 2010. Ansaldo is developing pressurized MCFC and demonstrated several units up to of 500 kW range. On-going R&D is on : (i) development of highly reproducible and cost effective synthesis processes for submicronic alpha-LiAlO$_2$ powder; (ii) validation of operability with a larger fuel variety; (iii) definition of material and component suitable for specific fuels and in particular for NO$_x$ abatement; (iv) improved understanding of reaction mechanism and simulation of performance by accelerated testing; degradation mechanism and failure modelling; (v) identification and set up materials and processes to coat by proper nanomaterials the electrode is a way suitable to increase power density. Few universities and research centers are still active in MCFC: ENEA, Rome, Universities of Perugia, Siena and Genoa, Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg, ENSCP of Paris, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm. Fuel Cell Energy (FCE, US) is a world leader in the development and manufacturing of MCFC for electric power generation with products ranging from 300 kW to 2.4 MW.

3.1.6. Direct Carbon Fuel Cells

Activities are still on lab scale. Recent advances on H$_2$/O$_2$ Carbonate Fuel Cell (MCFC)\textsuperscript{114} have led to improved concepts of DCFC\textsuperscript{115}. There are some groups working on this technology (USA, UK, China, Australia). From a technical viewpoint, the main challenge is that most efficient fuel cell concepts are using zero-gap cells and solid electrolyte. Gaseous or liquid fuels can be easily supplied to the anode but solid fuel offers very little interaction with the solid electrode/ electrolyte interface. Usually, the problem is circumvented by using high-temperature liquid electrolyte concepts. Alternatively, a slurry of liquid electrolyte and solid electrode can be used to avoid the problem of solid-solid contacts\textsuperscript{116}. The use of a solid oxide electrolyte as separator and a molten carbonate electrolyte on the anode side or the use of a liquid metal/oxide redox shuttle\textsuperscript{117} offer new perspectives for the technology.

3.1.7. Unitized regenerative Fuel Cells

At low temperature (PEM technology), technological developments are using “reversible” hydrogen and oxygen electrodes. The concept presents significant drawbacks, mainly a problem of material stability at the anode during water electrolysis which leads to rapid loss of performances (the corrosion of carbon as catalyst carrier, GDL and bipolar plate). More reproducible performances are obtained using redox electrodes (the anode in WE mode is used as anode for H$_2$ oxidation in FC mode and the cathode in WE mode is used as O$_2$ reduction cathode in FC mode)\textsuperscript{118}. There is no specific R&D activity for the improvement of membrane electrolytes of solid oxide URFC technology which

\textsuperscript{114} J. Larminie and A. Dicks, Fuel Cell Systems Explained, Wiley and Sons 2000.
benefits from the progresses made in the corresponding fuel cell and electrolysis fields which are using same electrolyte, same catalysts in terms of materials and are facing similar challenges in terms of performances. Proton Energy Co. (USA) is active in the field and develops systems. Similar approaches are ongoing on the high temperature electrochemical systems. The particular advantage of a SOFC operated in electrolysis mode is the potential to produce not only hydrogen but also syn-gas, a pre-product to synthetic fuel production, or even methane\textsuperscript{119}. 

\textsuperscript{119} S.H Jensen, M. Mogensen, S. Ebbesen et al., Risø National Lab, various publications.
3.2. Hydrogen production

3.2.1. H\textsubscript{2} from fossil fuels

Some main R&D initiatives related to the production of H\textsubscript{2} from fossil fuels are (i) the Clean Energy Partership in Germany and (ii) the “Hydrogen and Clean Coal Fuels Program” funded by the DOE in the USA. R&D issues concern the improvement of existing technologies (mainly regarding CO\textsubscript{2} capture) but also new concepts for the production of H\textsubscript{2} from coal are under development worldwide. These new concepts are based on (i) steam gasification (ii) hydro gasification of coal with CO\textsubscript{2} capture/separation (iii) underground coal gasification\textsuperscript{120}, (iv) membrane reactors directly used for the production of H\textsubscript{2} from coal, (v) molten bath processes originally used for metal smelting processes and adapted to the production of H\textsubscript{2} and (vi) plasma melting gasification processes.

3.2.2. H\textsubscript{2} purification

On going research concerns mainly the lifetime and the efficiency of separation membranes. This is the focus of the DEMOYS project (FP7-Energy program) that addresses dense membranes for efficient oxygen and hydrogen separation.

3.2.3. H\textsubscript{2} from water electrolysis

a- Alkaline water electrolysis

A list of the main suppliers of alkaline water electrolysers with the main characteristics of some of their products is provided in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Capacity range Nm\textsuperscript{3}/h</th>
<th>Pressure Bar g</th>
<th>Consumed energy kWh/Nm\textsuperscript{3} (\Delta H efficiency)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogenics</td>
<td>US/EU</td>
<td>Various ranges from unity to few tens and few hundreds</td>
<td>10 - 25</td>
<td>≈ 4 (~ 80 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teledyne</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Various ranges from unity to few tens and few hundreds</td>
<td>4 - 16</td>
<td>~ 6 (~60 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen Technologies SA</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Various ranges from unity to few tens and few hundreds</td>
<td>0.02 - 12</td>
<td>~ 4 (~80 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHT</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>few hundreds</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>~ 4 (~80 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accagen</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>few hundreds</td>
<td>10 - 200</td>
<td>from 4 to 6 (80 to 60 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idroenergy</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>from unity to few tens</td>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td>from 5 to 6 (~70 – 60 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Main manufacturers of alkaline electrolyser and system characteristics.

Industrial alkaline water electrolysis is a mature technology. Several MW industrial electrolysers can be used for the large scale production of hydrogen. From the process viewpoint, some improvements are still possible. In the open literature, attempts made to identify alternative electrocatalysts such as transition metal macrocycles\textsuperscript{121} and to develop alternative diaphragms\textsuperscript{122} have been reported. Major research efforts concern advanced diaphragms with adapted electrodes/catalysts and intermittent operation for the coupling with renewable electricity sources. Challenges concern lifetime of systems and maintenance costs. An option of interest would be to use hydroxyl-ion conducting fluoro-polymers that would allow the use of inexpensive catalysts (see section on alkaline water electrolysis).

\textsuperscript{120} HUGE, Research Fund for coal and steel on H\textsubscript{2} oriented coal gasification for Europe.


\textsuperscript{122} J. Divisek, J. Murgen, Diaphragms for alkaline water electrolysis and method for production of the same as well as utilization thereof, United States Patent 1983, 4,394,244.
b- PEM water electrolysis

Current activities in basic research: (i) research on noble-metal free catalysts (also applied research); PGM are used for benchmarking electrochemical performances; (ii) research on alternative SPE is mostly driven by the needs of fuel cell industry; (ii) reduction of platinum loadings at the anode by developing stable catalysts carriers or alloying ruthenium with iridium and an inert oxide (tin, titanium, etc.). In applied research: (i) reduction of platinum loadings at the cathode using carbon carriers and/or specific printing methods such as screen printing. (ii) surface treatment of titanium bipolar plates and current collectors to reduce contact resistance (Pt coatings are expensive, nitrides, carbides, etc.). Main challenges for PEM water electrolysis are to identify and synthesize alternative materials with performances similar to those used in state of art technology. Another challenge is to upscale efficiency and durability performances obtained at the lab-scale and to develop and optimize large systems (industrial electrolyzers are limited to a low capacity range < 10 Nm³ H₂/hour). They still cannot compete with alkaline technology because of prohibitive non-energy costs. R&D in this field but also on hydrogen as an energy carrier is actively supported at EU level (FCH-JU: GenHyPEM, NextPEL), at national levels (ex. French Agence Nationale de la Recherche) and more locally in Universities. In Europe, several SMEs have appeared recently: Hélion/Aréva, CETH in France, Fumatech in Germany. Similar efforts are made in other developed countries (US, Japan) and most emerging countries. A list of some international suppliers and companies active in this field is provided in Table 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Country of origin</th>
<th>Capacity range Nm³/h</th>
<th>Pressure Bar g</th>
<th>Consumed energy kWh/Nm³ (ΔH efficiency) ¹²³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen Technologies AS</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>1-16</td>
<td>no data available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proton Energy Systems</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>0-6, 0-50, 0-230</td>
<td>1-15, 1-15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogenics</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>1-8</td>
<td>4.9 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hélion/AREVA</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>0-10</td>
<td>1-50</td>
<td>no data available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CETH2</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>0-5, 0-20</td>
<td>1-16, 1-16</td>
<td>5.0 (70 %), 5.2 (65 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITM-Power</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>1-200</td>
<td>6.25–7.14 (57-50%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Some manufacturers of PEM water electrolysers and system characteristics.

c- Solid Oxide water electrolysis

The process is not mature. Some SOFC components, stack and system producers are involved in research programs (Aréva, TOFC, Haldor Topsoe, HTc-SOFCPower, Ceramatec). The major research actors in the field are: (i) Idaho National Laboratory (INL), MIT, Argone National Laboratory in USA; (ii) Risoe DTU, CEA, EIFER in Europe. On going research addresses essentially degradation mechanisms (at 1 A/cm²), temperature decrease (from 800 °C to 600 °C) using both anion or proton-conducting materials, operation under pressure and co-electrolysis of water and CO₂ for the production of syngas.

3.2.4. H₂ from water and thermochemical cycles

All the research teams involved in the development of thermochemical cycles are now focusing on innovative material solutions for higher corrosion resistance and durability. SiC components have been developed for different applications (heat transfer, inertia against chemical reactions and solar

¹²³ The theoretical energy consumption to produce hydrogen from water at 298K is 39.6 kWh/kgH₂ (ΔH° or HHV value) or 34.7 kWh/kgH₂ (ΔG° or LHV value) equivalent to 3.54 and 3.10 kWh/Nm³H₂ respectively.
collectors) by the Research Center of the Japanese Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA), by the Company General Atomic in the USA and by the European consortium of the FP7 project HYCYCLES. In parallel some projects are focused on the scale-up of thermochemical cycles with a solar heat sources (Europe: FP6 HYDROSOL 2, FCH JU HYDROSOL 3G, Swiss Federal Office of Energy PSI Project on Solarthermal ZnO decomposition; US: SANDIA CR5)\textsuperscript{124,125}.

3.2.5. \(\text{H}_2\) from water and PEC cells

Very significant M$/year projects have been launched during the last years in the USA and Japan to investigate the potential of the field\textsuperscript{126,127}. Less-significant projects have been launched in the EU (FP6 Solar-H, FP7 Solar-H2). Research in photo-electrochemistry requires a multi-disciplinary approach as many different fields of basic science including material science, photo-physics and chemistry are relevant. Some critical material challenges are: (i) adjustment of the band-gap energy of semi-conductors to the visible spectrum; (ii) identification of corrosion-resistant semiconductors that could be used in photo-electrochemical cells; (iii) development of efficient and stable synthetic inorganic dyes that could harvest energy from visible light; (iv) development of catalytic modules (bio-inspired approaches); (v) interconnection of photo-sensible molecules to electro-active functions.


\textsuperscript{126} Global Climate & Energy (GCEP) Project, Stanford University, 2009.

\textsuperscript{127} Helios Project, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
3.3. Hydrogen storage and transport

Main international R&D programs are: (i) Hydrogen & Fuel Cells Joint Undertaking (European Commission – Industry); (ii) US DOE Hydrogen Storage Programme; (iii) NEDO ‘HYDRO-STAR project’, Japan; (iv) The German NOW program and other European National Programs (in France, UK, Spain, Italy, etc.); (v) International Cooperation Activities (IPHE, IEA HIA-Task 22); (vi) the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada; (vii) the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization, Australia. Major actors in European industry (car manufacturers, gas suppliers, material producers) and academia have participated in the FP6 & FP7 hydrogen storage projects funded by the EC for the development of materials and tank systems (e.g. STORHY, NESSHY Integrated Projects; HYCONES, NANOHY, FLYHY, SSH2S Collaborative Projects; HYTRAIN, COSY Research Training Networks). Such actors include organizations from France (CEA, CNRS), Germany (MPI, KIT, HZG), UK (Univ. Manchester, Birmingham), Italy (Univ. Milano, Padova, Rome, Torino, CNR), the Netherlands (Univ. Amsterdam, Nijmegen, Utrecht), Denmark (DTU Lyngby, Risoe, Univ. Aarhus), Sweden (Univ. Stockholm), Norway (Univ. Oslo, IFE), Spain (Univ. Barcelona) and several others (the list is by no means meant to be exhaustive). The European activities are among the leading ones worldwide and several base patents are held by European groups.

3.3.1. Physical storage

a- Compressed H₂ Storage

For 700 bar compressed hydrogen storage, the FP6 IP STORHY has made significant contributions. DOE-funded projects focusing on weight reduction, sensor integration, cold fuelling, thermal modelling and cost aspects have also led to significant improvements. Best value reported for a small-sized tank (28 l inner volume \( \approx \) 1.1 kg H₂ at 700 bar) is 2.49 wt.% (0.83 kWh/kg) for the system, using commercial grade carbon fibers. Quantum claims that its ‘full-scale’ storage tank of 160 l inner volume (\( \approx \) 6.3 kg H₂ at 700 bar) has high potential of meeting the goal of 4.5 wt.% (1.5 kWh/kg), whereas the current volumetric density of their 700 bar system is 24.3 g/l (0.8 kWh/l). The TUFFSHELL® tank by Lincoln Composites currently exhibits the best performance for a 700 bar (type IV) cylinder: with roughly 1.3 kg H₂ stored, the ‘pure’ filled tank reaches a gravimetric storage density of 4.83 wt.% (1.61 kWh/kg). Baltico GmbH (Germany) presented a novel design for high pressure storage vessels, which reaches storage density values of 6.2 wt.% (2.06 kWh/kg) and 31 g/l (1.02 kWh/l).

b- Liquid H₂ Storage

Liquid H₂ can be stored in open cryogenic tanks at 21.2 K and atmospheric pressure. In a closed tank, the pressure would reach unacceptable levels. Main challenges are: (i) the efficiency of the liquefaction process - the theoretical energy required for the liquefaction process is 3.2 kWh/kg, but real processes may require as much as 15 kWh/kg; (ii) the thermal insulation of the storage vessel used to reduce boil-off effects which requires the development of specific materials. The H₂ boil-off rate is a function of the tank size, 0.4 %/day for 50 m³ tanks, 0.2 % for 100 m³ tanks and 0.06 % for 20,000 m³ tanks (using double-walled vacuum-insulated spherical dewars). Liquid H₂ is not an efficient storage medium, at least for the storage of small volumes.

c- Hybrid methods for H₂ Storage

Europe has a relatively weak position in hybrid H₂ storage combining solid storage with high pressure technology, a combination with good prospects. Tanks based on this technology mix are already close to realisation elsewhere.

\[128\] http://www.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/storage/hydrogen_storage_testing.html
3.3.2. Storage in solid materials

a- Metal hydrides

There is a large variety of alloys and intermetallic compounds which form hydrides of practical interest. The most interesting feature of a metallic hydride is the high volumetric density of hydrogen stored. A value of 115 g/l is obtained for the reference material LaNi$_5$. However, most metal hydrides suffer from low (< 6 wt.%) gravimetric densities. Hydrides’ enthalpy of formation is another critical parameter; typical values (bracketed by those of HoH$_2$ (+ 226 kJ/mol) and FeH$_{0.5}$ (+ 20 kJ/mol)) are usually rather high and heat effects during absorption/desorption cycles require specific management.

b- Complex hydrides

Such compounds contain transition metal – hydrogen complexes in their structure and usually offer very high volumetric densities. A maximum value of 150 g/l is reported for Mg$_2$FeH$_6$ and Al(BH$_4$)$_3$. The gravimetric density is also larger than those found in metal hydrides (e.g. 5.5 wt.% for Mg$_2$FeH$_6$ and Mg$_3$MnH$_7$). The thermal dissociation of these compounds requires high temperatures (> 200 °C) and is not fully reversible. A number of European groups have been active in this field, in a number of national and European projects. (IP StorHy, IP NESSHy, RTD NANOHy, FlyHy, SSH2S). The European activities are among the leading activities worldwide. Several base patents are held by European groups.

c- Chemical hydrides

The most studied hydrolytic system to date is NaBH$_4$. However, in practice hydrogen production by hydrolysis is non-reversible and off-board regeneration is required, a fact that renders such systems potentially useful for niche applications but limits their large scale applicability in transportation. Some organic liquid systems based on the hydrogenation and dehydrogenation of cyclic hydrocarbons (e.g. naphthalene/decalin with 7.2 wt% capacity) have also been proposed. Again the target application is not on-board vehicle hydrogen storage but rather their use as a buffer in renewable energy systems or as a hydrogen carrier for dehydrogenation at hydrogen filling stations. Carbazoles are further organic materials investigated for their hydrogen storage properties as they exhibit capacities in the range 4-6.2 wt% but their high decomposition temperature and high melting point are considered as important disadvantages. Likewise, materials related to ammonia borane are being actively investigated as candidate hydrogen storage systems.

d- Physisorption

Carbon nanostructures (graphitic nanofibers, multi-wall or single-wall carbon nanotubes, etc.) are attractive candidates for H$_2$ storage applications because of large surface areas (1,000 to 3,000 m$^2$/g), micro-porosity, low mass and good adsorption ability. However, H$_2$ uptakes are limited to 1-3 wt% at low temperatures (liquid nitrogen temperature, 77 K) and under pressure (20 bar), gravimetric densities close to 5 wt.% have been obtained using very large surface area carbons (3,000 m$^2$/g). Weak chemisorption of H$_2$ on carbonaceous materials at close to ambient temperature is used...
to overcome the energy of the hydrogen bond and to facilitate the formation of covalent C-H bonds. Uptakes of more than 2-3 wt% have been reported taking advantage of the so-called spillover effect in that respect. Metal Organic Frameworks (a new class of extremely porous structure) have also been studied for their H$_2$ storage properties. Materials of large surface area (more than 3,000 m$^2$/g) and storage capacities of more than 8 wt.% have been reported at 50 bar and 77 K$^{136}$. Pore volume and surface area can be adjusted by changing the organic linkers and the metal.

### 3.3.3. Large scale storage

The main industrial players for large hydrogen storage systems are actually the major international companies that are supplying industrial gases, namely Praxair, Air Products, BOC, Linde, or Air Liquide. The research and development projects are mainly focused on reducing cost and hydrogen leaks. Safety can also be an issue.

### 3.3.4. H$_2$ transport

Large-scale and long distance transport of hydrogen is already a mature technology.

---

3.4. Innovative concepts, innovative materials, emerging technologies

In addition to the conventional (more mature) technologies described in the previous sections, some emerging technologies and associated innovative materials are more specifically considered here.

3.4.1. Innovative polymer electrolyte cells

- basic research on innovative concepts of ionic-conductors: ultra-thin membranes, ultra-high conductivity, enhanced chemical and thermal stability.
- development of non-PGM catalysts for low temperature acidic applications; target cost reduction by a factor of 10-15 and catalytic activity as close as possible to those of PGM catalysts.

3.4.2. Innovative SOFC

Most of the innovative SOFC materials developments are not yet mature to be transferred into system applications. See more details in section 3.1.4.

3.4.3. Innovative water electrolysis

Some innovative concepts of water electrolysis are also under investigation in various research groups: (i) low or medium temperature electrolysis of liquid water using a liquid acid electrolyte: In France, a company is investigating such concept which is the reverse of a phosphoric acid fuel cells\(^\text{137}\); (ii) medium temperature electrolysis of water vapor using a proton-conducting ceramic. The concept of solid oxide water electrolysis faces very challenging problems related to the thermal stability of the materials. An option would be to reduce the operating temperature down to the 400-600 °C range (so-called medium temperature range). Although there are many good non-organic solid proton-conductors\(^\text{138}\), most of them are unstable at temperatures above 300 °C and decompose to liberate water. Some perovskite-type oxides are proton conductors at elevated (400-1000 °C) temperatures\(^\text{139}\). In particular, some perovskites (simples or mixtes) present an encouraging ionic conductivity when exposed to water vapour (\(10^{-3}-10^{-1}\) S/cm). They can potentially be used as thin (< 100 µm) solid electrolyte for the manufacturing of water electrolysis cells. For example, \(\text{Sr}[\text{Ce}_{x}\text{Zr}_{1-x}]_{0.95}\text{Yb}_{0.05}\text{O}_3\) which shows a maximum of conductivity for \(x = 0.8\)\(^\text{140}\) can be used in solid-oxide electrochemical applications. Another example is given by \(\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Ba}_{0.4}\text{ScO}_2\); (iii) water electrolysis using ionic crystals as electrolyte: Ionic crystals are studied for application in electrochemical devices because they can offer an interesting ionic conductivity over an extended temperature range.

3.4.4. Advanced materials for H\(_2\) storage and H\(_2\) carriers

- Innovative hydrogenated materials with enhanced gravimetric capacity and improved hydrogen storage reversibility
- New materials for physical containment (high tensile strength materials for light weight pressure vessels for enhanced gravimetric capacity).
- Several potential liquid hydrogen carriers such as ammonia and methanol (obtained from carbon dioxide and hydrogen) are increasingly studied for their potential application as a hydrogen source for mobile and automotive end-uses.

\(^{137}\) http://www.ceramhyd.com


SECTION 4: MATERIAL’S SPECIFICATION TARGETS FOR MARKET IMPLEMENTATION IN 2020/2030 AND IN 2050

Materials specification targets at different time horizons presented in this report are defined by estimating the performances requirements for market introduction of the different technologies.

4.1. Fuel cells

4.1.1. AFC

Conventional AFC technology is a viable technology only for applications which are not discussed here.

4.1.2. PEMFC

a- Targets for PEMFC electrolyte (automotive perspective)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>2010 Status</th>
<th>2020-30 Target</th>
<th>ultimate goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEMFC stack (operating conditions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak stack temperature (°C)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating pressure (atm)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEMFC electrolyte</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen crossover (mA/cm²)</td>
<td>&gt; 2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating temperature range (°C)</td>
<td>-20 to 90</td>
<td>-30 to 120</td>
<td>-40 to 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proton conductivity (S/cm) at -20 °C</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 20 °C</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 90 °C</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 120 °C</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ 150 °C</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost (€/m²)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durability with cycling (h)</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEMFC catalyst</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt total content (g/kW)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt total loading (mg/cm²)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cost (€/kW)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass activity, A/mgPt at 900 mV (iR free)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specific activity, µA/mgPt at 900 mV (iR free)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durability with cycling (hours)</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECSA loss (%)</td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEMFC bipolar plates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂ permeation flux (Ncm³/s/cm²)</td>
<td>1 - 2x10⁻⁶</td>
<td>&lt; 1x10⁻⁷</td>
<td>&lt; 1x10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: PEMFC specifications (automotive perspective).

b- Targets for PEMFC (mCHP applications 1 – 5 kW)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>State of art</th>
<th>Target 2020-2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG, Biomass Fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC efficiency</td>
<td>32 %</td>
<td>40-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP efficiency Gas (LHV)</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Modulation (%)</td>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>30-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load cycles</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StartUp time</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>1 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StartUp /Shut down cycles</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durability (h)</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs (€/kW)</td>
<td>&lt; 4000</td>
<td>&lt; 1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: PEMFC specifications (mCHP perspective).
4.1.3. Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cells

Catalysts issues are similar to those of PEMFC: reduction of PGM contents, need for alternative low cost catalysts.

4.1.4. Solid Oxide Fuel Cells

Primary requirements for SOFC are improvement of lifetime, robustness and pilot scale production in order to produce reliable components with low failure rates. Target figures (for cells & stacks in m-CHP and 500 kW CHP, 1MW SOFC-GT) are listed in Table 10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>State of art</th>
<th>Target 2020-2030</th>
<th>Ultimate goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating temperature (°C)</td>
<td>800-950</td>
<td>600 - 700</td>
<td>550-700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating pressure (bar)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 - 4</td>
<td>1 - 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>NG</td>
<td>NG, Biomass Fuel</td>
<td>NG, Biomass Fuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area specific resistance (Ω cm²)</td>
<td>0.3 - 0.6</td>
<td>0.2 - 0.3</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC efficiency (%) POx ref.</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>40-50%</td>
<td>direct -internal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 2:1 ref.</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP efficiency Gas (LHV)</td>
<td>85-90%</td>
<td>90-95%¹</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load cycles</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>best practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StartUp time (h)</td>
<td>5-12</td>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>best practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StartUp /Shut down cycles</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>best practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell voltage degradation (at 1 A/cm²)</td>
<td>&gt; 0.5%/1000hrs</td>
<td>&lt;0.5%/1000hrs</td>
<td>0.2%/1000hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durability (h)</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur tolerance (ppm)</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs (€/kW)</td>
<td>&lt; 4000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>90% materials cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10: SOFC specifications.

4.1.5. Molten Carbonate Fuel Cells

Main requirements for 2020 are: (i) increase power output of the MCFC stack from 120 mW/cm² to 150 mW/cm²; (ii) extend service life from five to ten years; (iii) reduce manufacturing costs to less than 2500 (€/kW) by redesign and volume production¹⁴²; (iv) development of new cell materials to reduce compressive loads and use most corrosion-resistant materials. If the 2020 target figures can be reached, implementation of improvements will follow the common product cycle with continuous optimization loops.

4.1.6. Direct Carbon Fuel Cells

State-of-art performances reported in the open literature were obtained at the lab-scale using surface area of a few cm². Maximum current densities less than 50 mA/cm² have been measured at 800 °C. Coking effects are expected to appear as for the high temperature oxidation of hydrocarbons¹³⁶. The concept is that of an emerging technology and discussion on market implementation in 2020/2030 seems premature.

4.1.7. Unitized Regenerative Fuel Cells

Material issues are those of individual (PEM, solid oxide) fuel cells and electrolysers.

¹⁴² http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/49072.pdf
4.2. Hydrogen production

4.2.1. H₂ from fossil fuels

(not considered here).

4.2.2. H₂ from Water electrolysis

a- Alkaline water electrolysis

Alkaline water electrolysis is already a mature technology but there are still needs for advanced diaphragm materials with limited gas cross over and for more efficient catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>State of art</th>
<th>Target 2020-2030</th>
<th>Ultimate goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating current density (A/cm²)</td>
<td>0.2–0.5</td>
<td>0.1–1</td>
<td>0–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating temperature (°C)</td>
<td>ambient – 120</td>
<td>Ambient - 150</td>
<td>ambient - &gt;150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating pressure (bars)</td>
<td>1-200</td>
<td>1-350</td>
<td>1-700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durability (h)</td>
<td>10ʰ</td>
<td>&gt; 10³</td>
<td>&gt; 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclability</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>improved</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production capacity of electrolysis units</td>
<td>Up to 50 kg/hour (≈ 500 Nm³/hour)</td>
<td>&gt; 10⁰ kg/hour (≈ 1000 Nm³/hour)</td>
<td>&gt; 10⁹ kg/hour (≈ 10 000 Nm³/hour)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-energy cost (€/kg H₂)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: Alkaline water electrolysis specifications.

b- PEM water electrolysis

A tentative roadmap for the improvement PEM electrolysers is provided in Table 12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>State of art</th>
<th>Target 2020-2030</th>
<th>Ultimate goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating current density (A/cm²)</td>
<td>0 – 1</td>
<td>0 – 2</td>
<td>0 – 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating temperature (°C)</td>
<td>50-80</td>
<td>80-120</td>
<td>100-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating pressure (bar)</td>
<td>1-50</td>
<td>1-350</td>
<td>1-700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpic efficiency with PGM catalysts</td>
<td>80% at 1 A/cm²</td>
<td>80% at 2 A/cm²</td>
<td>80% at 4 A/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpic efficiency with non-PGM catal.</td>
<td>30-40% at 1 A/cm²</td>
<td>60% at 1 A/cm²</td>
<td>60% at 1 A/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPE Voltage drop (mV at 1 A/cm²)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPE ionic conductivity (S/cm at 80°C)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPE gas permeability to H₂ (cm³/s.Pa) (80°C, full humidity)</td>
<td>10⁻¹¹</td>
<td>10⁻⁹</td>
<td>10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathodic PGM (Pt) content (mg/cm²)</td>
<td>1.0-0.5</td>
<td>0.5-0.05</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anodic PGM (Ir, Ru) contents (mg/cm²)</td>
<td>1.0-2.0</td>
<td>0.5-0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durability (h)</td>
<td>10³</td>
<td>10³ – 5.10⁴</td>
<td>&gt; 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production capacity of electrolysis units</td>
<td>1 kg/hour (≈ 10 Nm³/hour)</td>
<td>&gt; 10 kg/hour (≈ 100 Nm³/hour)</td>
<td>&gt; 100 kg/hour (≈ 1000 Nm³/hour)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kWh/kg H₂ at 80°C, 1 A.cm⁻²)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>&lt; 50</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-energy cost (€/kg H₂)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: PEM water electrolysis specifications.
c- Solid Oxide Water Electrolysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>State of art</th>
<th>Target 2020-2030</th>
<th>Ultimate goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating temperature (°C)</td>
<td>800-950</td>
<td>700-800</td>
<td>600-700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating pressure (bars)</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>1-30</td>
<td>1-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating current density (A/cm²)</td>
<td>0-0.5</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Specific Resistance (Ω.cm²)</td>
<td>0.3-0.6</td>
<td>0.2-0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpic efficiency (100% at 0.5 A/cm²)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell voltage degradation (at 1 A/cm²)</td>
<td>&gt; 10 %/1000hrs</td>
<td>&lt; 1%/1000hrs</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 %/1000hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durability (h)</td>
<td>10¹</td>
<td>10⁰</td>
<td>10⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical modulation</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0-100</td>
<td>0-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load cycles</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>&gt; 10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StartUp time (hours)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>&lt; 1-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shut down time</td>
<td>Few hours</td>
<td>Few minutes</td>
<td>Few minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production capacity (at 1 A/cm²)</td>
<td>&lt;1 kg/hour</td>
<td>10 kg/hour</td>
<td>100 kg/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-energy cost (€/kg H₂)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13: Solid Oxide water electrolysis specifications.

4.2.3. H₂ from water and thermochemical cycles

To date, thermochemical cycles have been evaluated mostly at the laboratory scale. Only few demonstrations have been made at the prototype scale. There is still a need to establish the ability of the different materials to sustain a significant number of thermal cycles. Corrosion and cost issues have to be solved.¹⁴³

4.2.4. H₂ from water and PEC cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>State of art</th>
<th>Target 2020-2030</th>
<th>Ultimate goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating current density (mA/cm²)</td>
<td>0 - 5</td>
<td>0 - 10</td>
<td>0 - 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating temperature (°C)</td>
<td>room</td>
<td>room - 80</td>
<td>room - 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating pressure (bar)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo-anode material</td>
<td>TiO₂, KTiO₃, SrTiO₃, SnO₂, WO₃</td>
<td>polymer</td>
<td>polymer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolyte</td>
<td>NaOH, H₂SO₄</td>
<td>polymer</td>
<td>polymer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathode material</td>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>non-PGM</td>
<td>non-PGM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo-cathode material</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy efficiency (light source)</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>10-15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.6 %</td>
<td>(sunlight)</td>
<td>(sunlight)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>(50W halogen lamp)</td>
<td>10-15% (dye)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.46%</td>
<td>(dye-Zr-SrTiO₃)</td>
<td>10-15% (dye)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durability (h)</td>
<td>few</td>
<td>10¹</td>
<td>10⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production capacity (Nmliter/hour)</td>
<td>10 Nmliter/hour</td>
<td>10 Nliter/hour</td>
<td>10 Nliter/hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14: PEC cell specifications.

It is currently not possible to predict the price of hydrogen produced from water using solar energy. Former studies\textsuperscript{148} indicate that efficiencies in the range 10–15% may be economical. Therefore, solar conversion efficiency\textsuperscript{149} remains the critical issue on the mid- to long-term. Some technical and material specifications related to photo-electrochemical hydrogen are listed in table 14. To date, there are insufficient data to allow a meaningful discussion on the durability of photo-electrodes and PEC units. Also, little is known of the costs of PEC units because their development has been limited to the laboratory scale.

4.2.5. H\textsubscript{2} purification

Hydrogen purity levels are critical for a number of H\textsubscript{2}&FC applications, in particular for fuel cells and for hydrogen storage applications\textsuperscript{150, 151}. From the material viewpoint, specifications are directly related to the operating conditions of the process. Main KPIs are (i) purity levels; (ii) kinetics; (iii) durability; (iv) cost issues.

\textsuperscript{151} S. Watanabe, Influence of Impurities in Hydrogen on Fuel Cell Performance, Japan Automobile Research Institute, 2004.
4.3. **Hydrogen storage and transport**

Specifications are provided by type of application.

### 4.3.1. Automotive industry specifications and targets

Taking a hydrogen powered 75 kW\textsubscript{el} fuel cell car as reference, the amount of hydrogen that has to be stored on-board for a range of at least 400 km is about 4 kg. The targets for the storage of 4 kg of hydrogen are (i) a total weight of the system of about 100 kg and (ii) a total volume of about 150 l, corresponding to a gravimetric density of 4 wt\% (1.33 kWh/kg) and a volumetric density of 27 g/l. None of the current hydrogen storage technologies meets the combined gravimetric, volumetric, and system cost targets (figure 4). The industry prepares to enter the H\textsubscript{2} market using compressed hydrogen tanks to compete successfully with standard gasoline-fuelled vehicles. The on-board heat management during charging/discharging of the hydrogen tank is an additional critical point if a hydride material is chosen as storage medium (a reaction enthalpy in the 15-30 kJ per mol H\textsubscript{2} range is required). The refuelling rate of the hydrogen storage system should be 1.2 kg of hydrogen per minute. Including the time for connecting and disconnecting the distribution elements, this results in a refuelling time of about 4 minutes for a 4 kg hydrogen storage system. A heat exchange during refuelling is possible. It is also possible to exchange the content of the tank if waste products are produced or even to exchange the tank itself\textsuperscript{152}.

![Figure 4: H\textsubscript{2} volumetric vs. gravimetric density targets. Insert: cost targets.](image)

Figure 5 (from the DoE 2010 Annual Merit Review) summarizes targets for 2015 and beyond along with the current performance of numerous materials / systems (porous sorbents in blue, metal hydrides in red, chemical hydrides in orange).

Figure 5: H$_2$ gravimetric density targets for 2015 and beyond (DOE).

### 4.3.2. Stationary applications

“Stationary storage” is a term used to describe essentially all forms of hydrogen storage excluding vehicle transportation applications. It includes large stationary storage units, medium-size storage units for small industrial applications or power systems, as well as small storage units that support stationary and mobile fuel cell applications. Emphasis is placed on solid storage systems as they may offer cost and safety benefits over the incumbent technologies of CH$_2$ or LH$_2$ transport and storage in existing applications. However, when competing with established technologies, the benefits must be demonstrated at a pilot scale to overcome scepticism in the conservative industrial gas market. The range of well known hydrogen solid storage systems and those at the early research or development stage is large. Each material has very different physical properties and could be used in combination or individually to suit each requirement.

### 4.3.3. Large scale storage

In the case of natural cavities, properties of natural materials (porosity, permeability) are critical. The possibility of improving the storage ability of natural sites by injecting chemicals / compounds as additives is not considered here. In the case of buried tanks (with either compressed or liquid H$_2$), material issues are similar to those of conventional tanks. However, corrosion issues due the different environment may differ and require specific investigation.

### 4.3.4. H$_2$ transport

Large scale industrial hydrogen networks already exist. Cost and safety are critical issues for large scale deployment. Some critical material issues exist regarding corrosion and hydrogen embrittlement.
SECTION 5: SYNERGIES WITH OTHER TECHNOLOGIES

Materials with similar properties but used in different technologies are listed in this section.

5.1. Fuel cells

5.1.1. AFC

Alkaline water electrolysis and brine electrolysis are using similar materials (catalysts, electrolyte, diaphragm) with similar properties.

5.1.2. PEMFC

Polymer electrolyte (Nafion) membranes are also used in (i) chlor-alkali production; (ii) gas drying or humidification; (iii) superacid catalysis for the production of fine chemicals; (iv) water electrolysis, electrolytic regeneration of spent chromium plating solutions, sensors. The platinum group metals are used in a large variety of industries: (i) autocatalysis (platinum, palladium and rhodium); (ii) industrial use (i.e. platinum-based in the nitric acid, in the glass and petroleum industry, palladium in hydro-cracking). Carbon products find application in areas including industry - steel and aluminum production, glass making, aerospace industry, nuclear power, and electronics. Graphite products are used in applications such as brake linings, foundries, lubricants, and batteries. About two thirds of all titanium metal produced is used in aircraft engines and frames. The petrochemical and chemical industry uses titanium in heat exchangers and reactors. The automotive industry uses titanium it in the body frame and components as connecting rods, valves, and suspension springs. There are synergies between membrane electrode assemblies and batteries manufacturing concerning coating processes.

5.1.3. Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cells

Cell separators have similarities with valve-regulated lead-acid batteries.

5.1.4. Solid Oxide Fuel Cells

High-temperature fuel cell technology (SOFC, MCFC, PCFC, DCFC) has synergies with high temperature electrolysis and fuel processing (cell materials and catalysts, respectively). SOFC materials with good oxygen ion, proton or mixed conductivity can also be used in solid state high temperature membranes used in sensor, gas separation, carbon containing fuel processing and CO₂ concentrators.

5.1.5. Molten carbonate Fuel Cells

The design of MCFC systems can be adapted for CCS (Carbon Capture and Storage) applications. On the materials side, there are hardly any synergy levels visible. However, the forming and assembly of metal sheets into bipolar plates are common to manufacturing processes used in other FC technologies.

5.1.6. Direct Carbon Fuel Cells

There are synergies in terms of process and materials with H₂/O₂ SOFC and MCFC technologies.

5.1.7. Unitized regenerative Fuel Cells

There are synergies between URFC and H₂/O₂ fuel cells or water electrolysis (for both PEM and Solid Oxide technologies) in terms of electrolyte, catalysts and cell components.
5.2. Hydrogen production

5.2.1. H\textsubscript{2} from fossil fuels

Coal gasification and biomass gasification share common issues related to metallic component resistance to corrosion in the presence of syngas containing impurities such as sulphur or chloride. Corrosion-erosion of metallic components is also an issue. CCS technologies are critical for the production of “green” H\textsubscript{2} from fossil fuels. These technologies are complementary.

5.2.2. H\textsubscript{2} from Water electrolysis

a- Alkaline water electrolysis

(see alkaline fuel cell 5.1.1.).

b- PEM water electrolysis

There are several similarities between PEM water electrolysis and PEM H\textsubscript{2}/O\textsubscript{2} fuel cells, both in terms of principles and materials. PEM technology can also be used in sister-technologies such as hydrogen purification, hydrogen electrochemical compression and unitized regenerative fuel cells. These different technologies require similar materials with similar properties (ionic conductivity, chemical, mechanical and thermal stability, electro-activity, etc.).

c- Solid Oxide Water Electrolysis

There are close links between ceramic oxide water electrolysis technologies and fuel cell technologies. In particular, material stability is the main requirement for durability and robustness.

5.2.3. H\textsubscript{2} from water and thermochemical cycles

Since thermochemical cycles use heat as main energy source, some synergies can be expected with heat management technologies in miscellaneous industrial fields including nuclear power plants (heat exchanger and energy efficiency) or solar heat sources. Other synergies can be expected with thermo-chemical processes used for the treatment of biomass.

5.2.4. H\textsubscript{2} from water and PEC cells

There are close similarities and materials between photo-electrochemical devices capable of producing electric power (\(\Delta G = 0\)) and those capable of producing photo-synthetic fuels (\(\Delta G >0\)). There are also synergies with water electrolysis technology.

5.2.5. H\textsubscript{2} purification

Low cost and high efficiency separation membranes are required for hydrogen purification after gasification or gas reforming processes. Similar requirements exist for CO\textsubscript{2} capture after all combustion processes. Other H\textsubscript{2} production technologies may require other processes for purification. This depends also on end user hydrogen quality specifications.
5.3. **Hydrogen storage and transport**

Compressed H$_2$ storage requires hydrogen compression technologies. H$_2$ can be compressed using standard piston-type mechanical compressors. Metal hydrides can also be used to obtain compression ratios larger than 20:1 and final output pressures larger than 1000 bar\[^{153}\]. Direct pressurized water electrolysis is also an option. PEM electrolyzers used for O$_2$ generation for underwater applications are known to have a large potential up to 700 bar. Cross-permeation effects across the polymer can reduce the efficiency. Thicker and PTFE-reinforced membranes can be used to increase the delivery pressure but the energy consumption increases with the thickness of the membrane. Alternatively, hydrogen electrochemical compressors using a H$_2$ fuel cell anode and a H$_2$ water electrolysis cathode can also be used\[^{154}\]. Metal hydrides are also found in other technologies\[^{155}\], with applications over a wide temperature range, from a few Kelvin up to 500-600 K. The ZrNi-H$_2$(g) system has been used for hydrogen isotope storage, separation technology\[^{156}\] or in closed-cycle hydride cryo-coolers\[^{157}\]. They are also used in hydrogen batteries, heat pumps, gas compressors and refrigerators. Important potential synergy exists between CH2 storage and solid state hydrogen storage. Combining these two techniques may considerably increase the storage density of a H$_2$ tank, as was demonstrated recently by a Japanese car manufacturer. Thus, 5 kg H$_2$ could be stored at high pressure (up to 350 bar H$_2$) in a system with a mass of 220 kg and a volume of only 95 l. Compared to the performances of a 700 bar H$_2$ tank (125 kg, 220 l volume), this is a great achievement. There is still room for improvement, especially in the combination of new hydrides in a pressurized tank system. Europe could make significant contributions because there is a profound expertise in the materials development and system design amongst European groups. Another important synergy is found in the development of materials. Recent H$_2$ storage materials from the family of complex hydrides are based on the so-called conversion principle which means that chemical reactions take place in the solid when H$_2$ is absorbed or desorbed. The material undergoes a considerable change in its chemical composition during this process and new phases are formed or consumed during cycling. This is different from the storage mechanism of classical metal hydrides where the H atoms are intercalated and bound at interstitial sites of a remaining host metal lattice. A similar paradigm change from intercalation to conversion is currently observed also in the battery development. There, the conversion principle seems the only option at the moment to achieve storage capacities which are considerably beyond those of current Li ion batteries. Interestingly, the scientific principles, the challenges and the barriers are very similar in both cases and the gain of knowledge in one field may be successfully transferred e.g. from H$_2$ storage materials to battery materials or vice versa. Synergy exists also between the construction of H$_2$ storage systems and the development of high temperature PEMFC which work at temperatures up to 180 °C. Thus, the off-gas of the fuel cell can be utilized to desorb the hydrogen from, e.g. a complex hydride.

5.3.3. **Large scale storage**

Large scale storage of hydrogen can be envisioned using natural geological sites. The management of such natural tanks require specific technologies (and associated materials issues) which are similar to those required for the large-scale CO$_2$ sequestration. Synergies are also expected with the underground storage of radioactive wastes.

---


5.3.4. \( \text{H}_2 \) transport

There are synergies with long-distance transport of natural gas. Some material issues (such as corrosion resistance of pipes, threshold \( \text{H}_2 \) concentration) have been investigated in some EC projects.
SECTION 6: NEEDS AND RECOMMENDATION OF ACTIVITIES ADDRESSING 2020 AND 2050 MARKET IMPLEMENTATION

The specification targets for “2020 and 2050 market implementation” detailed in section 4 of this document cannot be achieved with state-of-art materials. Significant breakthroughs are required in both basic and applied research (analysis and modelling of material properties, new material developments). To reach the objective of market implementation, several generations of materials (and preparation processes) presenting improved properties and stability with lower fabrication costs should be developed and optimized. A continuous effort on basic research is necessary all along the market implementation process. The order in which the recommendations are given does not reflect any raking of priority.

6.1. Fuel cells

6.1.1. AFC

It is recommended to develop new concepts in order to avoid carbonate poisoning (e.g. use of novel polymers or increase temperature of operation). Also anion exchange polymer membrane development for AFC and related MEA development should be supported. Performances should be evaluated against those of PEM technology. Target costs (850 Euro/kW 2015, 600 Euro/kW 2020 excluding inverter and related control equipment) are also critical.

6.1.2. PEMFC

Till 2015-2020 it is necessary to facilitate the growth of early markets for fuel cells used in portable, stationary, and specialty-vehicle applications to overcome a number of barriers for market penetration, including the lack of operating performance data, the need for applicable codes and standards, and the need for user acceptance. The development of niche-market applications for hydrogen fuel cells has been identified as the quickest way to achieve early market penetration. Promising near-term opportunities have been identified in fuel cells to provide power to forklifts and backup power for telecommunications. Taxi, post and sweeper vehicles are being recently demonstrated in Europe as ideal solutions in urban areas to reach customer acceptance providing an efficient, clean and reliable service to the community. It is therefore recommended that specific funding is provided for market transition to accelerate the deployment of fuel cells in early market applications, to collect valuable data on the status of the technologies in real-world operation and information that will be used to validate the benefits of the technologies and potential needs for further R&D. Significant R&D progress is needed to enhance the durability of the current system in order to make PEM fuel cell technology a robust and reliable power source. The most necessary breakthrough to bring down the cost and making it competitive and affordable is expected to be the scale up of manufacturing capabilities for stationary and mobile units. Performance needs to be progressively improved by R&D on innovative polymer membrane material able to operate in a broad range of operating conditions (especially with regard to humidity and temperature).

Recommendation 1: develop high proton-conductivity polymer at low water content (0.1 S/cm at 150 °C, 20 % RH);
Recommendation 2: develop polymer electrolyte for operation up to 100-150 °C;
Recommendation 3: overcome carbon corrosion and Pt dissolution in FC catalyst (targeting 50,000 hours operation for stationary);
Recommendation 4: catalyst with reduced precious metal content (< 0.05 mg.cm^{-2}) ; non-PGM based catalysts and alternative (non-carbonaceous) carriers for Pt alloy catalysts;
Recommendation 5: development of corrosion stable and mechanically stable gas distribution layers as well as low cost bipolar plates with improved durability under temperature and current density cycling.
Recommendation 6: development of specific technologies for mass production;
Recommendation 7: stack demonstration in prototypes to gain technological insight and customer acceptance;
Table 15: PEMFC recommendations and timelines.

6.1.3. Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cells

(not considered in this report)

6.1.4. Solid Oxide Fuel Cells

Lifetime requirements impose a need for accelerated testing procedures based on a sound understanding of materials and cell durability. The functionality of materials and components has to be characterized under realistic field application conditions. The impact of the variable environment, humidity, fuel composition (qualities of natural gas, biogas) and trace elements in air on degradation of materials and components has to be studied in long-time exposures.

**Recommendation 1:** investigation of main causes of degradation in SOFC cells and stacks; development of innovative solutions and materials to reach > 40,000 h lifetimes;

**Recommendation 2:** manufacturing and long-time testing of novel cell/stack/module concepts; obtain significant cost-reductions and increased lifetime at state-of-art performance level (e.g. full ceramic cell, Ni < 2 %, metal supported cells);

**Recommendation 3:** continue demonstration of mCHP systems with improved stack lifetimes to reach 20,000 units installed at EU level by 2020;

**Recommendation 4:** up-scaling of components, development of appropriate manufacturing techniques; demonstration of large-scale CHP (blocks or full systems) and SOFC-GT units.

Table 16: SOFC recommendations and timelines.

6.1.5. Molten Carbonate Fuel Cells

Considerable extension of the cell lifetime has to be achieved in the next 10 years in order to exploit the potential of MCFC for large-scale power systems.

**Recommendation 1:** encourage joint efforts of the few worldwide developers to reduce the cost of cell components;
**Recommendation 2:** investigation of the degradation mechanism in cell and stacks during unusual transient operating modes;

**Recommendation 3:** development of materials and process solutions to increase lifetime towards 60,000 hours.

### 6.1.6. Direct Carbon Fuel Cells

Basic research is needed to bring the cell performance close to its theoretical potential, especially as regards to electrode activity for direct carbon oxidation. Applied R&D up to a proof-of-concept state is needed to identify most optimal concepts and designs as well as engineering problems to be solved. This technology has a clear synergy with SOFC and MCFCs since the development lines are related to either one of these technologies or are considered a mixture of both. A need for demonstration, in particular at system level, can be expected from 2020 onwards, after first concepts have been demonstrated.

### 6.1.7. Unitized regenerative Fuel Cells (URFC)

The development of efficient low temperature URFC base for example on polymer electrolyte technology remains a challenging task due to the poor reversibility of the water-oxygen redox system. There is a need to improve the performances in both fuel cell and water electrolysis modes and to maintain these performances during a much larger number of operating cycle. Performances of URFC operating in fuel cell modes can be evaluated by comparison with those of conventional PEMFC and performances of URFC operating in WE mode can be evaluated by comparisons with those of conventional PEM water electrolyzers. Most R&D efforts made separately in H₂/O₂ fuel cell and water electrolysis technologies (improvements of materials) will also have a positive effect on URFC technology on the same timeline. But there is also a need to improve the URFC technology itself (preparation of specific MEAs for reversible operation, reduce cost and increase durability of cell sub-components) and to develop larger systems. The development of high temperature URFC appear to be less challenging (prototypes are currently tested) but some specific cell components are also required.
6.2. Hydrogen production

6.2.1. H₂ from fossil fuels

(see technology chapter on fossil fuels energy sector).

6.2.2. H₂ purification

Hydrogen purity is critical for several H₂&FC technologies (fuel cells using PGM catalysts and H₂ storage materials). Impurities on the upstream side of the membrane can be categorized into two-classes: those producing reversible and those producing irreversible surface damages. Concentration levels of impurities are related to degradation losses, maintenance operations and recycling processes. Purity requirements on the downstream side can differ significantly from one impurity to the other, and from one application to the other. Cost is also an issue.

Recommendation 1: investigation of manufacturing processes for ultra-thin (submicron thick) metallic membranes and support to reduce cost and improve permeation kinetics;

Recommendation 2: optimization of advanced, cost-effective ceramic and highly durable polymeric and metallic membranes with long life-time for CO₂ over H₂ separation, hydrogen purification by permeation, separation of (inhibitory or intermediary) products from biomass processes.

6.2.3. H₂ from Water electrolysis

a- Alkaline water electrolysis

Even if alkaline electrolysis is already a mature process, large scale water electrolysis still requires a substantial scale-up in the size of electrolysers. Massive hydrogen production by water electrolysis has already attracted attention as a mean for power-grid regulation using off-peak nuclear electricity (peak shaving)\textsuperscript{158}. In the near future, there will be a need for larger water electrolysers for the massive production of hydrogen from off-shore wind-farms. The efficiency of commercially available alkaline electrolysers is usually close to 80 % (HHV) at 0.5 A/cm\textsuperscript{2}. Similar efficiencies at higher current densities are still achievable and could bring significant cost reductions. Improvements that would allow this technology to sustain large load variations would have a significant impact on hydrogen production from intermittent renewable energy sources. Operation at low power loads usually reduces gas purity because of gas permeation effects through the diaphragm. Also, protective polarization currents are usually used to prevent electrode corrosion. Advanced alkaline water electrolysis materials and concepts are required to overcome these limitations. The concept of Hydroxyl Exchange Membrane (HEM) water electrolysis (a hybrid process using a hydroxyl-ion conducting polymer electrolyte and combining the advantages of PEM and alkaline technologies) is more prospective and should be investigated thoroughly.

Recommendation 1: development of advanced cell materials for alkaline water electrolysis;
Recommendation 2: development of advanced concepts for coupling with transient power loads;
Recommendation 3: investigation of HEM technology (development of hydroxyl-ion conducting polymers of adequate chemical and thermal stability).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>Basic research / new concepts</td>
<td>Applied research</td>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17: alkaline water electrolysis recommendations and timelines.

\textsuperscript{158} Solid polymer electrolyte water electrolysis technology development for large-scale hydrogen production. US Department of Energy 1981 [DOE/ET/26 202–1].
b- PEM water electrolysis

PEM water electrolysis offers a high potential of improvement. Whereas state-of-art PEM electrolyzers are operating at 0.8-1.0 A/cm² at 80°C (75% LHV efficiency), it seems possible to operate at higher current densities (of several A/cm²) to reduce non-energy costs. To maintain similar efficiencies, it will be necessary to operate at higher temperature (100-150°C). In terms of materials, new polymers and new catalytic compositions that could sustain such temperatures are needed (synergies with FC technology). Concerning the anodic catalyst, there is a need to develop electronic carriers of large surface area stable under O₂ evolution and catalytic composition that could stabilize ruthenium. R&D on non-noble catalysts should be pursued to reduce non-energy costs. There is a need to replace bulk Ti bipolar plates and expensive porous current collectors. Durability and mean time before failure issues are also a challenge, as well as diagnostic, monitoring and maintenance. Finally, R&D on high pressure electrolysis is still required. Whereas only low pressure (1-50 bar) electrolyzers are available, some attempts have been made up to 150 bar and there are claims of operation up to 300 and 700 bar. Such systems are needed in refueling stations for the direct and safe storage of pressurized hydrogen for automotive applications. Polymers with low gas solubility and gas cross-permeation monitoring processes are required. In the near future, there is a need for larger water electrolyzers for the massive production of hydrogen from off-shore wind-farms. Durability with stable electrochemical performances and minimized maintenance operations should be extended to the upper range of the 10,000-100,000 hours time interval.

Recommendation 1: development of electron-conducting catalyst-carriers for the OER (Oxygen Evolution Reaction) to reduce PGM contents (< 0.1 mg/cm²);
Recommendation 2: development of polymer electrolyte for operation at higher (100-150°C) temperature;
Recommendation 3: development polymer electrolyte for operation at elevated (> 100 bar) pressure;
Recommendation 4: development of non-PGM catalysts for the HER and the OER and evaluation of performances against conventional PGM catalysts;
Recommendation 5: cost reduction of non-MEA cell components (current distributors, cell spacers and bipolar plates) to match EU cost targets of electrolytic hydrogen;
Recommendation 6: extend operation durability (towards 50,000 hours) of large (>100 Nm³ H₂/h) electrolyzers;
Recommendation 7: develop automated manufacturing processes for high performance MEAs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Basic research</td>
<td>Applied Research</td>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Basic research</td>
<td>Applied Research</td>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Basic research</td>
<td>Applied Research</td>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Basic research</td>
<td>Applied Research</td>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Applied Research</td>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7</td>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td>Applied Research</td>
<td>Pilot</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 18: PEM water electrolysis recommendations and timelines.

c- Solid Oxide Water Electrolysis

In order to improve the technology, significant efforts are needed on cell and stack reliability and durability, at relevant performance level (i ~1 A/cm²), with adapted flexibility (in terms of load variation, start up and shut down frequency and in term of steam mixtures with CO₂). To reach such performances, a better understanding of degradation processes is required, the adaptation of the microstructure of current SOFC materials and even new materials are needed. Another option would be to reduce operating temperature. However, operation in the 550-650°C temperature range (which is close to the range of operation of proton-conducting ceramic materials) requires the development of advanced ceramic materials and new sealants. The development of efficient oxide ion conducting materials operating at such low temperatures is a challenge for material science.
Recommendation 1: improve material and stack component durability (towards 75,000 h);  
Recommendation 2: improve material durability upon thermal and load cycles;  
Recommendation 3: decrease sensitivity to steam impurity and cell scale up;  
Recommendation 4: development of oxide-ion conducting materials operating at lower temperature (550-650°C).

6.2.4. H₂ from water and thermochemical cycles

Recommendation 1: demonstration Sulphur-Iodine process at pilot scale;  
Recommendation 2: improve performances of hybrid sulphuric acid cycle;  
Recommendation 3: pilot demonstration\(^{159}\) and further material development for Ferrites cycles;  
Recommendation 4: pilot demonstration for CuCl, Zn/ZnO and CeO cycles.

6.2.5. H₂ from water and PEC cells

Photo-electrodes fall into two groups of materials\(^ {160}\). The first group includes ionic compounds, such as oxide materials. Although, at present, these exhibit low energy conversions (< 0.6 %), their corrosion-resistance is high. Stable performance over extended periods of time is a prerequisite for practical applications. For example, PECs using SrTiO\(_3\) are the only cells that do not require any bias (their electromotive force is above that required for water decomposition) but its energy conversion efficiency is low (< 0.1 %) due to its relatively large band gap (3.4 eV)\(^ {161}\). New materials are needed. The second group includes valence semiconductors, such as GaAs. Although these materials exhibit high energy conversions (up to 18 %), they are readily subject to corrosion in aqueous environments. Dye sensitization is very promising in processing photo-electrodes that exhibit an increased energy conversion\(^ {162}\) but sensitizers that exhibit a stable performance in aqueous solutions are still required. Therefore, it is recommended to launch specific R&D programs on photo-hydrogen processes. Cross-activities in the fields of dye-sensitized photovoltaics and photo-hydrogen production should be encouraged.

Recommendation 1: develop semi-conducting materials for absorption of visible light stable in aqueous electrolytes;  
Recommendation 2: develop stable dyes with improved turn-over and larger absorption band to harvest visible light;  
Recommendation 3: demonstrate the possibility to direct photo-dissociation of water without external bias in a photo-electrochemical cell and improve energy efficiency (towards 10 %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation 1</td>
<td>Basic research</td>
<td>Basic research</td>
<td>Applied research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation 2</td>
<td>Basic research</td>
<td>Basic research</td>
<td>Applied research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Applied research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19: photo-electrochemical water dissociation: recommendations and timelines.

\(^{159}\) A 2\(^2\)100 kW is in operation. A 1 MW is in preparation by the FCH JTI project HYDROSOL 3D.  
6.3. **Hydrogen storage and transport**

Currently no hydrogen storage technology can be taken out of the race. All technologies, however, still need further evolution to reach large-scale commercialization.

6.3.1. **Physical storage**

**a- Compressed Gas Storage**

Progress is still necessary, particularly in view of the long-term goals (e.g. 7.5 wt% or 120 €/kg).

*Recommendation 1:* reduce costs: develop new and innovative materials, investigate use of low cost fibres, develop vessel concepts and manufacturing processes; develop techniques that recover compression energy during vehicle operation; develop activities on the regulatory level to introduce approval criteria and testing procedures for impact resistance of high pressure storage containments against crash loads; a fundamental understanding of failure mechanisms of high pressure vessels is required to define new safety regulations and standards towards more flexibility for further improvement of gravimetric and volumetric energy densities;

*Recommendation 2:* develop recycling concepts, material sorting and recycling technologies applicable to hydrogen vehicles;

*Recommendation 3:* improve system reliability (pressure vessel & components); develop approaches to improve conformability of storage systems.

**b- Liquid and cryo-compressed H₂ Storage**

*Recommendation 1:* specific challenges (such as boil-off and permeation) need to be tackled in future R&D activities. Also, there is need to develop more efficient liquefaction processes, to improve long term reliability and stability of the system, and to advance filling solutions to minimize the H₂ return-gas during filling;

*Recommendation 2:* reduce cost and improve capacity of cryo-compressed storage tanks.

6.3.2. **Storage in solid materials**

**a- Metal hydrides**

There is still a need to identify new materials with higher volumetric capacities, real reversibility, adequate kinetics and thermodynamics, and larger gravimetric capacities (using for e.g. magnesium-alloy hydrides).

*Recommendation 1:* enhance gravimetric capacities of low temperature hydrides; reduce temperature of operation of high temperature hydrides; address heat management issues in a holistic, integrated manner;

*Recommendation 2:* investigate hybrid concepts combining high pressure (up to 350 bar) and solid storage tanks;

*Recommendation 3:* develop novel, energy efficient, compact and cost effective hydrogen storage solutions at low pressures in the areas of hydrogen production from renewables, grid independent energy supply systems, etc.

**b- Complex hydrides**

*Recommendation 1:* Develop novel materials combining both theoretical and experimental approaches; improve reversibility, thermodynamics, kinetics aspects; destabilization of high temperature hydrides by thermodynamic tailoring and/or nanostructuring seems to be a promising route but needs much more research efforts;

*Recommendation 2:* integrate solid hydrogen storage systems with high temperature PEMFCs, SOFCs; address heat management issues in a holistic integrated manner;
**Recommendation 3:** conduct cross-cutting research in materials testing, reactivity and safety to guide material selection and risk mitigation strategies.

**c- Chemical hydrides**

*Recommendation 1:* Develop cost efficient off-board regeneration methods;
*Recommendation 2:* investigate the applicability of liquid organic carriers with emphasis on refuelling and infrastructure aspects (addressing regeneration energy and cost issues).

**d- Physisorption**

*Recommendation 1:* state-of-art sorbents possess sufficient gravimetric capacities and reversibility with good kinetics; however, they require cryogenic temperatures; there is a need to develop new materials capable of operation at ambient temperature while retaining main properties of existing materials and to investigate and understand weak chemisorption effects (e.g. doping);
*Recommendation 2:* upscale novel nano-structured materials; design of new structures with the aid of modelling approaches;

**6.3.3. Large scale storage**

*Recommendation:* support R&D programs on large capacity tanks and geological H₂ storage; possibly link to those on large scale CO₂ sequestration.

**6.3.4. H₂ transport**

*Recommendation:* R&D programs on H₂ transport could be linked to those on transport of natural gas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFC</td>
<td>Alkaline Fuel Cell</td>
<td>ORR</td>
<td>Oxygen Reduction Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APU</td>
<td>Auxiliary Power Unit</td>
<td>PAFC</td>
<td>Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>Carbon Capture and Storage</td>
<td>PAM</td>
<td>Poly AntiMonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH2</td>
<td>Compressed Hydrogen</td>
<td>PBI</td>
<td>Poly BenzImidazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mCHP</td>
<td>micro- Combined Heat and Power</td>
<td>PCSOFC</td>
<td>Proton-Conducting Solid Oxide Fuel Cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH2</td>
<td>Compressed Hydrogen</td>
<td>PEC</td>
<td>Photo-Electrochemical Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Catalyst Layer</td>
<td>PEM</td>
<td>Proton-Exchange Membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCFC</td>
<td>Direct Carbon Fuel Cell</td>
<td>PEMFC</td>
<td>Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMFC</td>
<td>Direct Methanol Fuel Cell</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>Poly Propylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE</td>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
<td>PPS</td>
<td>Poly Phenylene Sulfide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>European Commission</td>
<td>PPSF</td>
<td>Poly Phenyl Sulfone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPDM</td>
<td>Ethylene Propylene- Diene-Monomer</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Poly Sulfone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>Pressure Swing Adsorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td>Fuel Cell</td>
<td>PTFE</td>
<td>Poly Tetra Fluor Ethylene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCH JU</td>
<td>Fuel Cell and Hydrogen Joint Undertaking</td>
<td>PVDF</td>
<td>Poly VinlyDiene Fluoride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP6/FP7</td>
<td>Sixth / Sevenths Framework Program</td>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
<td>Research and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDL</td>
<td>Gas Diffusion Layer</td>
<td>SMR</td>
<td>Steam Methane Reforming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$&amp;FC</td>
<td>Hydrogen and Fuel Cell</td>
<td>S-PEEK</td>
<td>Sulfonated polyarylene ether ether ketone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEM</td>
<td>Hydroxyl Exchange Membrane</td>
<td>S-PES</td>
<td>Sulfonated Poly Ether Sulfone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER</td>
<td>Hydrogen Evolution Reaction</td>
<td>SETIS</td>
<td>Strategic Energy Technology Information System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOR</td>
<td>Hydrogen Oxidation Reaction</td>
<td>SME</td>
<td>Small and Medium Entreprises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT</td>
<td>High Temperature</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>Solid Oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR</td>
<td>Intellectual Property Rights</td>
<td>SOWE</td>
<td>Solid Oxide Water Electrolysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JRC</td>
<td>Joint Research Center</td>
<td>SOFC</td>
<td>Solid Oxide Fuel Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPI</td>
<td>Key Performance Indicator</td>
<td>SOFC-GT</td>
<td>Solid Oxide Fuel Cell-Gas Turbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH2</td>
<td>Liquid Hydrogen</td>
<td>SPE</td>
<td>Solid Polymer Electrolyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHV</td>
<td>Lower Heating Value</td>
<td>SSC</td>
<td>Short Side Chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEA</td>
<td>Membrane Electrode Assembly</td>
<td>SWCNT</td>
<td>Single-Walled Carbon Nano-Tubes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCFC</td>
<td>Molten Carbonate Fuel Cell</td>
<td>URFC</td>
<td>Unitized Regenerative Fuel Cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF</td>
<td>Metal-Organic Framework</td>
<td>WE</td>
<td>Water Electrolys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPL</td>
<td>Micro Porous Layer</td>
<td>WGS</td>
<td>Water Gas Shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCNT</td>
<td>Multi-Walled Carbon Nano-Tubes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NG</td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPMC</td>
<td>Non-Precious Metal Catalysts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCV</td>
<td>Open-Circuit Voltage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OER</td>
<td>Oxygen Evolution Reaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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