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Abstract

The paper describes a solution that enables the control system designer to easily and seamlessly integrate visualization into simulations studies. The design target has been that no more than 10 percent of the modelling effort should be devoted to modelling the visualization part of the simulation. The visualization module (VRML Animation Toolset) in the prototype implementation is interfaced to Simulink, but the design aims at making the concept simulation platform independent.

The visualization module can also be connected to real systems using the Real-Time Workshop (RTW) thus enabling a visual comparison of the simulation system performance and the true system performance. The paper also explains the underlying simulation centered architecture and the links to the prototype implementation.
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1 Introduction

Visualization of scientific data has been an active research area for a number of years and much progress have been made in the area of for instance visualization of large data set and visualization of mechanical system. 3D visualization of scientific data is very helpful in the understanding of complex measurements.

In the area of control engineering visualization of simulation results has been mainly time plots. 3D visualization has been of less importance in this area but visualization models has opened a new potentially beneficial area using established techniques from civil and mechanical engineering as well as architecture.

The area of animation is not yet as advanced as the visualization of static data, but using CAD systems and with the introduction of standards, more powerful computers and commonly available tool for building virtual worlds. Much effort has been put into areas like multi-user virtual worlds for games, simulators for ships, planes, battlefields etc. and also so called virtual manufacturing. Substantial progress again partly driven by the raising power of desktop computing has been made in the area.

Some work has been done in the area of visualization and animation in connection with control engineering applications, both simple 2D animations and more advanced 3D animations. However much effort has to be invested in the model building and in the non standard integration into the simulation environment. There is a large potential for using animations for better understanding the performance of a system especially for non control engineers where a simple visualization often will give a more immediate impression than numbers and graphs. Our aim has been to make an easy to use yet powerful and versatile concept and toolset for integrating 3D animations in a standardized way in a well known simulation environment but with a modest added design effort. Our goal has been not more than a 10% extra effort compared to the effort of creating the simulation model. This would make it possible to build animation model as a standard part of simulation models enhancing the understandability of their results.

Ease of use and the integration into well-known simulation environments has also been major concerns. The use of standard tools and protocols like DIS (Brutmian, 1999), java and VRML (Carey and Bell, 1997) helps to make the concept and toolset more hardware platform independent while still utilizing the existing tools.

A brief and incomplete outline of some of the available tools for and applications of animation in the control engineering related area:

- MATLAB. In MATLAB 2D and 3D visualization can achieved using Handle Graphics. The performance and features are good but the modelling capabilities for complex objects are very limited. (MATLAB, 1998). Animation is possible using Simulink Animation Blockset, where simple 2D model models can be animated. There is no support for 3D complex modelling and animation, (TWM, 1995)
- The Control Tutorials for Matlab by (Messner and Tilbury, 1998) are good examples of the importance of animation in understanding the performance of control solutions. The animations are 2D and simple but quite illustrative.
- In the MatrixX product suite by ISI the RealSim product has data visualization and animation capabilities.
- World Up, a product from Sense8, is an integrated development environment for constructing interactive 3D applications. VRmex developed by Terasoft Inc is glue
between the viewer and MATLAB environment. However the modeling is done in a proprietary setting.

- DADSplant is a mechanical modeling system that can be used to view animations but again in a proprietary setting.
- VRMLplot by Craig Sayers is a Matlab function for generating interactive 3-D VRML 2.0 graphs and animations. It generates output files which may be viewed using a WWW browser with a VRML 2.0 plugin.
- VCLAB, Virtuel Control Lab 2 is an approach that integrates plug-ins and Java applets which use the powerful computational engine of MATLAB/SIMULINK to do extensive simulations and real interactive animation of control engineering experiments on laboratory plants modeled in VRML and driven by local or web wide information. (Schmid, 1999). The system is developed by Christian Schmid and gives excellent insight into the animation power of the VRML and MATLAB combination, however the usability has been limited by the rather tight specifications on operating system and browser/VRML viewer versions. Developing new applications seems possible but with substantial effort.

- dSpace RealMotion-3D is a package for 3D animation of mechanical systems in proprietary setting.

In section 2 the concept of simulation centered CACE is reviewed and special focus is put on the area of visualization and animation. This makes the basis for section 3 giving a detailed description of the concept, methodology and the prototype implementation of the toolset. Section 4 outlines the potential uses of the methodology and the toolset and section 5 gives conclusions.

2 Simulation Centered CACE

The simulation centered model of the design process presented in this section is based on the fact that different users have different approaches to the design problem. Two major categories are identified: the application engineer, who is focused on getting his application controlled in the optimal way and the algorithm designer, whose main goal is to develop and validate the control algorithm on some object. The model is shown in figure 1 in the first instance, i.e.,
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**Figure 1:** Design process model. Algorithm (---) and application designers points of view.

from the application engineers point of view. The model of the design process is centered on the simulator, not in the limited sense of the term, but including the ability to generate real-time code, simulate the dynamics and linearize the non-linear model of the system. The simulator takes a control algorithm and a system model as inputs and produces results in terms of data and real-time code. The system model is generated by a model generator basing on the physics of the system and blocks from a component library. The results of the simulation are visualized in different ways, as plot of variables or as an animated representation of the actual components of the system. The result is
then evaluated against the goals of the system performance. When the goals are met by the simulated results the real-time code is generated in the simulation block and the experimental verification is done. Results obtained here are validated against the simulation using the same visualization module and compared with the goals. Finally, the design should be implemented for production purposes, which may be quite different from the test implementation for experimental verification and rapid prototyping.

The application engineer starts with modelling the system to be controlled and ends with the experiments. The starting point of the algorithms developer is different as shown in the figure. The algorithm developer start with determining the goals, and having the control algorithms to be validated some model is chosen for the validation. Iterations are done modifying the parameters or structure of the control algorithm until the goals are met. The control algorithm is then possibly validated through experiments.

The two points of view are quite similar in structure but the focus is different. The result of the process should not be just the control algorithms but also some measure of the sensitivity to imperfect initial conditions in the design. The validation results should be presented in a form suitable for documentation purposes.

In general there is no single tool covering the computer support to all the design phases mentioned above. In fact, what the user interacts with today is a collection of his tools of choice configured in a way most appropriate to his actual experience and particular project demands. For example in modelling phase the domain-oriented tools may be applied reflecting the nature of the plant to be controlled. This is why inter-operability of CACE tools gains more and more importance.

The current trends in software engineering had over the years an impact on discussion on the construction and design of control engineering software. Some of the trends had even an impact on the available tools, however most of them are not yet completely and naturally integrated in the standard tool. A few trends can be mentioned here:

- visualization and animation tools are used for displaying complex relations in large data-sets and for animation of simulations
- interactive GUI's have, due to their user friendliness towards the inexperienced users, gained much support, but there still seems to be a need for research on GUI's that are equally friendly towards the experienced users.

The requirements and rationale for supporting animation in control application developments could be summarized as:

- There is a need for animation in several areas; for demonstrating control performance in larger projects and for gaining a better understanding of algorithms and application both in the research and development area and in the teaching area.
- What scale should be used? The aim is building a simple visualization methodology for control engineers. As a target not more than 10% of the modelling effort should be devoted to visualization.
- Much work has been done in the area of visualization and animation by people from other domains than control engineering and their tools and results should be used where appropriate and needed. This means that just glue and possible missing parts should be developed from scratch.
- The still stronger technologies for integrating the development process using hardware in the loop (HIL) simulation and rapid controller prototyping (RCP) should be used seamlessly so the animation would work with simulation as well as real world experiments.

3 The Methodology and Toolkit

The proposed methodology consists of two distinct phases: the model development phase and the model/animation run-time phase. In the first of the two phases the simulation model is build and tested. In the prototype implementation this is should be done in Simulink, but basically the ideas should work with any modelling/simulation system. Furthermore the animation model is build and tested this could also be done in several environments, in this case the Cosmo Worlds system is used producing a VRML 2.0 description of the system and scene. The specification of linking between simulation variables and movable parts in the VRML world is now done.

The second phase could now be entered by starting the simulation and specifying the viewing parameters to the VRML browser. In the simulation centered control system design model the area covered by the VRML Animation Toolset is shown in figure 2. Note that the data for the animation/visualization could come from either the simulator or the real-world experiment.

A third phase could be introduced in order to separate the simulation and visualization, this would be helpful when considering large and complex models where is simulation would run considerably slower than real time. In this case the simulation is performed off line and the animation is then performed separately. This will make user interaction with the simulation through the animation browser difficult if not impossible. This kind of user interaction not just with the animation parameters like lighting and camera position but also with the simulated system is one of the very appealing features of the whole concept. However when considering long time simulation or large data sets from i.e. sea trails with an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle it could be useful to have some kind of fast forward or reverse button to be able to focus attention to the interesting parts of the animation. Figure 3 shows the different phases and their relations. As shown it could even be considered integrating the modelling phase with the two others allowing the user to add parts to or modify the simulated system on-line. This is outside the scope of this paper.
Figure 2: Elements covered by the VRML animation toolset.

Figure 3: Phases in the use of visualization/simulation framework

A automatic or user adjustable variable level of detail in the simulation and animation could be useful when moving through large sets of data or simulating a long period of time faster than real time. Both the time resolution, the complexity of the simulated model in the simulation as well as the spacial resolution in the animation are parameters to consider when designing this kind of system.

The aspect of control is also interesting, is the simulator driving the animation of the other way around and how are several systems simulated.

The issue of seamless integration with hardware in the loop simulation and real system components mentioned earlier is also important. One view of the situation is shown in figure 4.

Three levels are distinguished: an off line simulation level were the system is simulated in for instance Simulink and the control algorithm is implemented using MATLAB/C/tcl or any other suitable language. On the second level called the real time level the system is moved to a HIL platform where the code is generated by RTW and the controller is prototyped and running on a suitable real time platform. The third level is called the real hardware level and includes the real system hardware and the real system controller. The levels could be mixed in different ways in the different phases of the controller design.

It is important to note that the visualisation/animation and input actions are split in two distinct parts in order to make the transition between different levels simple. On one side the visualization of the system which is the one being considered in this paper and on the other hand the similar block of the controller. The strict separation into system and controller is equally important for the easy transition between levels.

To be able to evaluate the concept and methodology outlined above a prototype implementation has been made using the components shown in figure 7. The toolset is called VRML animation toolset for Simulink and uses java and TCP/IP sockets for communication between Simulink and the VRML model. The use of Simulink enables the use of Real Time Workshop to make the translation from simulation to real-time code.
4 Prototype Implementation

The ideas described above contain much flexibility with respect to choosing the actual parts of the implementation. This is intentional as the emergence and demise of specific software products and the change through new version is bound to have a strong impact on the actual implementation. Some generic components of a meta toolset have been distinguished and the elements needed are mapped onto the current available products to make up the prototype implementation called VRML toolset for Simulink.

Elements and their roles in the toolset are shown in figure 7. The elements are

- VRML standard specification language in version 2.0 facilitates animation of dynamic worlds and both browsers and modellers are available.
- Cosmo Worlds for modelling the virtual world in native VRML.
- Cosmo Player for viewing the world in the animation phase.
- Socket for communication between simulation and animation. Adds flexibility as the simulation and animation could be on separate hosts. The communication across the sockets are pose data. (McLure, 1998)
- Java for scripting the interface from the VRML World to the sockets.
- Simulink for simulation using C s-functions for socket communication. This makes it possible to use RTW to automatically generate real-time code for the prototype controller.

The specific elements of the prototype implementation are not vital for the concept, other elements could be used if needed. However, the selected elements give optimal flexibility and minimize the amount of specialized code needed.
5 Conclusion

In this paper the ideas behind and the design considerations for the VRML animation toolset for Simulink presented. The need for animation in control engineering is emphasised and that this should be at a reasonable cost compared to the rest of the modelling effort. The prototype implementation is presented and the each element is discussed. The extensive use of standard readily available software products such as Simulink, Cosmo Player, Cosmo World and java as glue code. The use of sockets for communication adds flexibility to the solution by enable multi computer systems and widening the number of possible platforms (UNIX, Windows, OS-9 etc.) for executing the system.

Future work include assessment of the added work load for the animation, and the investigation of using VRML to design controls for the simulated system and control interface for the controller.
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