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ABSTRACT: Non-natural peptide analogs have significant potential for the development of new materials and pharmacologically active ligands. One such architecture, the β-peptoids (N-alkyl-β-alanines), has found use in a variety of biologically active compounds but has been sparsely studied with respect to folding propensity. Thus, we here report an investigation of the effect of structural variations on the cis–trans amide bond rotamer equilibria in a selection of monomer model systems. In addition to various side chain effects, which correlated well with previous studies of α-peptoids, we present the synthesis and investigation of cis–trans isomerism in the first examples of peptoids and β-peptoids containing thioamide bonds as well as trifluoracetylated peptoids and β-peptoids. These systems revealed an increase in the preference for cis-amides as compared to their parent compounds and thus provide novel strategies for affecting the folding of peptoid constructs. By using NMR spectroscopy, X-ray crystallographic analysis, and density functional theory calculations, we present evidence for the presence of thioamide–aromatic interactions through Csp₂−H−Samide, hydrogen bonding, which stabilize certain peptoid conformations.

INTRODUCTION

The 20 canonical α-amino acids constitute the fundamental set of building blocks necessary for human ribosomal synthesis of the major class of biopolymers comprised of proteins and peptides. In traditional medicinal chemistry, this class of compounds has not been considered suitable for drug development, due to susceptibility to proteolytic degradation in cellular environments and often poor cell permeability properties. Nevertheless, recent tendencies in the pharmaceutical industry have revealed an increased interest in the development of so-called biologics. This may, at least in part, be due to the successful approval and marketing of several monoclonal antibodies as therapeutics during the past decade. In order to circumvent the inherent stability problems, however, extensive research in the field of peptidomimetic designs has been undertaken. In addition to the nature of the functional groups themselves, bioactive α-peptides realize their high potency and selectivity due to stabilized secondary structure formation, which displays these functionalities accurately in three-dimensional space. Non-natural compounds that are capable of adopting stabilized three-dimensional structures mimicking or complementing those found in nature are therefore of great interest, and as a class of compounds, these various chemotypes have been coined “foldamers.”

A wide variety of foldamers have been developed and extensively studied, with some of the prominent peptidomimetic examples being β-peptoids and peptoids (N-alkylglycines) (Figure 1A). The tertiary amide backbone architecture in peptoids renders them unable to stabilize putative folded structures by forming intramolecular hydrogen-bond networks. Furthermore, the presence of tertiary backbone amide bonds gives rise to increased flexibility due to a low-energy barrier between cis and trans configurations. Thus, a high degree of cis-amide bonds may occur in peptoids, which is almost exclusively observed at proline in natural peptides and proteins (Figure 1B) and have been enhanced by introduction of synthetic proline derivatives. The effect of various N-alkyl side chain functionalities on this cis–trans equilibrium in peptoids has been studied by NMR spectroscopy. Despite the inherent flexibility of peptoids, secondary structures of oligomeric and cyclic peptoids have been studied in some detail in solution by NMR spectroscopy and in the solid state by X-ray crystallography, and some requirements for the formation of secondary peptoid structure have been identified. For instance, the handedness of a helical conformation depends on the enantiomeric nature of α-chiral N-alkyl side chains, and the helix formation is favored by the presence of bulky and aromatic substituents. These interactions involve donation of a lone pair from a carbonyl oxygen atom into an empty π* orbital of carbon atom of another carbonyl or an aromatic ring (Figure 1C) and are optimal when mimicking the Bürgi–Dunitz trajectory for nucleophilic attack. The β-peptides (Figure 1A), on the other hand, retain the capability to form intramolecular hydrogen-bond networks to stabilize secondary...
structures, while the geometry of known helices is unlikely to be stabilized by n → π* interactions.2,3

By combining the features of β-peptides and peptoids, the ensembles of available foldameric scaffolds may be expanded with β-peptoids, and several examples of biologically active compounds containing this motif have been reported.22 The structural properties of compounds with a β-peptoid backbone architecture, however, have been studied to a far lesser extent than its parent compounds since the first examples were reported by Hamper et al. in 1998.23 The first three-dimensional structure of a β-peptoid, which was achieved for a cyclic tetramer, was thus reported by Taillefumier and co-workers in 2008.24 Computational studies of linear oligomeric β-peptoids have predicted several possible helical conformations,25 containing both the cis- and trans-amides, but studies based on circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy have been inconclusive.26 To obtain experimental data regarding the folding propensity of these molecules, we decided to prepare a series of β-peptoid monomers and evaluate the structural influence on cis–trans amide bond isomerization by NMR spectroscopy under various conditions. Our collection of model compounds was designed to investigate how stereoelectronic effects and substituent bulk affect the conformational preferences of β-peptoid monomers.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Design and Synthesis. All our model compounds were based on acylated β-peptoid monomers. This minimal design was chosen to mimic the local interactions of a residue within an oligomer structure. In this way the effect of side chains may be investigated with respect to steric and stereoelectronic interactions. Furthermore, it was the scope of this work to assess whether changes in the electronic properties of the backbone would alter the conformational preferences of the residues.

The first array of monomers was designed to include a structurally diverse set of N-alkyl side chains accommodating variations in steric bulk, α-branched, aromatic vs saturated substituents and finally including an N-aryl substituent (phenyl). The chosen set of eight different side chains (a–h) was installed in two different monomer series: (1) 3a–h containing a C-terminal ester functionality and (2) 4a–h containing a C-terminal tertiary amide functionality thought to better mimic the local environment of a single residue within an oligomeric structure (Scheme 1A). The tert-butyl ester series was prepared since these can be readily deprotected, which allows for further coupling reactions as well as installation of additional C-terminal functionalities. To probe the effects of the various side chains on the rotameric preference of the β-peptoid amide bond (the cis–trans equilibrium), acetyl groups were installed to give N-terminal tertiary amides, as also investigated in α-peptoid model systems.8–10 Analogous to those studies, the trans–cis isomerism in our compounds could then be determined by integration of the 3H NMR peaks assigned to each rotamer.

Syntheses of the β-peptoid monomers were achieved byaza Michael addition of a primary amine to acrylamide (1) or acrylamide (2) in MeOH,24 which has turned out to be an ideal solvent for this transformation as opposed to the originally reported reactions in DMSO (Scheme 1A).23 This was followed by acetylation to give the two series of monomer model compounds (5a–h and 6a–h) for evaluation by NMR spectroscopy.

In addition to the various N-alkyl side chains and differences in C-terminal functionality, we were also interested in probing the possibility of local n → π* interactions by altering the electronic properties of amide carbonyls. The N-terminal amides were therefore modified by introduction of trifluoroacetyl groups in place of the acetyl groups in selected compounds (Scheme 1B). These were readily prepared from 3a, e, f and 4a, e, f by treatment with trifluoroacetic anhydride (Scheme 1B).

Finally, we substituted carbonyl oxygen atoms with sulfur in a selection of compounds to achieve introduction of minimal peptide bond surrogates with altered electronic properties.27 Both amides in compounds 6a, e, f were individually mutated to thioamides to give 10a, e, f and 12a, e, f, respectively (Scheme 1C,D). For their preparation, we utilized Lawesson’s reagent,28 which selectively converts amides to thioamides in the presence of esters. Preparation of the C-terminal thioamides 10a, e, f were achieved by treating precursors 4a, e, f with Lawesson’s reagent to give 9a, e, f, which were then acetylated to give the target compounds (Scheme 1C). The N-terminal thioamides 12a, e, f, on the other hand, were synthetized by treating 5a, e, f with Lawesson’s reagent to give 11a, e, f, followed by tert-butyl ester cleavage and coupling to morpholine to yield the target compounds (Scheme 1D). These changes were thus quite efficiently introduced from common precursors to alter the donor and acceptor capabilities of the two carbonyl groups.

NMR Spectroscopy of Acetylated Monomers. In order to take possible solvent effects into consideration in our evaluation of the monomers, we recorded NMR spectra in six
different deuterated solvents of varying polarities (Table 1). First, we looked at compound 5a containing the (S)-1-phenylethyl side chain, which is one of the most well-studied functionalities with respect to folding propensity of α-

Table 1. Rotamer Equilibrium Constants \((K_{cis/trans})\) for Acetylated β-Peptoid Monomers in Various Solvents\(^a\) and Their Corresponding Differences in Free Energy \((\Delta G \text{ values given in kJ} \times \text{mol}^{-1})\)\(^b\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compd</th>
<th>side chain</th>
<th>(D_2O)</th>
<th>DMSO-d(_6)</th>
<th>CD(_3)OD</th>
<th>CD(_3)CN</th>
<th>CDCl(_3)</th>
<th>C(_6)D(_6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>spe</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>sce</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5c</td>
<td>bn</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d</td>
<td>sbu</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5e</td>
<td>s1npe</td>
<td>n.s.c</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5f</td>
<td>npm</td>
<td>n.s.c</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5g</td>
<td>bnz</td>
<td>n.s.c</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5h</td>
<td>ph</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>all trans</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>spe</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>sce</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
<td>bn</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6d</td>
<td>sbu</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6e</td>
<td>s1npe</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6f</td>
<td>npm</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6g</td>
<td>bnz</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6h</td>
<td>ph</td>
<td>n.s.c</td>
<td>all trans</td>
<td>all trans</td>
<td>all trans</td>
<td>all trans</td>
<td>all trans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Determined by integration of \(^1\)H NMR spectra of 12 mM compound solutions at ambient temperature. \(^b\)\(\Delta G = -RT \times \ln(K_{cis/trans}).\) Not soluble.
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Next, we compared the Kcis/trans data for 5a with those obtained for 5b, which is a nonaromatic, fully saturated version of 5a. The loss of aromaticity with only a slight increase in steric bulk gave rise to an increase in the preference for the trans conformation. This, in turn, indicates that the aromatic moiety may cause a slight shift toward the cis-amide, which is consistent with previous findings reported by Blackwell and co-workers for peptoids.7 Likewise, this was also the case when we decreased the steric congestion of the side chain by introducing a benzyl group (5c), as the recorded Kcis/trans values were comparable to those of 5a in all the tested solvents. We then evaluated a combination of decrease in steric bulk further and removal of aromaticity using the sec-butyl side chain (5d). Similar equilibrium constants were observed for 5b and 5d, which was in accordance with α-peptoid findings,8 as was the significant preference for cis-amide configuration induced by the (S)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethyl side chain (5f).

Interestingly, introduction of a 1-naphthylmethyl side chain (5f) resulted in approximately a 1:1 mixture of rotamers as observed for 5a and 5b, showing that the naphthyl group itself is not sufficient to induce a predominant amount of the cis-amide. Thus, it would seem that the lack of α-branched amide rotamer to approximately 1:1 mixtures when adding aromatic functionalities as substituents in the α-branching only in combination with a very bulky group will promote induction of the cis-conformation, which apparently is uniquely well represented in the (S)-1-(1-naphthyl)-ethyl side chain. However, alternative constructs, taking advantage of a putative n → *π → n interaction (Figure 1C) by introducing electron-deficient aromatic substituents instead of increasing the steric bulk, have been reported for peptoids as well.9,29

Finally, peptoid studies have also shown that direct attachment of a phenyl group to the nitrogen atom (i.e., prepared from aniline subunits) leads to a very strong preference for trans-amides.13 A single β-peptoid model system of this type (5h) was evaluated and exhibited the expected selectivity, by virtually giving rise to single sets of signals in all tested solvents when analyzed by 1H NMR. As mentioned, we also evaluated the entire series of side chains a–h in model systems having C-terminal amides (6a–6h) instead of esters, to mimic the environment of a β-peptoid residue within an oligomer more appropriately. The data are shown in the lower panel of Table 1, and inspection of the results reveals the same trends as discussed for the C-terminal tert-butylesters.

Taken together, our side chain investigations indicate that there may be a slight intrinsic preference for the trans-amide rotamer in β-peptoid model systems (5d and 6d), which is in agreement with results from peptoids containing methyl or ethyl side chains.9 The equilibrium then shifts toward the cis-amide rotamer to approximately 1:1 mixtures when adding aromatic functionalities as substituents in the α-position of the side chains. Based on the results of 5a vs 5b as well as 6a vs 6b (in the polar solvents), it seems plausible that an n → *π → n interaction could play a role. Though, this interaction, in the case of a phenyl or naphthyl group, is too weak to induce the cis-amide as the preferred conformation. However, it is not possible to unambiguously attribute this effect of the aromaticity on Kcis/trans to an n → *π → n interaction based on our side chain experiments alone. Furthermore, the only examples of a strong preference for the cis-amide required quite specific steric properties of the side chain (5e and 6e). In an attempt to gain further insight regarding possible stereo-electronic effects on Kcis/trans in β-peptoids, we turned our attention to model systems containing carbonyls with altered electronic properties.

Table 2. Rotamer Equilibrium Constants (Kcis/trans) for Trifluoroacetylated β-Peptoid Monomers in Various Solvents and Their Corresponding Differences in Free Energy (ΔG values given in kJ × mol⁻¹)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compd</th>
<th>side chain</th>
<th>D₂O</th>
<th>DMSO-d₆</th>
<th>CD₃OD</th>
<th>CD₃CN</th>
<th>CDCl₃</th>
<th>C₂D₆</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>spe</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7e</td>
<td>sinpe</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7f</td>
<td>npm</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>spe</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8e</td>
<td>sinpe</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8f</td>
<td>npm</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

°Cis/trans data are not soluble. ΔG = −RT ln(Kcis/trans). Not soluble.
Although such interactions would not be expected to have a stabilizing effect on β-peptoid secondary structure due to unfavorable geometry,25 we were interested in testing whether the $K_{cis/trans}$ values in our model systems were sensitive to this type of interaction.

First we reasoned that substitution of the N-terminal acetyl group for a trifluoroacetyl group would significantly alter the electronic properties of the carbonyl through the strong inductive electron-withdrawing effect of fluorine. This should thus decrease the electronegativity of the N-terminal carbonyl, which would render this position weaker as donor of a lone pair from oxygen, whereas the carbonyl carbon atom would become a better acceptor. Since the alkyl side chains exhibited disfavoring of the cis-conformer, we chose to investigate trifluoroacetylated analogs containing aromatic side chains exclusively. We thus evaluated model compounds containing (S)-1-phenylethyl (7a), (S)-1-(1-naphthyl)-ethyl (7e), and naphthylmethyl (7f) side chains (Table 2).

Surprisingly, at first glance, opposite effects were observed for 7a vs 5a and 7e vs 5e with a decrease and an increase in $K_{cis/trans}$, respectively, while compounds 7f and 5f behaved alike each other. Retrospectively, however, we hypothesize that a decrease of the cis-amide fraction in the (S)-1-phenylethyl system may in fact be explained by a weakened n $\rightarrow$ $\pi^*$ ary interaction, whereas the opposite trend in the (S)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethyl system is most likely of entirely steric nature. The trifluoroethymethyl group is more sterically demanding than the methyl, which may indeed be of particular significance in the already congested amide bond of 7e. In support of this hypothesis, we recently became aware of a study by Raines and co-workers in which it was shown that the rotamer equilibrium of a trifluoroacetylated proline derivative was governed by steric effects, while the corresponding mono- and difluorinated analogs were affected by the electron-withdrawing inductive effect of fluorine.26 It was also suggested by Raines and co-workers that fluorine may act as donor of an electron pair to an antibonding $\pi^*$ orbital of the adjacent carbonyl, which would then result in the opposite of the anticipated inductive effect. Such interactions are indeed preceded in the literature, for example, by using molecular torsion balance double mutant systems.32

We also tested the morpholine analogs (8a,e,f), and again these exhibited trends that were similar to the tert-butylesters. Thus, it seems unlikely that the C-terminal carbonyl should be involved in the stabilization of monomer conformations. Although we are not able to propose unequivocal guidelines for the effects of introducing fluorine atoms in peptoid or peptide backbones, we believe that this could prove to be a useful addition to the arsenal of strategies for future design of peptide mimics.

Table 3. Rotamer Equilibrium Constants ($K_{cis/trans}$) for Thioamide-Containing β-Peptoid Monomers in Various Solvents$^a$ and Their Corresponding Differences in Free Energy ($\Delta G$ values given in kJ × mol$^{-1}$)$^b$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compd</th>
<th>side chain</th>
<th>$D_2O$</th>
<th>DMSO-d$_6$</th>
<th>CD$_3$OD</th>
<th>CD$_2$CN</th>
<th>CDCl$_3$</th>
<th>C$<em>6$D$</em>{6}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10a</td>
<td>spe</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10e</td>
<td>sinpe</td>
<td>n.a.$^e$</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>–1.7</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>–3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10f</td>
<td>nmp</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12a</td>
<td>spe</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12e</td>
<td>sinpe</td>
<td>n.a.$^e$</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>–4.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>–3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12f</td>
<td>nmp</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Determined by integration of $^1$H NMR spectra of 12 mM compound solutions at ambient temperature.

$^b\Delta G = –RT \ln(K_{cis/trans})$.

NMR Spectroscopy of Thioamide Analogs. Inspired by another study of prolines by Raines and co-workers,27 we next altered the carbonyl-donor capabilities by individually substituting the oxygen atoms with sulfur to increase the “nucleophilicity”. If any carbonyl–carbonyl interactions (in the N $\rightarrow$ C or C $\rightarrow$ N directionality) were to play a significant role on the β-peptoid conformations, these sulfur substitutions should give rise to differences in the $K_{cis/trans}$ values as compared to the corresponding oxygen-containing compounds. Evaluating first the thioacetylated compounds (12a,e,f), we found that they behaved similar to the acetylated compounds. The only difference was observed in the (S)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethyl system (12e), which showed increased fractions of the cis-amide. This would indicate that the sulfur is interacting with the aromatic ring rather than the C-terminal carbonyl. On the other hand, substitution of the C-terminal oxygen atom with sulfur (10a,e,f) resulted in $K_{cis/trans}$ values very similar to those recorded for their acetylated parent monomers (6a,e,f) in all cases (Table 3). This indicates that an n $\rightarrow$ $\pi^*$ amide interaction in the C $\rightarrow$ N directionality, which in theory should stabilize the cis configuration, is highly unlikely. These are the first examples of thioamides in peptoids, and our results show that this minimal amide bond surrogate may be valuable for interrogation of higher oligomers and possibly also in N-alkylglycine-based peptoids.

Peptoids. To address the effects of fluorination or thioamide introduction in peptoids as well, we finally prepared compounds 13–15 (Chart 1). These syntheses were achieved by applying published methods for solution-phase peptoid synthesis.

Figure 2. Solid-state structures of compound 5g (A and B) and compound 8e (C–E) determined by X-ray crystallography. Atom coloring: gray, carbon; white, hydrogen; red, oxygen; blue, nitrogen; and turquoise, fluorine. Green arrows indicate N → C directionality (D), and the dashed magenta colored line indicates an edge to face aromatic interaction (E). The hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity in D and E.

Figure 3. Solid-state structure of compound 15 determined by X-ray crystallography. Stick representations showing the C=O...C=S distance in green (A) and the distances between sulfur and its two closest hydrogen atoms in magenta (B). Space-filling representations showing hydrophobic packing of the naphthyl and the piperidine groups (C) and (D). The hydrogen atoms have been removed for clarity in A and B (except for the two hydrogens in close proximity to sulfur).

The X-ray crystallography. We were able to obtain diffraction quality crystals for two β-peptoids, 5g and 8e, by slow evaporation of chloroform solutions as well as the peptoid 15 by slow evaporation from an AcOEt solution. Thus, the solid-state crystal structures of these model compounds were solved by X-ray structure determination. The structure of 5g revealed an extended backbone conformation (Figure 2A) with trans-amide configuration, which is consistent with the obtained \( K_{cis/trans} = 0.7 \) in CDCl₃. Notably, the two phenyl groups adopt a periplanar relationship and are both pointed away from the acetyl CH₃ group (Figure 2B), which may also explain the relatively high trans-amide ratio observed in solution despite its significant steric bulk.

Compound 8e adopted the cis-amide conformation in the solid state (Figure 2C), as would also be expected judging from its \( K_{cis/trans} \) values. We had suspected that the attenuated electron lone-pair donor capabilities of the N-terminal carbonyl in the trifluoroacetylated compouds compared to acetylated analogs would result in a decreased n \( \rightarrow \pi^{*}_{aryl} \) effect. The crystal structure of 8e shows no such interaction, and there are no signs of fluorine–carbon interactions either. However, due to the very dense crystal packing with antiparallel β-peptoid backbones (Figure 2D) and edge to face aromatic π–π interactions (Figure 2E), the presence of n \( \rightarrow \pi^{*}_{aryl} \) interactions in solution cannot be definitively excluded.

The X-ray crystal structure of the N-terminal thioamide peptoid analog 15 also revealed the presence of a cis-amide configuration, as would be expected from the NMR data (Figure 3). The distance between the C-terminal carbonyl and the carbon of the thioamide is consistent with the presence of an n \( \rightarrow \pi^{*}_{amide} \) interaction (Figure 3A)\(^{19,30} \), which may explain the higher \( K_{cis/trans} \) values recorded for the glycine-based peptoids compared to the β-alanine-based peptoids. As was also the case for compound 8e, the solid-state structure did not provide any evidence of an n \( \rightarrow \pi^{*}_{aryl} \) interaction. Interestingly, however, the distance between one of the naphthyl hydrogen atoms and the sulfur shown in Figure 3B (2.9 Å) is consistent with an overlap of their orbitals to give rise to an aromatic C–H...Samide interaction. This could offer an alternative explanation of the stabilizing effect on the cis-amide conformation obtained by introduction of the N-terminal thioamide functionality. In order to shed more light on the identity of the putative noncovalent carbonyl–aryl interaction in this system, we performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations on selected compounds (vide infra). We also

The synthesis\(^{33} \) in combination with the protocols described for β-peptoid functionalization vide supra (Scheme S1).

Compound 13, which has been investigated previously, exhibited the same \( K_{cis/trans} \) values as reported in CD₃CN and CDCl₃,\(^{8} \) and an intermediate value in DMSO-d₆, suggesting the presence of a solvent effect in this system. Comparing these values to the ones obtained for β-peptoid 8e revealed a similarly lowered \( K_{cis/trans} \) value in CD₃CN as compared to the other tested solvents (Table 1). For compound 14, an even higher preference for the cis-amide conformation was observed, and this was affected to a much lesser extent by a change in the solvent polarity. In analogy to the arguments presented for the trifluoroacetylated β-peptoids, we hypothesize that this equilibrium is primarily dictated by steric, but also note that the additional stabilization of the cis-amide conformation in the peptoid (e.g., DMSO\(^{K_{cis/trans}} \) for 14 vs 8e = 7.1 and 5.6, respectively) may involve the aforementioned possibility of an interaction between fluorine and the C-terminal carbonyl. However, compelling evidence for the latter point would require further experimentation.

Finally, the thioamide analog 15, like β-peptoid 12e, exhibited higher \( K_{cis/trans} \) values than its oxoamide analog (13) in polar solvents, and a significant decrease in the cis-amide fraction in CDCl₃ (Chart 1). This again indicates that there is an interaction between the sulfur and the aromatic residue, which results in favoring of the cis-amide conformation.

X-ray Crystallography. We were able to obtain diffraction quality crystals for two β-peptoids, 5g and 8e, by slow evaporation of chloroform solutions as well as the peptoid 15 by slow evaporation from an AcOEt solution. Thus, the solid-state crystal structures of these model compounds were solved by X-ray structure determination. The structure of 5g revealed an extended backbone conformation (Figure 2A) with trans-amide configuration, which is consistent with the obtained \( K_{cis/trans} = 0.7 \) in CDCl₃. Notably, the two phenyl groups adopt a periplanar relationship and are both pointed away from the acetyl CH₃ group (Figure 2B), which may also explain the relatively high trans-amide ratio observed in solution despite its significant steric bulk.

Compound 8e adopted the cis-amide conformation in the solid state (Figure 2C), as would also be expected judging from its \( K_{cis/trans} \) values. We had suspected that the attenuated electron lone-pair donor capabilities of the N-terminal carbonyl in the trifluoroacetylated compounds compared to acetylated analogs would result in a decreased n \( \rightarrow \pi^{*}_{aryl} \) effect. The crystal structure of 8e shows no such interaction, and there are no signs of fluorine–carbon interactions either. However, due to the very dense crystal packing with antiparallel β-peptoid backbones (Figure 2D) and edge to face aromatic π–π interactions (Figure 2E), the presence of n \( \rightarrow \pi^{*}_{aryl} \) interactions in solution cannot be definitively excluded.

The X-ray crystal structure of the N-terminal thioamide peptoid analog 15 also revealed the presence of a cis-amide configuration, as would be expected from the NMR data (Figure 3). The distance between the C-terminal carbonyl and the carbon of the thioamide is consistent with the presence of an n \( \rightarrow \pi^{*}_{amide} \) interaction (Figure 3A)\(^{19,30} \), which may explain the higher \( K_{cis/trans} \) values recorded for the glycine-based peptoids compared to the β-alanine-based peptoids. As was also the case for compound 8e, the solid-state structure did not provide any evidence of an n \( \rightarrow \pi^{*}_{aryl} \) interaction. Interestingly, however, the distance between one of the naphthyl hydrogen atoms and the sulfur shown in Figure 3B (2.9 Å) is consistent with an overlap of their orbitals to give rise to an aromatic C–H...Samide interaction. This could offer an alternative explanation of the stabilizing effect on the cis-amide conformation obtained by introduction of the N-terminal thioamide functionality. In order to shed more light on the identity of the putative noncovalent carbonyl–aryl interaction in this system, we performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations on selected compounds (vide infra). We also
note that the proximity of the side chain methyne hydrogen, and the carbonyl in this crystal structure (2.5 Å) as well as in the structure of 8e described above are consistent with the downfield shift observed in 1H NMR for this proton in the cis-amide conformations.

**Evidence for Aromatic C−H···Samide Interactions.** To gain further insight into the molecular features responsible for a C−H···Samide interaction and its effect on the observed preference for the cis-amide configuration in the presence of the (S)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethyl side chain, a computational study was carried out. Initially, the peptoids (6e, 8e, 12e, 13−15) were built in either the cis or the trans configuration and subjected to a conformational search running 1000 steps using the OPLS-2005 force-field and a GB/SA solvation model for water as incorporated in Macromodel version 9.6. The cis- or trans-amide conformations were retained by applying a constraint of 100 kJ × mol−1 × radian−2 to those particular dihedral angles. Furthermore, to prevent irrelevant rotamers of the morpholine headgroup to appear in the conformational search, additional dihedral constraints were applied to the N-terminal part of the molecules. The conformational search was carried out using a combination of Monte Carlo multiple minimum (MCMM) algorithm and the “Low-Mode” search algorithm, with an energy window of 21 kJ × mol−1. After this initial conformational search all of the generated conformations were submitted to a further optimization with DFT using the B3LYP functional. We used the 6-31G* basis set along with the polarized continuum solvent model (PCM-SCRF) with parameters suitable for water.

The lowest energy conformations of both 6e and 12e contained the cis-amide configuration in agreement with our Kcis/trans data from NMR as well as the X-ray diffraction data (Figure 4). Notably, when visualizing the ensemble of conformations with energies within 21 kJ × mol−1 (Figure 4B,D), the more homogeneous positioning of the N-alkyl side chain in the thiaoamide analog indicates that there may be a stabilizing interaction between the sulfur and the naphthyl group. This is again consistent with the trends of Kcis/trans observed by NMR, and the preferred geometry is the same as we found in the solid-state for compound 15 revealing close proximity of the proton in position eight of the naphthyl functionality with the carbonyl (Figure 4A,C).

To further investigate the electronic properties responsible for the observed cis-amide preference in the thiaoamide series, we carried out natural bond order (NBO) analyses. By inclusion of the trifluoroacetylated compounds 8e and 14 we would be able to pinpoint the effect of this substitution in both peptoid and β-peptoid backbones. For this purpose, superimposable, low-energy conformations of both cis- and trans-isomers of 6e, 8e, 12e, 13−15 were selected. When comparing the two cis-conformations of 6e and 12e, it is notable that while the longer C=S compared to C=O (1.7 vs 1.2 Å) caused the distance to the hydrogen of the naphthyl group to increase from 2.9 to 3.2 Å, the NBO analysis clearly showed that the interaction is stronger in the thiaoamide case.

First of all, the natural charge on the aromatic hydrogen in the thiaoamide (12e) is lower than in the amide compound (0.2436 au for 12e vs 0.2455 au for 6e), although both hydrogens are more electron deficient than their neighboring hydrogen, which does not have such intramolecular interactions (0.2503 au for 12e and 0.2487 au for 6e). In addition, second-order perturbation analyses of 12e and 6e revealed calculated stabilizing energies of this interaction to be 0.86 kcal mol−1 and below the 0.5 kcal mol−1 threshold, respectively.

In the trifluoroacetylated compound 8e, the amide oxygen is less negatively charged as expected (−0.657 au in 8e vs −0.716 au in 6e). As a consequence, the electrostatic interaction with the naphthyl hydrogen is expected to be even smaller than for 6e, however, in this case it is also below the threshold of 0.5 kcal mol−1. This suggests that the increased cis-trans ratio upon change of methyl to trifluoromethyl likely is caused by the increased steric congestion of the larger fluorine atoms rather than arising from an increased electrophilicity of the amide carbonyl carbon. Finally, the three trans configured structures featured a fully extended backbone with neither n → π*amide nor electrostatic C−H···Samide interactions.

Next, we turned our attention to the peptoid series (13−15) where the closer proximity of the other carbonyl group may allow for the possibility of n → π*amide interactions in addition to the electrostatic C−H···Samide interaction. For all of these compounds, the C−H···Samide interaction shows up in the second-order perturbation analysis part of the NBO analysis, and it is only slightly stronger for the thiaoamide 15 (0.63 kcal mol−1) compared to the amide 13 (0.58 kcal mol−1). For the trifluoroacetylated peptoid 14, the value is even higher at 0.65 kcal mol−1, but the small energies considered, these differences may well be within the inaccuracy of the method. These effects on peptoid structure are currently under further investigation in our laboratories.

A comparison of the chemical shifts assigned to the naphthyl H-8 hydrogen in the cis-amide conformations of compounds with altered electronic properties of the carbonyl support the presence of the proposed interaction in solution as well (Figure 5). Thus, attenuation of the electron density of the oxygen by introduction of fluorine atoms should render the hydrogen less shielded and cause an upfield shift of the signal, which was indeed what the spectra showed (6e vs 8e). Substitution of oxygen with sulfur (6e vs 12e) should in principle affect this putative interaction in the same manner. However, the opposite

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png) Calculated structures of compounds 6e (A and B) and 12e (C and D). All structures within 21 kJ × mol−1 of the global minimum were superimposed.
effect was observed with a downfield shift of the signal (Figure 5), which gratifyingly is consistent with the calculated ensembles and the $K_{\text{cis}/\text{trans}}$ values that indicate a stronger interaction for sulfur. Although hydrogen bonds to oxoamides should be stronger than thioamides, we speculate that the geometric restraint required for formation of the eight-membered ring in our system does not allow for an optimal hydrogen-bond distance, and therefore the larger radius of the sulfur enables a higher degree of orbital overlap than the oxygen. This is supported by the NBO analysis on the β-peptoids 6e and 12e (see above). Additionally, the difference in polarizability of thioamides as compared to oxoamides may play a role and could also provide arguments to help explain the solvent effects observed on $K_{\text{cis}/\text{trans}}$ for some thioamide compounds (vide supra).44a

**CONCLUSIONS**

To get a better understanding of the amide bond isomerization in peptoids, we have synthesized and evaluated several series of monomer β-peptoid model systems with varying electronic and steric properties as well as two novel N-alkylglycine (peptoid) model compounds containing a trifluoroacetyl group or an N-terminal thioamide, respectively. Our studies show that some of the trends found in peptoids are directly applicable to β-peptoids. As such, the (S)-1-(1-naphthyl)ethyl side chain strongly induces the cis-amide conformation, while N-aryl gives rise to trans. We thus found that a bulky substituent like naphthyl in combination with α-branching is required for a cis-amide preference, as a diphenyl-substituted benzhydryl side chain was not sufficiently sterically demanding. In addition to the investigation of various side chain effects, we prepared model systems containing trifluoroacetyl groups as well as thioamides to probe the electronic effects of the carbonyl donor–acceptor capabilities. The NMR-based studies of these compounds provided evidence for an interaction of the N-terminal carbonyl/thio carbonyl lone pair with the aromatic side chain, but we saw no evidence for conformational stabilization through noncovalent carbonyl–carbonyl interactions. The X-ray crystal structures of two β-peptoid model compounds were solved, which revealed one trans- and one cis-amide, respectively. Those rotamer conformations were both in agreement with the NMR experiments.

Furthermore, the X-ray crystal structure of a thioamide-containing peptoid model compound was solved, and supported by DFT calculations and NMR chemical shift analysis, this structure indicated the presence of a stabilizing effect through thioamide–aromatic interactions by $C_{\text{sp}2}^-\cdot\cdot\cdot\text{H}^+\cdot\cdot\cdot\text{S}^-\text{amide} \equiv \text{hydrogen bonds}$. Whereas aromatic–sulfur interactions have been described for proteins as well as in other systems, the present work, to the best of our knowledge, provides evidence for the first examples of intramolecular conformation-stabilizing effects by introduction of thioamides, which is in contrast to the destabilizing effect of thioamide introduction in α-helical peptides.66

Importantly, this work shows that minimal peptide bond surrogates like thioamides as well as fluorinated backbone analogs are useful for investigation of peptoid and β-peptoid structure. These modifications should therefore be considered valuable for other types of peptidomimetics as well. Thioamides, in particular, have recently found use in peptide ligands and have been site-specifically introduced into proteins to probe folding.47 We envision that the straightforward methodology presented herein may encourage further studies of thioamide-containing peptoid and β-peptoid oligomeric systems.
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