Performance of diesel particulate filter catalysts in the presence of biodiesel ash species

Hansen, Brian Brun; Jensen, Anker Degn; Jensen, Peter Arendt

Published in:
Fuel

Link to article, DOI:
10.1016/j.fuel.2012.11.038

Publication date:
2013

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):
Performance of diesel particulate filter catalysts in the presence of biodiesel ash species

Brian Brun Hansen *, Anker Degn Jensen, Peter Arendt Jensen

Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Building 229, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

ABSTRACT

The utilization of bio-fuels, such as biodiesel, is expected to contribute significantly towards the planned 10% of renewable energy within the EU transport sector by 2020. Increased biodiesel blend percentages may change engine exit flue gas ash composition and affect the long-term performance of cleaning technologies, such as oxidation catalysts and diesel particulate filters. In this work the performance of a commercial catalyst has been studied for conversion of diesel particulate matter (SRM 2975) at 10% O2, in the presence of salts simulating ash species derived from engine oil and biodiesel. Simultaneous thermal analysis experiments of the diesel particulate matter showed that it was dominated by soot with approximately 10 wt.% condensed hydrocarbons. The presence of a commercial catalyst (5:1 wt. ratio of catalyst to soot), in tight contact with the diesel particulate matter, decreased the temperature at which the oxidation rate peaked from 662 ± 1 °C to 526 ± 19 °C. The introduction of biodiesel ash species such as Na2CO3, K2CO3 or K3PO4 decreased the peak conversion temperature further (422 ± 12; 404 ± 4 and 423 ± 7 °C), with a limited dependence on ash concentration. A deterioration in catalytic conversion, i.e. increased peak conversion temperatures, was seen for two engine oil ash species and one mixed ash species (from engine oil and biodiesel) – CaSO4 (569 ± 6 °C), Ca(H2PO4)2 (699 ± 13 °C) and K2SO4 (581 ± 16 °C).

Kinetic parameters (A and Ea), obtained from Arrhenius plots of the data, showed a lower activation energy in the presence of the commercial catalyst (Ea = 91 ± 5 kJ/mol) or CeO2 (Ea = 62 ± 8 kJ/mol) compared to pure SRM 2975 (Ea = 220 ± 3 kJ/mol). The obtained kinetic data were able to describe the peak conversion temperature and the associated part of the mass loss curve, but an initial low-temperature gradual increase in conversion was not adequately described.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Emissions from the transport sector and its contribution to global warming and detrimental effects on human health have become focus of growing concerns and awareness in recent years. This has caused the EU to aim for 10% renewable energy in the transport sector and 20% renewable energy overall by 2020 [1]. The introduction of bio-fuels (ethanol, biodiesel, etc.) into the transport sector is expected to contribute significantly to these goals. The introduction of new fuels or changes in fuel properties may affect engine performance, emissions, ash composition and long-term interactions with cleaning technologies such as the oxidation catalyst (DOC), the diesel particulate filter (DPF) and selective catalytic reduction of NOx (SCR)[2]. Even a low concentration of catalyst poison in the exhaust may severely affect the performance of cleaning technologies as seen for power plants burning biomass [3–5].

Previous engine emission improvements were obtained by stricter fuel specifications and engine optimization, while after treatment systems, such as DPF and SCR, will likely be necessary...
to comply with the latest US and European legislation [6]. In the case of particulate emissions, a flow through DOC is capable of oxidizing CO and gaseous hydrocarbons, that otherwise could condense and contribute to particle formation. The highly efficient DPF traps 95–99% PM by forcing the exhaust through a porous wall, thereby initially capturing PM in the pores followed by cake filtration on top of the channel walls [7,8]. To avoid excessive back-pressure periodically DPF cleaning/regeneration by soot oxidation into CO₂ (reaction with O₂ or NO₂) is necessary. Two different regeneration strategies may be employed: Active regeneration at 500–800 °C (temperature increased by fuel injection) or passive regeneration down to 250–300 °C by either a catalytic fuel additive, a catalytic DPF coating for soot conversion or catalytic conversion of NO to NO₂ and subsequent low temperature soot oxidation by NO₂ [6,9]. Most modern system use noble metal based DOC and DPF formulations for indirect soot oxidation (through NO₂ formation), but direct catalytic soot oxidation by O₂ is expected to become increasingly important for future catalytic DPF’s due to an increased focus on lower engine out NOₓ (emission standards) and fuel economy (benefits from swifter and more frequent filter regeneration) [10,11]. A swift, cheap and energy efficient filter regeneration is desired, while maintaining filter integrity (no thermal run away or steep temperature gradients). Understanding the kinetics of filter regeneration is consequently of importance for filter optimization and regeneration strategies. The ash (incombustible residue) generated from engine oil, fuel, additives and engine/exhaust wear will accumulate in the DPF and eventually constitute the majority of trapped material. This influences catalytic DPF baseline pressure drop, pressure drop sensitivity and potentially affect regeneration frequency, heat release and performance [6,7,12]. The ash composition and properties will depend on fuel/oil origin, additives, DPF temperature history, etc. and the introduction of new fuels, such as bio-fuels, may change these.

The introduction of biodiesel has been reported to cause an increased reactivity of particulate matter [13,14] and some changes in ash composition [6,15]. The increased reactivity has been attributed to a more open structure of the de-volatilized biodiesel PM, allowing swifter O₂ diffusion to reactive sites, which is supported by the extraction of overall ultra low sulphur diesel (ULSD)/pure biodiesel (B100) kinetic data when normalising with the instantaneous surface area (Eₐ = 113 kJ/mol) [14]. Reported ash compositions from experiments with pure ULSD are dominated by species from the engine oil (~90%: Ca, Mg, Zn, P, S, B, Mo) in the form of: calcium sulphate, zinc phosphate, magnesium phosphate, magnesium sulphate and calcium phosphate [6,8,12]. Minor amounts of engine/exhaust wear components (Al, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Si, Sn, Ti, V), primarily as Fe₂O₃, are also present [6]. The utilisation of a 20% soybean methyl ester blend yielded ash similar in composition, except a somewhat higher content of engine/exhaust wear elements (~16 wt.%) and fuel alkali (~6 wt.% Na and K) [6]. This is supported by the presence of biodiesel alkali elements (4.2 wt.% Na and 2.1 wt.% K) in a survey of the particulate matter (ash + soot) collected after pneumatic cleaning of DPF/DOC on US vehicles [15]. The introduction of biodiesel may generally contribute with the elements Na, K, Ca, Mg and P, but the concentration of these elements is limited by standards such as the European EN14214 standard (Na + K < 5 mg/kg, Ca + Mg < 5 mg/kg and P < 4 mg/kg). The ash obtained from experiments with an off-spec 100% canola methyl ester (Na + K and Ca + Mg exceeded specifications 10 times) yielded a significantly changed ash composition consisting of: calcium oxide, magnesium oxide, sodium phosphate, calcium phosphate and magnesium phosphate with 37 wt.% Na + K [6].

Several studies of carbon oxidation (carbon black as well as automotive PM containing both soot and adsorbed hydrocarbons) and screening studies of various catalyst for soot/hydrocarbon conversion have been published in the literature [9,16–18] as has a few studies on the interaction between ash and DPF/after treatment systems [7–8,12,19]. Bardasz et al. [12] studied the influence of engine oil composition and ash content on continuously regenerating DPF, DOC and SCR performance on a 230 kW Euro IV prototype engine for simulated driving distance up to 115,000 km. No significant changes in DPF or DOC catalytic activity were found. Doping high ash oil into the fuel, a practice used for disposal or accelerated tests, did however cause rapid increases in DPF back-pressure, changes in ash distribution and an increased ash density within the DPF. Sappok and Wong [7] studied the effect of ash on pressure drop and regeneration frequency by accelerated ash loading test with a 224 kW Cummins ISB engine. Ash was observed to accumulate and form end plugs in the inflow channels, thereby decreasing the effective filter volume, increasing pressure drop and causing changes in local soot concentration.

The aim of this work is to extend the present knowledge on the interaction between automotive ash species (especially from biodiesel) and catalytic DPF’s in 10% O₂ by simulated filter regeneration experiments in lab-scale using simultaneous thermal analysis. This entails a detailed characterisation of non-catalytic and catalytic soot combustion in the presence of salts simulating different ash compositions. Direct catalytic soot oxidation by O₂ will likely become increasingly important for future catalytic DPF’s due to focus on lower engine out NOₓ (emission standards) and fuel economy (benefits from swifter and more frequent filter regeneration) [10].

2. Strategy of investigation

This investigation of soot reactivity, oxidation behaviour and the influence of catalytic materials and various salts (simulated

### Nomenclature

- **A**: pre-exponential factor (frequency factor) (s⁻¹)
- **a**: cross sectional area (m²)
- **C**: concentration (mol m⁻³)
- **D**: diffusion coefficient (m² s⁻¹)
- **Eₐ**: activation energy (kJ mol⁻¹)
- **h**: height (m)
- **k**: reaction rate constant (s⁻¹ Pa⁻¹)
- **kₚ**: k p₀² (s⁻¹)
- **L**: characteristic size (m)
- **M**: molar mass (kg mol⁻¹)
- **m**: soot mass (kg)
- **p**: partial pressure (Pa)
- **R**: universal gas constant (m³ Pa K⁻¹ mol⁻¹)
- **ρ**: density (kg m⁻³)
- **t**: time (s)
- **T**: temperature (°C)

### Subscript

- **a**: apparent
- **b**: bulk phase
- **e**: effective
- **obs**: observed
- **peak**: peak in mass loss rate

---

The utilisation of a 20% soybean methyl ester blend yielded ash increased reactivity of particulate matter [13,14] and some changes in DPF or DOC catalytic activity were found. Increasing test with a 224 kW Cummins ISB engine. Ash was observed to accumulate and form end plugs in the inflow channels, thereby decreasing the effective filter volume, increasing pressure drop and causing changes in local soot concentration.
and O2 concentration have furthermore been investigated for se-
and tighter diesel PM/catalyst contact. The effects of heating rates 
lower melting points and thereby potential for a higher mobility 
phates). Chloride salts have furthermore been included due to their 
salts/simulated ash species (carbonates, phosphates and sul-

3. Experimental setup and procedure

3.1. Procedure

The mixtures described in Table 1 were prepared by: Mixing the 
catalytic powder and the dissolved salt, drying at 50 °C, diesel PM/
carbon addition and grinding in a mortar for 5 min and finally 
addition of a few drops of ethanol to further benefit diesel PM/
carbon–catalyst interaction as it evaporates. This procedure was 
used to obtain the close diesel PM/carbon–catalyst interaction needed for studies of catalytic effects [17]. Early DPF monolith stud-
ies of catalyst–soot contact at real world conditions indicated a lim-
ited interaction and conversion rates close to pure soot (except for 
more mobile catalysts) [20], but recent studies have shown contrib-
utions from both thermal soot conversion and catalytic promoted 
soot conversion – especially for advanced DPF coatings that have 
been shown to promote soot/catalyst proximity [10]. The generated 
mixture was then transferred to an alumina crucible and heated to 
750 °C in the STA (heating ramp 5–10 °C/min) at a gas flow of 
100 ml/min. The O2 concentration (10%) was chosen within the typ-
ical range of diesel exhausts (5–15%) [17], but selected samples 
were also tested at 0% and 20% O2. No pre-treatment of diesel 
PM/carbon in an inert atmosphere was carried out, as this would 
potentially remove the condensed hydrocarbons (a relevant part 
of automotive PM) and alter the available surface area [17].

3.2. Chemicals

A standard reference material from NIST (SRM 2975 – diesel 
particulate matter from fork lifts) was used as automotive particu-
late matter/diesel soot, but a carbon nanopowder (Sigma–Aldrich >99.95%) was also used for selected samples. The main part of this 
study is performed with a commercial catalyst supplied by Dinex 
CeO2 (Merck), Na2CO3 (Merck) and ZnC4H6O4–2H2O (Fluka).

Table 1
Overview of performed experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Heating rate (°C min⁻¹)</th>
<th>O₂ vol %</th>
<th>Carbon</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Salt</th>
<th>Wt. ratioa</th>
<th>Tpeak (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>662 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>723 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>526 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>571 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>442 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>648 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>480 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>506 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23–24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>569 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>699 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>554 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33–34</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>581 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–37</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>423 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38–39</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>425 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>413 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42–43</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>404 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44–45</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>527 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46–47</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>537 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48–49</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>528 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52–53</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>422 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54–55</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>467 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56–57</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>643 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58–60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>515 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61–62</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SRM 2975</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1:0:0</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Mass carbon/mass carbon: mass catalyst/mass carbon: mass salt (cation only)/ mass carbon.
processes taking place in these experiments, runs with pure salts were performed and the DSC signal of the mixtures was furthermore used to identify the soot combustion segment. This work will mainly focus on the temperature at which the maximum mass loss rate takes place, but other additional characteristic temperatures (ignition/starting oxidation temperature, final oxidation temperature, etc.) have also been used in the literature [9,13,16].

An Arrhenius type equation, Eq. (1), has been used to extract the kinetic data used to simulate the soot oxidation in a 10% O2 atmosphere without water. A first order reaction with respect to soot and oxygen should be appropriate at the low temperature regime used in this study [13,16].

\[
\frac{dm}{dt} = k \cdot m \cdot p_{O_2} = k' \cdot m = A \cdot \exp(- \frac{E_a}{RT}) \cdot m
\]

\[ - \ln \left( - \frac{dm}{m \cdot dt} \right) = \ln(A) - \frac{E_a}{RT} \]

where \( m \) is the actual soot mass, \( t \) is the time, \( k \) is the reaction rate constant, \( A \) is a pre-exponential factor (Arrhenius factor), \( E_a \) is the activation energy and \( p_{O_2} \) is the partial pressure of oxygen. For some purposes the reaction rate constant may furthermore be split into two terms: a total number of active sites and a temperature dependent reaction rate constant [17]. Rearranging Eq. (1) yields the well known form used for an Arrhenius plot, from which the pre-exponential factor (from the \( y \)-axis intercept) and the activation energy (from the slope) can be extracted. Experimentally estimated values of the frequency factor are, however, affected by severe errors due to high sensitivity of the \( y \)-axis intercept on small variations of the slope [21]. Only the central linear part of mass vs. temperature TG curve was used to extract kinetic data in this study. This was only done for pure diesel PM/carbon samples and carbon/catalyst mixtures, because the carbon wt.% of carbon–catalyst–salt mixtures became too low to obtain reasonable estimates for a linear Arrhenius plot. Extracted kinetic parameters may furthermore be dependent on flow rate, heating ramp, sample mass or be partially controlled by diffusion [13,16,17]. The following equations have been used to check whether the effects of oxygen diffusion into the 5% filled crucible (Eq. (2)) or the oxygen diffusion into the carbon layer relative to the maximal kinetic consumption rate (Eq. (3), \( M_{W} \) (Wagner-Weisz-Wheeler modulus)) are significant [16,22].

\[ k_{obs} \cdot \frac{m}{M} \leq \frac{D_{O_2}}{0.95 \cdot h} \cdot a \cdot C_{O_2,b} \]  

\[ M_{W} = L^2 \cdot \left( \frac{\frac{w}{M_c} / \frac{C_{O_2}}{C_{O_2} \cdot b}}{M_{O_2}} \right) / D_{O_2} < 0.15 \]

where \( k_{obs} \) is the rate constant observed (0.003s\(^{-1}\)) at maximal mass loss rate (\( dm/dt = 2 \times 10^{-3}kg s^{-1}\)), \( m \) the carbon/soot mass (0.7 \times 10^{-4}kg), \( M \) the molar mass (0.012 kg mol\(^{-1}\) (C)), \( D \) the diffusion coefficient (\( 10^{-9}m^2 s^{-1}\) in the gas layer and \( 10^{-5}m^2 s^{-1}\) in the soot layer), \( h \) the crucible height (3 \times 10^{-3} m), \( a \) the cross sectional area (3 \times 10^{-5}m\(^2\)), \( C_{O_2,b} \) the oxygen concentration outside the crucible (1.3mol m\(^{-3}\)), \( L \) a characteristic size (0.05h for a 5% filled crucible), \( \rho \) the particle bed density (150kg m\(^{-3}\)). By inserting these approximate values it can be shown, that oxygen diffusion from the crucible top to the carbon layer will in the worst case be 8 times greater than the consumption (in most cases much higher) and that oxygen will fully penetrate the carbon layer (\( M_{W} = 0.06 < 0.15 \)).

5. Results and discussion

The experimental work includes experiments with pure diesel particulate matter as well as mixtures of carbon, catalytic material and salts simulating biodiesel ash species. Different mixture ratios, gas composition and heating rates have furthermore been investigated. Details of the experiments are given in Table 1.

Fig. 1 shows the development in mass and mass loss rate for pure SRM 2975 particulate matter samples in both pure nitrogen and 10% O2, and at heating rates of 5 and 10 °C/min. Fig. 2 compares the mass and mass loss rate for SRM 2975 and Sigma “carbon nanopowder”. The pure SRM 2975 show a gradual decline in mass from approximately 200 °C in both 100% N2 and 10% O2. This is likely due to evaporation of condensed hydrocarbons or adsorbed sulphates [16], a hypothesis which is supported by the constant mass of the Sigma “carbon nanopowder” at these temperatures. At higher temperatures (~550–600 °C) combustion begins to take place in 10% O2, somewhat later for the Sigma “carbon nanopowder” than for the SRM 2975. Such differences in the oxidation behaviour of carbon and soot samples may originate from differences in size, structure or composition [17]. The obtained peak conversion temperature for SRM 2975 at 10 k/min (662 ± 1 °C) is similar to values reported for other soot STA experiments (655 °C) [9] but it is somewhat higher than what has been reported for devolatilised diesel PM (~550 °C) [13]. A decreased heating rate shifted the start of combustion and the peak in conversion rate towards lower temperatures, with reasonable repeatability for both heating rates –5 °C/min (3 experiments) and 10 °C/min (2 experiments). Due to the faster processing time 10 °C/min was chosen for the subsequent samples.
Fig. 3 shows the mass and mass loss rate for 5:1 mixtures of catalytic material (Commercial catalyst, CeO₂ and TiO₂) and SRM 2975. The final mass obtained correspond to the wt.% catalyst in the mixture. This property shows some variation, likely due to the elusive nature of diesel PM/carbon during the grinding and transfer parts of the sample preparation procedure. The samples with higher residual mass and thereby a higher catalyst fraction also resulted in higher reactivities – i.e. peak in mass loss rate at a lower temperature. The presence of a commercial catalyst decreased the peak conversion temperature (from 662 ± 1 to 526 ± 19 °C), a decrease similar to what have been reported elsewhere for other catalytic materials tested on soot [9,16]. The lower temperature reported for passive DPF regeneration (250–300 °C [6]) is likely due to the presence of NO₂ in exhaust – but this species could not be introduced to the STA. The samples with CeO₂ showed a higher reactivity than samples with commercial catalyst. (~20% CeO₂), while TiO₂ samples showed a reactivity similar to pure SRM 2975 and the presence of this compound in the commercial formulation are probably due to other factors such as providing a high surface area.

Fig. 4 compares the temperature at which the mass loss rate peaks for different carbon–catalyst–salt mixtures. The mixtures with chloride salts added showed no significant changes in catalytic conversion behaviour of SRM 2975. A significant beneficial effect of Na₂CO₃ and K₂CO₃ was seen, as it also has been reported for carbon black/model soot oxidation [17] and gasification of carbonaceous materials [18]. The beneficial effect of Na₂CO₃ was also present at an 80% lower dosage and it did not change/decrease for two subsequent runs with reused Na₂CO₃/commercial catalyst mixtures. The beneficial effect of alkali carbonates are likely due to the formation of active alkali metal oxides and peroxides species [18]. However, CaCO₃ increased the peak conversion temperature slightly (from 526 ± 19 °C to 554 ± 4 °C). A deterioration was seen for mixtures with K₂SO₄ and CaSO₄ (581 ± 16 °C and 569 ± 6 °C), while no significant effect could be seen for Na₂SO₄ (537 ± 12 °C). Different phosphorous salts (K₃PO₄, Na₂HPO₄–7H₂O and Ca(H₂PO₄)₂) were used causing different results. The K₃PO₄ caused a significant beneficial effect on catalytic conversion (423 ± 7 °C), while Na₂HPO₄–7H₂O and Ca(H₂PO₄)₂ had a negligible (528 ± 20 °C) and a significantly deteriorating effect (699 ± 13 °C) respectively. Based on the behaviour of sodium and potassium carbonate, sodium phosphate is expected to have a beneficial effect on soot conversion similar to potassium phosphate. Zinc acetate also benefitted the catalytic conversion (467 ± 5 °C), but slightly less than sodium and potassium carbonate.

Table 2 shows the kinetic parameters (A and Eₐ) obtained from Arrhenius plots of the diesel PM and diesel PM/catalyst mixtures. As reported in the literature estimates of the pre-exponential factor (A) is not well determined due to the high sensitivity towards small variations in the slope of the Arrhenius plot [21]. This is most pronounced for mixtures of carbon and catalyst, because the mass loss only constituted a few percent of the initial sample mass. The obtained activation energy of diesel particulate matter (220 ± 3 kJ/mol) are just above what has been reported in the literature for carbon oxidation (102–210 kJ/mol) [16]. The lower values obtained for diesel PM in the literature (~110–115 kJ/mol [13,14]), may be the result of differences in carbon structure and the presence of catalytic metals/ash [16]. The presence of catalytic species

![Image](image_url)
decreased the activation energy to 62 ± 8 kJ/mol (CeO$_2$) and 91 ± 5 kJ/mol (commercial catalyst) which is similar to what has been reported in the literature for various catalysts [9,16].

Fig. 5 compares the measured and simulated development in mass and mass loss rate for 5:1 catalyst/SRM 2975 mixtures. The simulation/kinetic data are able to describe the peak conversion temperature and the associated part of the conversion/mass loss curve, but the simulation does not describe the initial gradual increase in conversion. This behaviour may originate from an initial oxidation of a more amorphous carbon layer which needs to be described by its own kinetic data [8].

6. Conclusions

A systematic lab-scale investigation of diesel particulate matter conversion in the presence of catalytic materials and simulated biodiesel ash species (at 10% O$_2$) has been performed. The presence of a commercial catalyst without biodiesel ash species in tight contact with the particulate matter or carbon nanopowder (5:1 wt. ratio of catalyst to soot) caused a ~150 °C decrease in peak conversion temperature (from 662 ± 1 and 723 ± 1 °C to 526 ± 19 and 571 ± 16 °C respectively). The lower temperature reported for catalytic DPF regeneration (250–300 °C in real automotive exhausts) [2] is likely due to the presence of NO$_2$ – an aspect (NO$_2$/catalyst/soot/ash interactions) that is not covered by this work. Catalytic diesel PM conversion (5:1 catalyst to diesel PM wt. ratio) benefits from the presence of biodiesel ash species such as Na$_2$CO$_3$, K$_2$CO$_3$, or NaPO$_4$ – which decreased the peak conversion temperature from 526 ± 19 °C to ~400–420 °C, with a limited dependence on ash concentration (+20 °C for a 80% lower salt concentration). Other ash species, which may form from engine oil (CaSO$_4$ and Ca(H$_2$PO$_4$) or combinations of engine oil and fuel (K$_2$SO$_4$) can have a detrimental effect on catalytic PM conversion – i.e. peak conversion temperatures of 569 ± 6; 699 ± 13 and 581 ± 16 °C respectively.

Kinetic parameters (A and E$_a$) obtained from Arrhenius plots showed a lower activation energy in the presence of the commercial catalyst (E$_a$ = 91 ± 5 kJ/mol) for CeO$_2$ (E$_a$ = 62 ± 8 kJ/mol) compared to pure SRM 2975 (E$_a$ = 220 ± 3 kJ/mol). The obtained kinetic data was able to simulate/describe the peak conversion temperature and the associated part of the mass loss curve, but an initial gradual increase in conversion was not adequately described. With respect to the operation of diesel particulate filters while using biodiesel as fuel, the results obtained imply that the presence of sodium or potassium as carbonates or phosphates benefit the diesel particulate matter conversion and thereby DPF regeneration. However, as diesel particulate matter is converted the ash may accumulate locally in the filter, thereby removing the required tight PM/catalyst/ash contact. Build-up of excessive levels of ash in the filter may furthermore still be detrimental to DPF regeneration performance.
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