



Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂, prolonged summer drought and temperature increase on N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in a temperate heathland

Carter, Mette Sustmann; Ambus, Per; Albert, Kristian Rost; Larsen, Klaus Steenberg; Andersson, Michael; Priemé, Anders; van der Linden, Leon Gareth; Beier, Claus

Published in:
Soil Biology & Biochemistry

Link to article, DOI:
[10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.003)

Publication date:
2011

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Carter, M. S., Ambus, P., Albert, K. R., Larsen, K. S., Andersson, M., Priemé, A., van der Linden, L. G., & Beier, C. (2011). Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂, prolonged summer drought and temperature increase on N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in a temperate heathland. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 43(8), 1660-1670. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.003>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Title: Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂, prolonged summer drought and temperature**
2 **increase on N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in a temperate heathland**

3

4 Mette S. Carter^a, Per Ambus^a, Kristian R. Albert^a, Klaus S. Larsen^a, Michael Andersson^{a,1},
5 Anders Priemé^b, Leon van der Linden^a and Claus Beier^a

6

7 ^a Biosystems Division, Risø National Laboratory for Sustainable Energy, Technical University of
8 Denmark, P.O. Box 49, DK-4000 Roskilde

9 ^b Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Sølvgade 83H, DK-1307 Copenhagen K

10 ¹ Not present affiliation

11

12 Corresponding author: Mette S. Carter, phone +45 2133 1383, e-mail mthy@risoe.dtu.dk,

13 Biosystems Division, Risø National Laboratory for Sustainable Energy, Technical University of
14 Denmark, Building 309, P.O. Box 49, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark

15

16

17 Keywords: CLIMAITE, climate change, ecosystem manipulation, greenhouse gas, methane,
18 nitrous oxide, rewetting, sandy soil, shrubland, treatment interaction

19

20 Prepared for submission to: Soil Biology & Biochemistry

21

22 **Abstract**

23 In temperate regions, climate change is predicted to increase annual mean temperature and
24 intensify the duration and frequency of summer droughts, which together with elevated
25 atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations, may affect the exchange of nitrous oxide
26 (N₂O) and methane (CH₄) between terrestrial ecosystems and the atmosphere. We report results
27 from the CLIMAITE experiment, where the effects of these three climate change parameters
28 were investigated solely and in all combinations in a temperate heathland. Field measurements of
29 N₂O and CH₄ fluxes took place 1-2 years after the climate change manipulations were initiated.
30 The soil was generally a net sink for atmospheric CH₄. Elevated temperature (T) increased the
31 CH₄ uptake by on average 10 μg C m⁻² h⁻¹, corresponding to a rise in the uptake rate of about 20
32 %. However, during winter elevated CO₂ (CO₂) reduced the CH₄ uptake, which outweighed the
33 positive effect of warming when analyzed across the study period. Emissions of N₂O were
34 generally low (<10 μg N m⁻² h⁻¹). As single experimental factors, elevated CO₂, temperature and
35 summer drought (D) had no major effect on the N₂O fluxes, but the combination of CO₂ and
36 warming (TCO₂) stimulated N₂O emission, whereas the N₂O emission ceased when CO₂ was
37 combined with drought (DCO₂). We suggest that these N₂O responses are related to increased
38 rhizodeposition under elevated CO₂ combined with increased and reduced nitrogen turnover rates
39 caused by warming and drought, respectively. The N₂O flux in the multifactor treatment TDCO₂
40 was not different from the ambient control treatment. Overall, our study suggests that in the
41 future, CH₄ uptake may increase slightly, while N₂O emission will remain unchanged in
42 temperate ecosystems on well-aerated soils. However, we propose that continued exposure to
43 altered climate could potentially change the greenhouse gas flux pattern in the investigated
44 heathland.

45

46 **1. Introduction**

47 Nitrous oxide (N₂O) and methane (CH₄) are important greenhouse gases (IPCC, 2007),
48 and N₂O is the dominant substance responsible for depletion of the stratospheric ozone layer
49 (Ravishankara et al., 2009). On a global scale, N₂O emissions from unfertilized grassland/steppe,
50 including heathlands, is estimated to be 0.4 Tg N₂O-N y⁻¹ (Stehfest and Bouwman, 2006), which
51 corresponds to about 2% of the total annual N₂O emissions (Fowler et al., 2009). Methane uptake
52 by aerobic soils worldwide is estimated to be 30 Tg CH₄ y⁻¹, counteracting 6% of the total
53 emission of CH₄ from natural and anthropogenic sources (Wuebbles and Hayhoe, 2002).

54 Nitrous oxide emitted from soil primarily originates from the two microbial processes
55 nitrification and denitrification, which occur under aerobic and anaerobic soil conditions,
56 respectively (Wrage et al., 2001). Thus, both processes are controlled by soil moisture that
57 regulates oxygen (O₂) availability and by supply of substrates, ammonium (NH₄⁺) or nitrate
58 (NO₃⁻). In addition, denitrifying bacteria need labile carbon (C) compounds as an energy source.
59 Soils may act as a sink for atmospheric N₂O, which has been observed at low mineral nitrogen
60 (N) availability and the responsible organisms could be denitrifying bacteria, but are probably
61 also nitrifying organisms (Chapuis-Lardy et al., 2007).

62 The CH₄ flux between soil and atmosphere is the net result of CH₄ production by
63 methanogenic archaea and CH₄ oxidation by methanotrophic bacteria (Le Mer and Roger, 2001).
64 In aerobic soils, CH₄ oxidation typically proceeds at a greater rate than CH₄ production, resulting
65 in net uptake of atmospheric CH₄. Maximum CH₄ oxidation usually occurs in deeper soil layers.
66 Thus, CH₄ uptake is strongly controlled by the physical diffusion of atmospheric CH₄ through the
67 soil profile, which is mainly regulated by the soil texture and water content (King, 1997), as
68 molecular diffusion in water is four orders of magnitude slower than in air.

69 The effect of drought on fluxes of CH₄ and N₂O has only been investigated in a limited
70 number of studies in temperate ecosystems on aerobic soils. In a temperate spruce forest, Borken
71 et al. (2000) found that prolonged summer drought increased the annual CH₄ uptake by more than
72 40%. However, in a deciduous forest on well-drained soil, the CH₄ uptake was only increased by
73 7% because soil in the control plots already had a low water content due to effective drainage
74 (Borken et al., 2006). Goldberg and Gebauer (2009) reported that summer drought reduced the
75 N₂O emission from a spruce forest.

76 Elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations affect soil properties via plant-
77 mediated processes, and thereby potentially the fluxes of N₂O and CH₄. It is well known that
78 plants growing under elevated CO₂ may reduce their transpiration, leading to increased water use
79 efficiency and soil moisture contents (Morgan et al., 2004; Robredo et al., 2007). Furthermore, a
80 common plant response to elevated CO₂ is increased deposition of root-derived C into the
81 rhizosphere (Allard et al., 2006; Pendall et al., 2004).

82 The effect of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on N₂O fluxes has been investigated intensively
83 through the last decade in a variety of ecosystems. Long-term studies (≥ 1 year) in natural or
84 semi-natural ecosystems on well-aerated soils with low N availability show either no response in
85 annual N₂O emissions rates to atmospheric CO₂ levels (Baggs et al., 2003; Mosier et al., 2002;
86 Phillips et al., 2001b) or increased N₂O emissions from soil under elevated CO₂ (Kammann et al.,
87 2008). The positive effect of elevated CO₂ on the N₂O emission was explained by increased
88 rhizodeposition of labile C substrates stimulating denitrification. In an N-limited pine forest,
89 Phillips et al. (2001b) observed seasonal variability in the N₂O response to elevated atmospheric
90 CO₂ compared to an ambient control. This included reduced N₂O emissions during the growing
91 season due to high plant-microbial competition for N, and enhanced N₂O emissions during winter
92 possibly because denitrification was stimulated by greater soil moisture and labile C sources

93 under elevated CO₂. In a short-term study during autumn, Arnone and Bohlen (1998) also found
94 that elevated atmospheric CO₂ increased N₂O emission, which they ascribed to improved soil
95 moisture conditions in a relatively dry grassland favouring the microbial transformation of N.

96 Reduced CH₄ consumption under elevated atmospheric CO₂ has been observed in several
97 ecosystems on undisturbed aerobic soils (Ambus and Robertson, 1999; Baggs and Blum, 2004;
98 Dubbs and Whalen, 2010; Ineson et al., 1998; Phillips et al., 2001a), but the exact mechanism is
99 not well known and may vary between ecosystems. Two possible mechanisms have been
100 suggested for the reducing effect of elevated CO₂ on net CH₄ consumption: i) decreased CH₄
101 oxidation due to higher soil water content and thereby reduced diffusion of CH₄ (Ambus and
102 Robertson, 1999; Baggs and Blum, 2004) and ii) increased CH₄ production due to anaerobic
103 microsites caused by reduced O₂ diffusion and increased respiration (McLain and Ahmann,
104 2008).

105 Effects of elevated temperature on N₂O and CH₄ fluxes have only been investigated in a
106 few field-scale warming experiments located in temperate ecosystems on well-aerated soils
107 (McHale et al., 1998; Peterjohn et al., 1994; Rustad and Fernandez, 1998). The artificial warming
108 had either no effect on CH₄ flux or it increased CH₄ uptake, which could be related to the
109 observed warming-induced declines in soil moisture in certain soil layers. Warming may reduce
110 soil moisture by increasing the evapotranspiration (Dermody et al., 2007). No effect of warming
111 on N₂O flux was observed (McHale et al., 1998; Peterjohn et al., 1994), although elevated
112 temperature is known to increase net N mineralization (Rustad et al., 2001).

113 In the current study, the effects of future climatic and atmospheric conditions on the
114 biosphere-atmosphere exchange of N₂O and CH₄ were investigated in a temperate heathland at
115 the CLIMAITE experimental site (www.climaite.dk). CLIMAITE was initiated in 2005 to
116 improve our understanding of how biological processes in natural terrestrial ecosystems may be

117 affected under future environmental conditions involving elevated temperature, elevated
118 concentration of atmospheric CO₂ and prolonged summer drought, simulating *in situ* the climatic
119 scenario as predicted for Denmark in year 2075 (Mikkelsen et al., 2008). Previous studies have
120 examined the effects on greenhouse gas fluxes of warming, elevated CO₂ and summer drought,
121 but to our knowledge field studies combining all three factors in a full-factorial design have not
122 been reported. We formulated four hypotheses for the responses in N₂O and CH₄ fluxes to the
123 climate change parameters investigated in the experiment.

- 124 1) Prolonged summer drought will stimulate CH₄ uptake and reduce N₂O emissions.
- 125 2) Elevated CO₂ will reduce CH₄ uptake due to higher soil moisture caused by plant water
126 saving mechanisms. Nitrous oxide emissions will remain unchanged under elevated CO₂
127 because the stimulating effects of increased soil moisture and availability of labile carbon
128 compounds will be offset by increased plant-microbial competition for N.
- 129 3) Warming will increase CH₄ uptake because of reduced soil moisture. On an annual basis N₂O
130 emission will be unaffected by warming, but this may include a reduction during spring and
131 summer due to reduced soil moisture and an increased emission in autumn due to higher N
132 turnover rates.
- 133 4) In the combinations of two or three treatments, the treatment effects will either counteract
134 each other, if in opposite directions, or intensify each other, if in the same direction.

135 To address these hypotheses, we conducted a full-factorial study including all treatments. In
136 addition, a more intense study involving a subset of five treatments was carried out to focus on
137 treatment effects during the experimental summer drought and the subsequent rewetting period.

138

139 **2. Materials and methods**

140 *2.1 Field site*

141 The study took place at the CLIMAITE experimental site (Mikkelsen et al., 2008) situated at
142 Brandbjerg (55°53' N, 11°58' E) about 50 km NW of Copenhagen, Denmark. The site is a dry,
143 temperate heathland on a hilly nutrient-poor sandy podzol (FAO classification). The mineral soil
144 consists of 20.5% coarse sand, 71.6% fine sand, 5.8% silt and 2.2% clay, and has a pH_{H2O} of 4-5.
145 Mean pore volume and field capacity of the upper 15 cm of the mineral soil is 42 and 17 vol%,
146 respectively. The content of carbon and nitrogen decline sharply from 6.4% and 0.34% in the
147 upper 2 cm of the mineral soil to 0.39% and 0.02% in the 10-30 cm layer, respectively. Above
148 the mineral soil is an organic top layer of about 5 cm in depth containing approximately 23%
149 carbon and 1.2% nitrogen. The vegetation is dominated by *Calluna vulgaris* (L.), *Deschampsia*
150 *flexuosa* (L.) and various mosses. Annual mean temperature is 8.0 °C, annual mean precipitation
151 is 613 mm (www.DMI.dk) and the N deposition is 1.25 g N m⁻² year⁻¹ (Ellermann et al., 2005).
152 The study site was chosen to represent a semi-natural ecosystem having the required low
153 vegetation to allow for experimental manipulations.

154

155 *2.2 Experimental design*

156 The climate change manipulations were initiated in October 2005 and consisted of eight
157 treatments, *viz.* elevated temperature (T), prolonged summer drought (D), elevated atmospheric
158 CO₂ concentrations (CO₂), all combinations of these treatments (TD, TCO₂, DCO₂ and TDCO₂)
159 and untreated controls (A) (Mikkelsen et al., 2008). All treatments were applied to six replicate
160 plots, *i.e.* 48 plots in total. The field site covered an area of about 2 ha and the experimental plots
161 were distributed in twelve 6.8-m diameter octagons arranged pair-wise in six blocks, where one
162 octagon in each block was exposed to elevated CO₂ concentrations (Fig. 1a). Each octagon

163 consisted of four plots in a split design with the treatments drought or elevated temperature solely
164 or in combination, and a non-warmed, non-drought plot (Fig. 1b). Temperature was increased by
165 passive night-time warming using automatic horizontal curtains that withdrew in case of
166 precipitation during night. Periods of drought were achieved using automatic curtains, which
167 extended above the plots during rain events. The atmospheric CO₂ concentration was increased
168 from the ambient level of 380 ppm to 510 ppm by the Free Air Carbon Enrichment (FACE)
169 technique that involved feedback control by monitored CO₂ concentration, wind speed and wind
170 direction. The temperature increase produced by the passive night-time warming showed a
171 seasonal pattern. More specifically, the mean and maximum temperature increase at 5 cm soil
172 depth was higher in spring (0.6, 1.5 °C), summer (0.5, 1.3 °C) and autumn (0.3, 1.0 °C) than in
173 the winter (0.2, 0.7 °C). The experimental drought periods took place in July 2006 and May-June
174 2007, and lasted one month after which the soil water content typically reached 5 vol% in the top
175 20 cm of the soil.

176

177 <Figure 1>

178

179 2.3 N₂O and CH₄ flux measurements

180 In October 2004, one stainless steel collar (60 cm × 60 cm × 10 cm deep) for gas flux
181 measurements was installed in each plot to a maximum depth of 7 cm. In some plots, a section of
182 the collar could not be inserted into the soil due to shallow *Calluna* roots, and instead a
183 substantial border of soil was placed on the outside of the collar to ensure a complete seal against
184 bulk air flow.

185 The field measurements of N₂O and CH₄ fluxes were conducted from June 2006 to
186 October 2007 at weekly to monthly intervals. The aim was to examine treatment effects, and as

187 fluxes of N₂O are known to be low in dry soils, the measuring effort was targeted at rain events
188 when possible. Two studies were carried out: i) A full-factorial study including all eight
189 treatments and ii) a drought/rewetting study in relation to the summer drought in 2007 including
190 the treatments A, CO₂, D, DCO₂ and TDCO₂. The full-factorial study consisted of 11 measuring
191 campaigns evenly distributed between the warm and the cold season. Each measuring campaign
192 in the full-factorial study was conducted in four sub-campaigns over two consecutive days. Each
193 sub-campaign included at least one replicate of each treatment in order to minimize the influence
194 on possible treatment effects of any day-to-day fluctuation in emission rates, as well as
195 fluctuations between morning and midday. The drought/rewetting study began in April 2007 and
196 included only five of the treatments in order to enable the measurement of all plots on a single
197 day. This was performed at one to 20 days intervals over a five months period (n=11).

198 In a measuring campaign, fluxes of N₂O and CH₄ were determined by a static chamber
199 method using white PVC chambers placed on the metal collars installed in the plots. During
200 wintertime, 15-cm high chambers were used for 2-hour enclosure periods, whereas 45-cm high
201 chambers were used during summertime for 2.5-hour enclosure periods in order not to disturb the
202 vegetation. Each chamber had a sponge rubber edge trim, which fitted into a channel on the upper
203 edge of the soil collar and ensured a tight seal during measurements. The chambers were
204 equipped with a gas sampling port and a fan (50 × 50 mm) to mix the headspace air. Four times
205 during the enclosure period, two 30-ml samples of headspace air was taken with a syringe
206 through the sampling port and used to flush a 3.5-ml Venoject vial and a 2-ml crimp-seal vial,
207 respectively. To measure N₂O concentrations, the 3.5-ml vials were pressurized to 1.4 bar with
208 carrier gas immediately before analysis by gas chromatography (GC-14B, Shimadzu, Kyoto, JP).
209 The 2-ml samples for CH₄ determination were supplemented with 0.5 ml N₂ and the

210 concentrations were established by gas chromatography (HP 6890, Agilent, Santa Clara, US).
211 Nitrous oxide fluxes and CH₄ emissions into the chamber were calculated using linear regression
212 of headspace concentrations versus time (n=4), whereas CH₄ uptake was calculated by fitting a
213 first-order function.

214

215 *2.4 Soil moisture, temperature and nitrate*

216 Each of the 48 plots in the climate change experiment had a soil temperature probe
217 installed at 5 cm depth recording at 1 Hz and data was logged as hourly means. Time Domain
218 Reflectometry (TDR) probes were installed at 0-20 cm and 0-60 cm depths to measure
219 volumetric soil water content on a half-hourly basis. More specifically, the TDR probes measure
220 the ability of the soil to transmit an electric field, which is converted into volumetric soil
221 moisture using a soil type specific algorithm. The soil water content in the 20-60 cm layer was
222 calculated from the measurements in 0-20 cm and 0-60 cm. In addition, manual measurements of
223 soil moisture at 0-6 cm depth were conducted at each soil collar during some flux measuring
224 campaigns (ThetaMeter HH1, Delta-T Devices, Cambridge, UK). During each measurement sub-
225 campaign, air temperature was recorded outside two gas flux chambers to be used in the flux
226 calculations. Precipitation was recorded at 2 m height by two independent weather stations at the
227 field site (Fig. 1a).

228 Soil water was collected monthly from passive PVC soil water draining collectors below
229 the organic soil layer (approximately 5 cm depth) in each experimental plot. Concentrations of
230 NO₃⁻ were analyzed on an Autoanalyzer 3 (Bran+Luebbe GmbH, Germany).

231

232 2.5 Potential nitrification and denitrification

233 In order to evaluate the long-term effect of the summer drought treatment, soil samples
234 were collected in late autumn, viz. on 5 November 2007. In each plot, three subsamples from the
235 0-8 cm soil layer under *Deschampsia flexuosa* were obtained using a 2.5-cm diameter soil core.
236 The subsamples were pooled, gently homogenized by hand and major roots were removed. Soil
237 samples were stored at 4 °C until analysis (less than 24 h). Potential nitrification was determined
238 by adding 3 g fresh soil to a 100-ml Erlenmeyer bottle together with 20 ml of Winogradsky
239 solution containing 7.6 mM ammonium sulphate. The bottle was placed on a shaker (100 rpm)
240 and incubated at 25 °C. After 0 and 168 h, a 10-ml sample was centrifuged (3500×G; 25 °C; 5
241 min) and the supernatant was analyzed for nitrite and nitrate (Fiastar 5000 Flow Analyzer, FOSS,
242 Hillerød, DK). Potential nitrification rates were estimated from the increase in nitrite+nitrate
243 concentrations between 0 and 168 h.

244 To measure potential denitrification, 10 g fresh soil was placed in a 100-ml incubation
245 bottle and 15 ml of 1 mM potassium nitrate, 0.5 mM glucose, 0.5 mM sodium acetate and 0.5
246 mM sodium succinate was added. The bottle was sealed with a butyl rubber stopper, flushed with
247 N₂ for 2 min, had 10% acetylene added, and was then placed horizontally on a shaker (200 rpm)
248 and incubated at 22 °C. After 30, 70, 120 and 180 min, 3 ml headspace was transferred to a pre-
249 evacuated 3-ml Venoject vial. The vials were pressurized with 1 ml N₂ before analysis for N₂O
250 on a gas chromatograph (HP 5890, Agilent, Santa Clara, US). Potential denitrification rates were
251 estimated from linear regression of total N₂O, i.e. headspace and aqueous phase N₂O (Weiss and
252 Price, 1980), versus time.

253

254 *2.6 Nitrous oxide reductase activity*

255 To determine N₂O reductase activity, NO₃⁻ was removed from soil samples by vortexing
256 10 g fresh soil with 30 ml of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 5 sec of followed by
257 centrifugation for 10 min at 3500×G and 5 °C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet
258 resuspended in 30 ml PBS. This process was repeated three times; after the last, the pellet was
259 resuspended in 15 ml of 0.5 mM glucose, 0.5 mM sodium acetate, and 0.5 mM sodium succinate
260 and transferred to a 100-ml incubation bottle. The bottle was sealed with a butyl rubber stopper,
261 flushed with N₂ for 2 min, had 100 ppm N₂O added (final concentration), and was then placed
262 horizontally on a shaker (200 rpm) and incubated at 22 °C. After 0, 1, 3, 6 and 24 h, 3 ml of
263 headspace was transferred to a pre-evacuated 3-ml Venoject vial before analysis of N₂O as
264 described above. The decline in headspace and aqueous phase N₂O (Weiss and Price, 1980)
265 during the incubation was used to calculate N₂O reductase activity.

266

267 *2.7 Statistics*

268 Analyses of variance (ANOVA) were conducted by fitting mixed effects models using the
269 PROC MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, 2003). The random effects accounted for the
270 experimental design (*Random Block Octagon*). Data was transformed as required to obtain
271 normality and homogeneity of variance. In the full-factorial study, all CH₄ analyses were
272 performed on square root transformed fluxes, *viz.* $(-\text{CH}_4 \text{ flux} + 110)^{0.5}$, where CH₄ uptake rates
273 were entered as negative values. For repeated measures, the optimal covariance structure was
274 selected using Akaike's An Information Criterion (AIC). In order to optimise the model, main
275 factor effects (CO₂, D, T) and interactions (CO₂×D, CO₂×T, D×T, CO₂×D×T) with P≤0.25 were

276 kept in the model during the step-wise reduction of the fixed effects in the model. Effects with
277 $P \leq 0.05$ were considered to be significant.

278 Data from the full-factorial study and the drought/rewetting study were analyzed
279 separately. The statistical analysis of the full-factorial study consisted of two parts. First,
280 treatment effects on CH_4 and N_2O fluxes were examined with repeated measures models that also
281 tested for treatment effects at specific measuring campaigns ($\text{CO}_2 \times \text{D} \times \text{T} \times \text{Time}$). Differences of
282 least squares means was used to interpret significant treatment interactions and estimate absolute
283 changes in response to the main treatments. Soil moisture and mean daytime soil temperature
284 (sunrise to sunset) recorded on dates of the full-factorial study campaigns were analyzed in the
285 same way. Secondly, other repeated measures models were used to evaluate how N_2O and CH_4
286 fluxes were influenced by the two covariates, soil moisture and daytime soil temperature.
287 Dependency between soil moisture and soil temperature was determined by Pearson's Correlation
288 Coefficient using the PROC CORR procedure of SAS.

289 Data from the drought/rewetting study was analyzed sequentially examining one
290 measuring campaign at the time using a two-factor model including D, CO_2 and $\text{CO}_2 \times \text{D}$ (dataset
291 excluding TDCO₂). In addition, single factor repeated measures models were used to analyze the
292 N_2O and CH_4 fluxes across the drought and rewetting periods with time or soil moisture as
293 covariates (dataset including TDCO₂).

294

295 **3 Results**

296 *3.1 Soil moisture and temperature in the full-factorial study*

297 Compared to the annual mean temperature and precipitation for the site, the years 2006
298 and 2007 where the study took place were generally warmer and wetter with a mean temperature
299 of 10.2 °C and an annual precipitation of 746 mm. Rain events were distributed throughout the

300 experimental period, but heavy rainfall was absent in the spring of 2007 (Fig. 2a). The soil water
301 content was generally higher in the 0-20 cm soil layer than in the 20-60 cm layer (Fig. 2b). Mean
302 daytime soil temperature at 5 cm depth measured during the full-factorial study campaigns
303 showed a steady decline from July 2006 to March 2007 (Fig. 2c). The passive night-time
304 warming increased the mean daytime soil temperature by 0.39 °C on average ($P < 0.0001$) and the
305 temperature was slightly lower in drought treated plots compared to non-drought treated plots
306 ($P = 0.05$; Table 1). Furthermore, the CO₂ treatment increased soil temperature but only in
307 unwarmed plots (CO₂×T; $P < 0.0001$). This CO₂ effect could be due to reduced water consumption
308 by plants in response to elevated atmospheric CO₂ and thereby reduced loss of heat. Soil moisture
309 in the upper 20 cm was affected by the drought treatment at three measuring campaigns during
310 and following the experimental droughts, resulting in a 0.85 vol% lower soil water content in the
311 drought treated compared to the non-drought treated plots on average across the study period
312 ($P = 0.0004$; Table 1). The drought effect on soil moisture was most pronounced in plots at
313 ambient CO₂ (CO₂×D; $P = 0.0017$). Warming also had an effect on the soil water content in the top
314 soil during seven measuring campaigns, reducing it by 0.99 vol% on average ($P < 0.0001$). In the
315 lower soil layer from 20 to 60 cm, drought and warming reduced the soil water content by 0.79
316 and 0.84 vol%, respectively ($P \leq 0.0036$). In March 2007, the water content in 0-6 cm was higher
317 in the plots exposed to elevated CO₂ compared to the ambient CO₂ plots ($P = 0.0069$), however
318 soil moisture in 0-20 cm and 20-60 cm were not affected by the CO₂ treatment (data not shown).

319

320 <Figure 2>

321 <Table 1>

322

323 3.2 CH₄ fluxes in the full-factorial study

324 Fluxes of CH₄ were generally into the soil with 80% of the measured fluxes falling in the
325 range -1 to -130 μg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹. The CH₄ uptake was on average 10 μg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹ higher
326 in the warmed plots compared to the unwarmed plots (P=0.044) (Fig. 3a, Fig. 4a). Furthermore,
327 an interaction appeared between the CO₂ treatment and time, which was the product of the lower
328 CH₄ uptake in elevated CO₂ plots compared to ambient CO₂ plots during one measuring
329 campaign in January 2007 (CO₂×Time; P<0.0001).

330 We tested the effect on the CH₄ flux of soil moisture recorded at the start of flux
331 measurement as well as mean daytime soil temperature. Daytime soil temperature at 5 cm depth
332 explained the variability in CH₄ fluxes to a greater extent (P<0.0001) than soil moisture in the
333 upper 20 cm (P=0.0049), which again explained the flux better than moisture in the 20-60 cm soil
334 layer (P=0.021). The less significant effect of soil moisture in the top layer compared to soil
335 temperature could arise from the linear modelling of the relationship between soil moisture and
336 the square root transformed CH₄ uptake. However, linear modelling might be misleading since
337 several studies have indicated that the relationship is hump-shaped, *i.e.* at high soil moisture the
338 relationship is negative because an increase in soil moisture reduces gas diffusivity, while at low
339 soil moisture the relationship may be positive because soil moisture limits the methanotrophic
340 activity (*e.g.* Del Grosso et al., 2000). As a test of the potential linearity, we excluded two
341 measuring campaigns where the lowest soil moisture values were recorded, *viz.* below 6 vol%.
342 However, excluding these data from the analysis removed the negative relationship between CH₄
343 uptake and soil moisture (P=0.61), whereas the positive relationship with soil temperature
344 remained (P=0.0083). Thus, linear modelling of soil moisture and CH₄ uptake seems reasonable
345 in the full-factorial study. Effects of soil temperature could be indirect via the effect of

346 temperature on soil moisture as the two parameters were 54% negatively correlated. However, an
347 analysis including both soil moisture in the top layer and soil temperature supported the stronger
348 positive effect of soil temperature ($P=0.0004$) compared to the negative effect of soil moisture
349 ($P=0.074$) on the CH_4 uptake (Table 2).

350 As stated above warming increased the CH_4 uptake by $10 \mu\text{g CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ on average.
351 To evaluate how much of this could be explained by changes in soil temperature and soil
352 moisture, respectively (Table 1), the model in Table 2 was used to calculate the increase in CH_4
353 uptake resulting from an $0.39 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ -increase in mean soil temperature across the study period and a
354 $0.99 \text{ vol}\%$ -decline in mean soil water content. Based on the model, changes in soil temperature
355 and soil moisture due to the experimental warming caused an increase in the CH_4 uptake of 0.7
356 and $0.9 \mu\text{g CH}_4\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$, respectively, all together explaining 16% of the measured increase in
357 the CH_4 uptake.

358

359 <Figure 3>

360 <Figure 4>

361 <Table 2>

362

363 *3.3 N₂O fluxes in the full-factorial study*

364 Generally, emission rates of N_2O were small ($<10 \mu\text{g N m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$; Fig. 3b). The repeated
365 measures model fitted to the N_2O fluxes revealed an interaction between the CO_2 and drought
366 treatments ($\text{CO}_2 \times \text{D}$; $P=0.047$). Inspection of differences of least squares means showed that this
367 interaction arose because the N_2O emission decreased in response to drought, but only in plots
368 that were also exposed to elevated CO_2 , viz. $\text{CO}_2 + \text{TCO}_2 > \text{DCO}_2 + \text{TDCO}_2$ (Fig. 4b). As single
369 experimental factors elevated CO_2 , drought and warming had no significant effect on the N_2O

370 flux, but the combination of CO₂ and warming caused increased N₂O emission in the TCO₂
371 treatment, whereas the N₂O production ceased in the DCO₂ treatment, where CO₂ was combined
372 with drought. The statistical analysis also revealed an interaction between the drought treatment
373 and time (D×Time; P<0.0001), which was caused by reduced N₂O emission from drought treated
374 plots compared to non-drought treated plots during one measuring campaign following a rain
375 event during the summer drought treatment in 2006. Daytime soil temperature at 5 cm depth, soil
376 moisture in the upper 20 cm and in the 20-60 cm layer all explained the N₂O flux equally well
377 (P<0.0001).

378

379 3.4 Nitrate, potential nitrification and denitrification

380 Mean annual NO₃⁻ concentration in soil water was reduced in plots exposed to either
381 elevated CO₂ or drought as single factor (CO₂×D×T; P=0.0064), whereas warming as main factor
382 increased the NO₃⁻ concentration (P=0.003; Fig. 5). Soil samples collected under *Deschampsia*
383 vegetation in November 2007 were incubated at uniform temperature conditions to assess
384 potential nitrification and denitrification, which indicated the amount of nitrifying and
385 denitrifying enzymes present in the 0-8 cm soil layer at the site. Potential nitrification was higher
386 in the warmed plots than in the unwarmed plots (P=0.0003), especially in the TD treatment,
387 whereas the activity tended to be low in plots exposed to CO₂ as a single factor (Fig. 6a).
388 Potential denitrification was also increased in the warmed plots (P=0.014; Fig. 6b). Negligible
389 N₂O reductase activity was observed in the soil samples with N₂O uptake rates less than 1 ng N g⁻¹
390 dw h⁻¹ in all samples (data not shown).

391

392 <Figure 5>

393 <Figure 6>

394

395 *3.5 Soil moisture and precipitation in the drought/rewetting study*

396 During the drought period from 21 May to 22 June 2007, 94 mm of precipitation was
397 excluded from the drought treated plots (Fig. 7a). As a result, soil moisture in the 0-6 cm layer
398 was lower in the drought treated compared to the non-drought treated plots during the drought
399 period (Fig. 7b). The drought effect on soil water persisted through the subsequent rewetting
400 period ($P < 0.009$), where all plots received 53 mm of rain. Soil moisture at 0-6 cm depth peaked
401 on the second day after rewetting, which occurred on 27 June where 25 mm of rainfall was
402 recorded.

403

404 <Figure 7>

405

406 *3.6 CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in the drought/rewetting study*

407 During the drought and rewetting periods, drought effects on the CH₄ and N₂O fluxes
408 occurred on 30 May, the first day of measurement during the drought, where both CH₄ uptake
409 and N₂O emission decreased in the drought treated compared to the non-drought treated plots
410 ($P \leq 0.022$; Fig. 7c,d). However, drought effects were absent during the last part of the drought
411 period and during the rewetting (*i.e.* 14 June to 2 July). Repeated measures models were used to
412 evaluate which other factors controlled the fluxes during this time span and revealed that the N₂O
413 flux was highly controlled by the soil water content ($P = 0.024$). Furthermore, the N₂O emission
414 on the second day after rewetting was higher than the other days ($P = 0.005$), thus the response in
415 the N₂O flux to rewetting was delayed by one day. In contrast, the CH₄ flux responded
416 immediately to rewetting by a general increase in CH₄ uptake across all treatments the first day

417 after rewetting compared to the other days ($P=0.0087$). As the soil water content continued to
418 rise, the CH_4 oxidation was hindered, resulting in reduced CH_4 uptake and even net CH_4 emission
419 in some plots during the last two campaigns in the rewetting period. Across the five treatments
420 investigated, six of the 30 plots were CH_4 hotspots with emission rates above $100 \mu\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$.
421 No delayed effect of the drought treatment appeared in N_2O and CH_4 fluxes during four
422 measuring campaigns from *medio* August to *primo* October 2007 (data not shown). Following 13
423 mm of rainfall in the beginning of October, consistently net CH_4 emission was observed again
424 with 76% of the measured flux rates being CH_4 efflux.

425

426 **4 Discussion**

427 *4.1 Effects of warming and elevated CO_2 on CH_4 fluxes*

428 Methane fluxes ranged from net uptake to net emission, demonstrating that both
429 methanotrophs (CH_4 -oxidizing bacteria) and methanogens (CH_4 -producing archaea) were present
430 in the soil microbial community at the site. Generally, CH_4 oxidation exceeded CH_4 production
431 with 80% of the measured flux rates in the full-factorial study falling in the range -1 to $-130 \mu\text{g C}$
432 $\text{m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$. This is comparable to the only other study that examined CH_4 fluxes in a shrubland on
433 sandy soils, which happened to be in a Mediterranean sclerophyllous shrubland, where the CH_4
434 fluxes mainly varied between -12 and $-94 \mu\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ (Castaldi and Fierro, 2005).

435 Passive night-time warming increased CH_4 uptake by $10 \mu\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ on average,
436 corresponding to a rise in the uptake rate of about 20% (Fig. 4a). Enhanced CH_4 uptake in
437 response to warming was also observed in studies conducted in a mixed deciduous forest and a
438 spruce-fir forest (Peterjohn et al., 1994; Rustad and Fernandez, 1998). The warming treatment
439 raised mean daytime soil temperature by $0.39 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (Table 1). In addition, the warming treatment
440 reduced soil moisture in the 0-20 cm soil layer by 0.99 vol%, thus potentially warming could

441 have affected the CH₄ flux solely via changes in soil moisture. However, most likely the CH₄
442 uptake was stimulated by changes in both variables. Our analysis revealed that a 0.39 °C-increase
443 in soil temperature and a 0.99 vol%-reduction of soil moisture would increase the CH₄ uptake to
444 almost the same extent, *i.e.* by 0.7 and 0.9 μg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively. However, together the
445 changes in these two variables only explained 16% of the observed rise in CH₄ uptake in
446 response to warming. Thus, other parameters than soil temperature and soil moisture were
447 apparently also involved in stimulating the net CH₄ uptake in the warmed plots.

448 In the full-factorial study, soil temperature appeared more important than soil moisture in
449 controlling the CH₄ oxidation in the sandy soil at our heathland site. This is in contrast to other
450 studies in temperate ecosystems on aerated soils, where soil moisture had a stronger influence on
451 the CH₄ uptake compared to soil temperature (McHale et al., 1998; Peterjohn et al., 1994; Rustad
452 and Fernandez, 1998). In most ecosystems, CH₄ uptake is controlled by the physical diffusion of
453 CH₄ from the atmosphere to the soil layer, where CH₄ oxidation takes place, and soil moisture is
454 a variable that influences the diffusive transport of CH₄ (Borken et al., 2006; King, 1997). On the
455 contrary, CH₄ uptake seems to be less controlled by biotic factors regulated by for instance soil
456 temperature as uptake rates are relatively similar across many different ecosystems worldwide
457 (Billings et al., 2000; King, 1997). In our study, a likely reason for the weaker negative influence
458 of soil moisture on CH₄ fluxes compared to the positive influence of soil temperature could be
459 that the sandy soil at the site is very well-drained. At field capacity, about 60 % of the pore space
460 is air-filled in the 0-15 cm layer of the mineral soil, thus CH₄ diffusion is seldom limited by
461 water-filled soil pores.

462 Accordingly, the drought treatment had no effect on the CH₄ flux although this treatment
463 reduced the soil water content in the 0-20 cm layer during three out of nine measuring campaigns.
464 The period of experimental drought in May-June 2007 also showed that the drought treatment

465 had limited direct or indirect effects on the CH₄ flux as the uptake was only affected by drought
466 during one out of three occasions, and since no delayed drought response in the CH₄ flux
467 occurred from June to October 2007. In fact, the methanotrophic activity was reduced due to
468 drought stress on the first measuring day in the drought period (30 May; Fig. 7c). During the last
469 part of the experimental drought, the methanotrophs were also drought stressed in the non-
470 drought treated plots, as the CH₄ uptake increased across all treatment on the first day after
471 rewetting (26 June). A similar response was observed by Priemé and Christensen (1999) at onset
472 of the rainy season in the African savanna.

473 In summary, the methanotrophs were more susceptible to dry conditions in May-June
474 2007 compared to the drought period in July-August 2006, where the highest CH₄ uptake rates
475 were recorded on 17-18 July at soil moisture levels of about 5 vol% in the 0-20 cm layer (Fig.
476 3a). One possible reason for the difference could be the relatively dry spring of 2007, where the
477 site only received 39 mm of rainfall during the last two months prior to the experimental drought
478 (Fig. 2a), whereas the pre-drought precipitation in 2006 was 122 mm. Thus, presumably the
479 methanotrophs were only able to cope with dry conditions for a limited period.

480 The complex control of soil moisture on CH₄ fluxes was illustrated by the reduction in
481 CH₄ consumption rates as the soil water content continued to rise during rewetting in 2007,
482 resulting in net CH₄ emissions in some plots on the second day after rewetting (27 June; Fig. 7c).
483 The CH₄ flux therefore seemed to be controlled by soil moisture in a non-linear way in the
484 drought/rewetting study. A similar relationship between CH₄ uptake and soil moisture was found
485 in a Dutch heather grassland on sandy soil (van den Pol-van Dasselaar et al., 1998). Furthermore,
486 Dijkstra et al. (2010) eliminated constraints on CH₄ uptake due to gas diffusion and showed that
487 methanotrophic activity increased under elevated CO₂, partly due to an increase in soil moisture.

488 In January 2007, the CH₄ uptake was reduced in the plots exposed to elevated CO₂
489 compared to the ambient CO₂ plots. A root in-growth study conducted at the site showed that
490 elevated atmospheric CO₂ stimulated root growth of both *Deschampsia* and *Calluna* (Marie F.
491 Arndal, pers. comm.). Thus, a likely reason for the temporary CO₂ effect on CH₄ fluxes is
492 increased methanogenesis in soils under elevated atmospheric CO₂, fuelled by increased winter
493 root decay resulting from a larger root biomass. McLain and Ahmann (2008) also suggested that
494 reduced net CH₄ uptake under elevated CO₂ was due to increased CH₄ production. Another
495 possible reason is reduced CH₄ oxidation in the CO₂ plots caused by increased soil water content
496 in the upper most soil horizon (0-6 cm), which was observed in March 2007. Similar mechanisms
497 were also put forward in other studies (Ambus and Robertson, 1999; Baggs and Blum, 2004).

498 Summarizing treatment effects on CH₄ fluxes, prolonged summer drought did not
499 increase the CH₄ uptake as hypothesized because soil moisture at the site was already low and
500 therefore not an obstacle for CH₄ diffusion. The warming treatment did increase the CH₄ uptake
501 as hypothesized, however apparently not only via the effect on soil moisture that we expected,
502 but also through a direct stimulation of the methanotrophic activity by increased soil
503 temperatures and due to other unknown factors. Finally, elevated atmospheric CO₂ did decrease
504 the CH₄ uptake as hypothesized, but only in January 2007 and the exact mechanism is not well
505 understood. In the three-factor combination TDCO₂, the positive effect of warming on the CH₄
506 uptake was partly outweighed by the reduced CH₄ uptake under elevated CO₂ during winter,
507 resulting in a slight but insignificant increase in the CH₄ uptake compared to the ambient
508 treatment. We therefore accept the fourth hypothesis that individual treatment effects counteract
509 each other if in opposite directions.

510

511 *4.2 N₂O response to elevated CO₂ combined with drought or warming*

512 Heterotrophic nitrification may be a significant source of N₂O in soils with low pH and
513 high availability of oxygen and organic material (Wrage et al., 2001). This process possibly
514 contributed to the N₂O emission from the acidic and well-aerated sandy soil at our site, along
515 with autotrophic nitrification and denitrification.

516 Neither elevated CO₂, drought or warming affected the N₂O flux as main treatments when
517 analyzed across all measuring campaigns in the full-factorial study, but the N₂O flux responded
518 to combinations of these treatments (Fig. 4b). Thus, in this study the effect of treatment
519 combinations could not be predicted from the effects of the single treatments. The N₂O emission
520 ceased when elevated CO₂ was combined with drought, whereas increased N₂O emissions
521 occurred when elevated CO₂ and warming was combined. Of the three main treatments, warming
522 affected soil moisture most frequently; however the reduction of soil moisture in the warmed
523 plots could not explain the increase of N₂O emissions in the TCO₂ treatment. Furthermore, the
524 poor indications of CO₂ effects on soil moisture via improved plant water use efficiency as well
525 as the temporally limited drought effects on soil water suggested that the N₂O responses in the
526 DCO₂ and TCO₂ treatments were not related to differences in soil water content between
527 treatments, but to one or more unknown parameters that will be discussed below.

528 Potential nitrification and denitrification rates were increased in the warmed plots (Fig. 6),
529 which indicates an increased content in the soil of enzymes involved in the two processes. This is
530 in contrast to studies in California and Wales, where natural and semi-natural grasslands were
531 exposed to elevated temperatures for about four years with no effect on nitrifying or denitrifying
532 enzyme activities (Barnard et al., 2004, 2006). In line with our results on potential nitrification
533 and denitrification, warming increased the concentration of NO₃⁻ in soil water, counteracting
534 negative effects of drought and CO₂ (Fig. 5). Additionally, compiled data from our site showed

535 that microbial NH_4^+ consumption was increased by warming (Larsen et al., 2011). In contrast,
536 drought reduced gross N mineralization and the fauna-related part of N mineralization, which
537 included N excretion by soil fauna and turnover of the soil fauna biomass. Thus, in general N
538 turnover was enhanced in the warmed plots, whereas drought tended to reduce N turnover at the
539 site. Apparently, the effects of drought and warming on N turnover only affected the N_2O flux in
540 the full-factorial study when each of the two treatments was combined with elevated CO_2 .
541 Elevated CO_2 therefore seemed to trigger the N_2O response to drought and warming.

542 Elevated CO_2 is known to stimulate rhizodeposition (Allard et al., 2006; Pendall et al.,
543 2004). Studies at our heathland site showed increased root growth (Marie F. Arndal, pers.
544 comm.) and increased belowground respiration in response to elevated CO_2 (Merete B. Selsted,
545 pers. comm.), indicating enhanced carbon allocation belowground and possibly also increased
546 rhizodeposition. In the TCO_2 treatment, a larger input of labile C compounds possibly stimulated
547 the denitrifying microorganisms in combination with the increased N availability caused by the
548 warming treatment, resulting in enhanced N_2O production by denitrification (Fig. 4b). Increased
549 denitrification in the TCO_2 treatment, however, was not supported by measurements of potential
550 denitrification (Fig. 6b). An alternative source of N_2O in the TCO_2 treatment could be
551 heterotrophic nitrification that would be stimulated by the same mechanism and may have a
552 higher N_2O product ratio than autotrophic nitrification (Papen et al., 1989). In contrast, increased
553 C input via rhizodeposition probably shifted the balance even further between supply of reductant
554 (*e.g.* organic carbon) and oxidant (*e.g.* NO_3^-) for denitrifiers in the DCO_2 treatment, where the N
555 availability was already low due to the negative effects of drought on N turnover. In
556 denitrification, nearly all nitrogen oxide is reduced to N_2 when the availability of reductant
557 exceeds the supply of oxidant to a great extent (Hutchinson and Davidson, 1993). This could be
558 the reason for the low N_2O emission in the DCO_2 treatment (Fig. 4b), despite the potential

559 activity of enzymes involved in nitrification and denitrification being unaffected (Fig. 6). In line
560 with our results, Baggs et al. (2003) found that elevated atmospheric CO₂ caused increased N₂O
561 emission in highly N fertilized swards, whereas elevated CO₂ tended to reduce the N₂O emission
562 in low N swards. Furthermore, in nitrogen poor meadow mesocosms, Kanerva et al. (2007)
563 studied the effect of elevated CO₂ via increased C input to the soil, while controlling soil
564 moisture. They concluded that, in low N soils, the greater C availability under elevated CO₂ does
565 not lead to greater N₂O emissions.

566 In our study, all three treatments were combined in order to simulate the climatic and
567 atmospheric conditions in Denmark in year 2075. The positive effect of TCO₂ and the negative
568 effect of DCO₂ on the N₂O flux counteracted each other in the TDCO₂ treatment, resulting in no
569 change in the N₂O flux compared to the current climatic and atmospheric conditions given by the
570 ambient treatment, A. The lack of major changes in the N₂O emission from the TDCO₂ treatment
571 is in line with a study on extensively managed grassland monoliths, which were exposed to
572 elevated temperature, summer drought and elevated atmospheric CO₂ using an additive
573 experimental design (Cantarel et al., 2011).

574 The strong control of soil moisture on the temporal changes in N₂O fluxes appeared from
575 both the full-factorial study and the drought/rewetting study. The one-day delay in the response
576 of N₂O fluxes to rewetting observed in the drought/rewetting study was probably related to
577 threshold levels for N₂O production, meaning that the soil water content needed to reach a certain
578 level before the development of anaerobic zones enabled the denitrification to occur (Smith et al.,
579 1998) (Fig. 7b,d). In addition to slowing the gas diffusion, rewetting of soil may promote the
580 development of anaerobic zones by stimulating the respiratory activity, leading to enhanced O₂
581 consumption (Ruser et al., 2006). The source of the short-term N₂O peak was possibly NO₃⁻ that
582 accumulated in the soil during the preceding drought period.

583 Revisiting our hypotheses, we expected that prolonged summer drought as main treatment
584 would reduce the N₂O emission, which we did not find when analysing across all measuring
585 campaigns in the full-factorial study. However, temporary drought effects occurred during the
586 experimental drought periods in 2006 (full-factorial study) and 2007 (drought/rewetting study).
587 Furthermore, in combination with elevated CO₂, drought reduced the N₂O emission. In line with
588 our hypotheses, we found no effect on the overall N₂O flux of elevated CO₂ or warming, but in
589 combination the two treatments enhanced the N₂O emission. Although the outcome of the two-
590 factor combinations, DCO₂ and TCO₂, could not be predicted from the single treatments, the
591 response in the three-factor combination fitted well with our last hypothesis that two opposing
592 two-factor responses would counteract each other.

593

594 *4.3 Depth distribution of microbial activity*

595 In contrast to field measurements conducted in October 2007, where significant N₂O
596 uptake occurred in some plots, laboratory incubations of soil from the 0-8 cm soil layer sampled
597 in November 2007 showed negligible N₂O reductase activity. One explanation could be that the
598 N₂O reduction took place in soil layers below the 0-8 cm layer. In a spruce forest, Goldberg and
599 Gebauer (2009) also found microbial N₂O consumption in the mineral horizon.

600 In dry soils, methane oxidation may occur well below the soil surface (Castaldi and
601 Fierro, 2005 and references within). However, in the drought/rewetting study drought stress on
602 the methanotrophs was released on the first day after rewetting (26 June; Fig. 7c) following a
603 rather modest rainfall of 6 mm that caused a general rise in soil moisture in the 0-20 cm soil
604 layer, but not in the 20-60 cm layer in all plots. This suggests that the main zone of CH₄
605 oxidation was localised in the upper part of the soil profile, which was supported by a stronger
606 influence on the CH₄ flux of soil moisture in the 0-20 cm layer compared to moisture in the 20-60

607 cm layer. Studies in forest soils also showed that CH₄ oxidation primarily occurred within the
608 upper 10 cm of the soil profile (Bender and Conrad, 1994; King, 1997).

609 On 27 June and 2 July 2007, high rates of CH₄ emissions (above 100 μg C m⁻² h⁻¹)
610 occurred in six specific plots across treatments. These CH₄ hotspots were presumably triggered
611 by the high soil water content reducing CH₄ oxidation and producing anaerobic microsites
612 combined with high local availability of substrates for methanogenesis. On 2 July, the plot with
613 the highest CH₄ emission also had the highest N₂O emission and soil water content in 0-6 cm,
614 supporting anaerobic conditions as an important driver for the CH₄ hotspots. The abundance of
615 *Deschampsia* and *Calluna* varied between plots at our heathland site, but the vegetation
616 composition had no effect on the measured CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in any of the studies.

617

618 4.4 Conclusions

619 Our study showed that warming as main factor increased the CH₄ uptake by about 20 %,
620 presumably due to the enhancing effects of increased soil temperatures and reduced soil moisture
621 on the microbial CH₄ oxidation process as well as some unknown factors. Elevated
622 concentrations of atmospheric CO₂ had no overall effect on the CH₄ flux, but reduced the CH₄
623 uptake during one measuring campaign in the winter season. In combination, the stimulating
624 effect of warming and the episodic reducing effect of CO₂ on the CH₄ uptake resulted in a
625 modest, but insignificant, increase in the CH₄ uptake when comparing the multifactor treatment
626 including elevated CO₂, warming and summer drought with the ambient treatment. Depending on
627 the duration of winter decline in CH₄ uptake under elevated CO₂, CH₄ oxidation in temperate
628 ecosystems on well-aerated soil could potentially have a negative feedback on global climate
629 change in future.

630 The study indicated that the N₂O flux in nitrogen poor natural ecosystems on well-aerated
631 soils will probably not change under future climatic and atmospheric conditions. This apparent
632 lack of response is the product of the complex interaction between the climate change parameters
633 affecting the conditions for N₂O production in opposite directions. Overall, this study highlights
634 the importance of evaluating climate change parameters in multifactor treatments as the response
635 of CH₄ and N₂O flux rates to different two- and three-factor combinations may not be predicted
636 from the responses to the individual treatments, and furthermore the effects of individual
637 treatments may negate each other if they act in opposite directions.

638 The greenhouse gas fluxes reported here cover short-term (1-2 year) responses to climate
639 change. We anticipate that after longer experimental manipulation, the treatment effects could
640 differ from those initially observed. For example, the increased carbon input via stimulation of
641 photosynthesis under elevated CO₂ (Albert et al., 2011a, 2011b) may result in changes in the
642 quantity or character of the ecosystem carbon pools. Furthermore, the increased N turnover
643 observed in response to warming (Larsen et al., 2011), also a short-term response, may be
644 influenced by the properties of the pre-experimental organic matter. Therefore, a new equilibrium
645 N turnover rate may develop as the properties of the soil organic matter also equilibrate; a
646 process controlled by the continuous supply and decomposition of organic matter. Both the
647 change in carbon pools and the potential change in the N turnover rate would alter the predictions
648 for the future fluxes of N₂O and possibly CH₄.

649

650 **Acknowledgements**

651 This work was part of NitroEurope IP funded by the European Commission. We wish to
652 thank Anja C. Nielsen for taking part in the field work, Liselotte Meltofte and Anja C. Nielsen
653 for their assistance with the gas analyses, and finally Poul Sørensen, Preben Jørgensen and Teis

654 N. Mikkelsen for maintaining the CLIMAITE field site. We also acknowledge the Villum Kann
655 Rasmussen foundation, Air Liquide, DONG Energy and SMC Pneumatic A/S for supporting the
656 CLIMAITE field site.

657

658 **References**

659 Albert, K.R., Ro-Poulsen, H., Mikkelsen, T.N., Michelsen, A., Beier, C. (2011a) Interactive
660 effects of elevated CO₂, warming and drought on photosynthesis of *Deschampsia flexuosa*
661 in a temperate heath ecosystem. Journal of Experimental Botany, in press.

662 Albert, K.R., Ro-Poulsen, H., Mikkelsen, T.N., Michelsen, A., van der Linden, L., Beier, C.
663 (2011b) Effects of elevated CO₂, warming and drought episodes on plant carbon uptake
664 in a temperate heath ecosystem are controlled by soil water status. Plant, Cell and
665 Environment, in press. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02320.x

666 Allard, V., Robin, C., Newton, P.C.D., Lieffering, M., Soussana, J.F., 2006. Short and long-term
667 effects of elevated CO₂ on *Lolium perenne* rhizodeposition and its consequences on soil
668 organic matter turnover and plant N yield. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 38, 1178-1187.

669 Ambus, P., Robertson, G.P., 1999. Fluxes of CH₄ and N₂O in aspen stands grown under ambient
670 and twice-ambient CO₂. Plant and Soil 209, 1-8.

671 Arnone, J.A., Bohlen, P.J., 1998. Stimulated N₂O flux from intact grassland monoliths after two
672 growing seasons under elevated atmospheric CO₂. Oecologia 116, 331-335.

673 Baggs, E.M., Blum, H., 2004. CH₄ oxidation and emissions of CH₄ and N₂O from *Lolium*
674 *perenne* swards under elevated atmospheric CO₂. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 36, 713-
675 723.

676 Baggs, E.M., Richter, M., Hartwig, U.A., Cadisch, G., 2003. Nitrous oxide emissions from grass
677 swards during the eighth year of elevated atmospheric $p\text{CO}_2$ (Swiss FACE). *Global*
678 *Change Biology* 9, 1214-1222.

679 Barnard, R., Barthes, L., Le Roux, X., Harmens, H., Raschi, A., Soussana, J.F., Winkler, B.,
680 Leadley, P.W., 2004. Atmospheric CO_2 elevation has little effect on nitrifying and
681 denitrifying enzyme activity in four European grasslands. *Global Change Biology* 10,
682 488-497.

683 Barnard, R., Le Roux, X., Hungate, B.A., Cleland, E.E., Blankinship, J.C., Barthes, L., Leadley,
684 P.W., 2006. Several components of global change alter nitrifying and denitrifying
685 activities in an annual grassland. *Functional Ecology* 20, 557-564.

686 Bender, M., Conrad, R., 1994. Methane oxidation activity in various soils and fresh-water
687 sediments - occurrence, characteristics, vertical profiles, and distribution on grain-size
688 fractions. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 99, 16531-16540.

689 Billings, S.A., Richter, D.D., Yarie, J., 2000. Sensitivity of soil methane fluxes to reduced
690 precipitation in boreal forest soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 32, 1431-1441.

691 Borken, W., Brumme, R., Xu, Y.J., 2000. Effects of prolonged soil drought on CH_4 oxidation in a
692 temperate spruce forest. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 105, 7079-7088.

693 Borken, W., Davidson, E.A., Savage, K., Sundquist, E.T., Steudler, P., 2006. Effect of summer
694 throughfall exclusion, summer drought, and winter snow cover on methane fluxes in a
695 temperate forest soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 38, 1388-1395.

696 Cantarel, A.A.M., Bloor, J.M.G., Deltroy, N., Soussana, J.F., 2011. Effects of climate change
697 drivers on nitrous oxide fluxes in an upland temperate grassland. *Ecosystems* 14, 223-
698 233.

699 Castaldi, S., Fierro, A., 2005. Soil-atmosphere methane exchange in undisturbed and burned
700 Mediterranean shrubland of southern Italy. *Ecosystems* 8, 182-190.

701 Chapuis-Lardy, L., Wrage, N., Metay, A., Chotte, J.L., Bernoux, M., 2007. Soils, a sink for N₂O?
702 A review. *Global Change Biology* 13, 1-17.

703 Del Grosso, S.J., Parton, W.J., Mosier, A.R., Ojima, D.S., Potter, C.S., Boroken, W., Brumme, R.,
704 Butterbach-Bahl, K., Crill, P.M., Dobbie, K., Smith, K.A., 2000. General CH₄ oxidation
705 model and comparisons of CH₄ oxidation in natural and managed systems. *Global*
706 *Biogeochemical Cycles* 14, 999-1019.

707 Dermody, O., Weltzin, J.F., Engel, E.C., Allen, P., Norby, R.J., 2007. How do elevated [CO₂],
708 warming, and reduced precipitation interact to affect soil moisture and LAI in an old field
709 ecosystem? *Plant and Soil* 301, 255-266.

710 Dijkstra, F.A., Morgan, J.A., Lecain, D.R., Follett, R.F., 2010. Microbially mediated CH₄
711 consumption and N₂O emission is affected by elevated CO₂, soil water content, and
712 composition of semi-arid grassland species. *Plant and Soil* 329, 269-281.

713 Dubbs, L.L., Whalen, S.C., 2010. Reduced net atmospheric CH₄ consumption is a sustained
714 response to elevated CO₂ in a temperate forest. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 46, 597-606.

715 Ellermann, T., Andersen, H.V., Monies, C., Kemp, K., Bossi, R., Bügel Mogensen, B., Løfstrøm,
716 P., Christensen, J., Frohn, L.M., 2005. Atmosfærisk deposition 2004. NOVANA.
717 Danmarks Miljøundersøgelser. 76s.- Faglig rapport fra DMU, nr.555. [http://faglige-](http://faglige-rapporter.dmu.dk)
718 [rapporter.dmu.dk](http://faglige-rapporter.dmu.dk).

719 Fowler, D., Pilegaard, K., Sutton, M.A., Ambus, P., Raivonen, M., Duyzer, J., Simpson, D.,
720 Fagerli, H., Fuzzi, S., Schjoerring, J.K., Granier, C., Neftel, A., Isaksen, I.S.A., Laj, P.,
721 Maione, M., Monks, P.S., Burkhardt, J., Daemmgen, U., Neiryneck, J., Personne, E.,
722 Wichink-Kruit, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Flechard, C., Tuovinen, J.P., Coyle, M., Gerosa,

723 G., Loubet, B., Altimir, N., Gruenhage, L., Ammann, C., Cieslik, S., Paoletti, E.,
724 Mikkelsen, T.N., Ro-Poulsen, H., Cellier, P., Cape, J.N., Horvath, L., Loreto, F.,
725 Niinemets, U., Palmer, P.I., Rinne, J., Misztal, P., Nemitz, E., Nilsson, D., Pryor, S.,
726 Gallagher, M.W., Vesala, T., Skiba, U., Brueggemann, N., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.,
727 Williams, J., O'Dowd, C., Facchini, M.C., de Leeuw, G., Flossman, A., Chaumerliac, N.,
728 Erisman, J.W., 2009. Atmospheric composition change: Ecosystems-atmosphere
729 interactions. *Atmospheric Environment* 43, 5193-5267.

730 Goldberg, S.D., Gebauer, G., 2009. N₂O and NO fluxes between a Norway spruce forest soil and
731 atmosphere as affected by prolonged summer drought. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 41,
732 1986-1995.

733 Hutchinson, G.L., Davidson, E.A., 1993. Processes for production and consumption of gaseous
734 nitrogen oxides in soil. In: Harper, L.A., Mosier, A.R., Duxbury, J.M., Rolston, D.E.,
735 Peterson, G.A., Baezinger, P.S., Luxmoore, R.J., Kral, D.M., Bartels, J.M. (Eds.),
736 *Agricultural ecosystem effects on trace gases and global climate change*. American
737 Society of Agronomy, Special Publication 55, pp. 79-93.

738 Ineson, P., Coward, P.A., Hartwig, U.A., 1998. Soil gas fluxes of N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ beneath
739 *Lolium perenne* under elevated CO₂: The Swiss free air carbon dioxide enrichment
740 experiment. *Plant and Soil* 198, 89-95.

741 IPCC, 2007. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*. Contribution of Working Group
742 I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
743 Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor, M., and
744 Miller, H.L. (Eds.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New
745 York, NY, USA, 996 pp.

- 746 Kammann, C., Muller, C., Grunhage, L., Jager, H.J., 2008. Elevated CO₂ stimulates N₂O
747 emissions in permanent grassland. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 40, 2194-2205.
- 748 Kanerva, T., Regina, K., Ramo, K., Ojanpera, K., Manninen, S., 2007. Fluxes of N₂O, CH₄ and
749 CO₂ in a meadow ecosystem exposed to elevated ozone and carbon dioxide for three
750 years. *Environmental Pollution* 145, 818-828.
- 751 King, G.M., 1997. Responses of atmospheric methane consumption by soils to global climate
752 change. *Global Change Biology* 3, 351-362.
- 753 Larsen, K.S., Andresen, L.C., Beier, C., Jonasson, S., Albert, K.R., Ambus, P., Arndal, M.F.,
754 Carter, M.S., Christensen, S., Holmstrup, M., Ibrom, A., Kongstad, J., van der Linden, L.,
755 Maraldo, K., Michelsen, A., Mikkelsen, T.N., Pilegaard, K., Priemé, A., Ro-Poulsen, H.,
756 Schmidt, I.K., Selsted, M.B., Stevnbak, K., 2011. Reduced N cycling in response to
757 elevated CO₂, warming, and drought in a Danish heathland: Synthesizing results of the
758 CLIMAITE project after two years of treatments. *Global Change Biology*, in press. doi:
759 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02351.x
- 760 Le Mer, J., Roger, P., 2001. Production, oxidation, emission and consumption of methane by
761 soils: A review. *European Journal of Soil Biology* 37, 25-50.
- 762 McHale, P.J., Mitchell, M.J., Bowles, F.P., 1998. Soil warming in a northern hardwood forest:
763 trace gas fluxes and leaf litter decomposition. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 28,
764 1365-1372.
- 765 McLain, J.E.T., Ahmann, D.M., 2008. Increased moisture and methanogenesis contribute to
766 reduced methane oxidation in elevated CO₂ soils. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 44, 623-
767 631.
- 768 Mikkelsen, T.N., Beier, C., Jonasson, S., Holmstrup, M., Schmidt, I.K., Ambus, P., Pilegaard, K.,
769 Michelsen, A., Albert, K., Andresen, L.C., Arndal, M.F., Bruun, N., Christensen, S.,

770 Danbaek, S., Gundersen, P., Jorgensen, P., Linden, L.G., Kongstad, J., Maraldo, K.,
771 Prieme, A., Riis-Nielsen, T., Ro-Poulsen, H., Stevnbak, K., Selsted, M.B., Sorensen, P.,
772 Larsen, K.S., Carter, M.S., Ibrom, A., Martinussen, T., Miglietta, F., Sverdrup, H., 2008.
773 Experimental design of multifactor climate change experiments with elevated CO₂,
774 warming and drought: the CLIMAITE project. *Functional Ecology* 22, 185-195.

775 Morgan, J.A., Pataki, D.E., Korner, C., Clark, H., Del Grosso, S.J., Grunzweig, J.M., Knapp,
776 A.K., Mosier, A.R., Newton, P.C.D., Niklaus, P.A., Nippert, J.B., Nowak, R.S., Parton,
777 W.J., Polley, H.W., Shaw, M.R., 2004. Water relations in grassland and desert ecosystems
778 exposed to elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Oecologia* 140, 11-25.

779 Mosier, A.R., Morgan, J.A., King, J.Y., LeCain, D., Milchunas, D.G., 2002. Soil-atmosphere
780 exchange of CH₄, CO₂, NO_x, and N₂O in the Colorado shortgrass steppe under elevated
781 CO₂. *Plant and Soil* 240, 201-211.

782 Papen, H., Vonberg, R., Hinkel, I., Thoene, B., Rennenberg, H., 1989. Heterotrophic nitrification
783 by *alcaligenes-faecalis* - NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, N₂O, and NO production in exponentially growing
784 cultures. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 55, 2068-2072.

785 Pendall, E., Mosier, A.R., Morgan, J.A., 2004. Rhizodeposition stimulated by elevated CO₂ in a
786 semiarid grassland. *New Phytologist* 162, 447-458.

787 Peterjohn, W.T., Melillo, J.M., Steudler, P.A., Newkirk, K.M., Bowles, F.P., Aber, J.D., 1994.
788 Responses of trace gas fluxes and N availability to experimentally elevated soil
789 temperatures. *Ecological Applications* 4, 617-625.

790 Phillips, R.L., Whalen, S.C., Schlesinger, W.H., 2001a. Influence of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment
791 on methane consumption in a temperate forest soil. *Global Change Biology* 7, 557-563.

792 Phillips, R.L., Whalen, S.C., Schlesinger, W.H., 2001b. Influence of atmospheric CO₂
793 enrichment on nitrous oxide flux in a temperate forest ecosystem. *Global Biogeochemical*
794 *Cycles* 15, 741-752.

795 Prieme, A., Christensen, S., 1999. Methane uptake by a selection of soils in Ghana with different
796 land use. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 104, 23617-23622.

797 Ravishankara, A.R., Daniel, J.S., Portmann, R.W., 2009. Nitrous Oxide (N₂O): The dominant
798 ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st century. *Science* 326, 123-125.

799 Robredo, A., Perez-Lopez, U., de la Maza, H.S., Gonzalez-Moro, B., Lacuesta, M., Mena-Petite,
800 A., Munoz-Rueda, A., 2007. Elevated CO₂ alleviates the impact of drought on barley
801 improving water status by lowering stomatal conductance and delaying its effects on
802 photosynthesis. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 59, 252-263.

803 Ruser, R., Flessa, H., Russow, R., Schmidt, G., Buegger, F., Munch, J.C., 2006. Emission of
804 N₂O, N₂ and CO₂ from soil fertilized with nitrate: Effect of compaction, soil moisture and
805 rewetting. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 38, 263-274.

806 Rustad, L.E., Campbell, J.L., Marion, G.M., Norby, R.J., Mitchell, M.J., Hartley, A.E.,
807 Cornelissen, J.H.C., Gurevitch, J., 2001. A meta-analysis of the response of soil
808 respiration, net nitrogen mineralization, and aboveground plant growth to experimental
809 ecosystem warming. *Oecologia* 126, 543-562.

810 Rustad, L.E., Fernandez, I.J., 1998. Experimental soil warming effects on CO₂ and CH₄ flux from
811 a low elevation spruce-fir forest soil in Maine, USA. *Global Change Biology* 4, 597-605.

812 SAS Institute, 2003. *SAS/STAT User's Guide*, release 9.1. Statistical Analysis System Institute,
813 Cary, NC, USA.

814 Smith, K.A., Thomson, P.E., Clayton, H., McTaggart, I.P., Conen, F., 1998. Effects of
815 temperature, water content and nitrogen fertilisation on emissions of nitrous oxide by
816 soils. *Atmospheric Environment* 32, 3301-3309.

817 Stehfest, E., Bouwman, L., 2006. N₂O and NO emission from agricultural fields and soils under
818 natural vegetation: summarizing available measurement data and modeling of global
819 annual emissions. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 74, 207-228.

820 van den Pol-van Dasselaar, A., van Beusichem, M.L., Oenema, O., 1998. Effects of soil moisture
821 content and temperature on methane uptake by grasslands on sandy soils. *Plant and Soil*
822 204, 213-222.

823 Weiss, R.F., Price, B.A., 1980. Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater. *Marine Chemistry*
824 8, 347-359.

825 Wrage, N., Velthof, G.L., van Beusichem, M.L., Oenema, O., 2001. Role of nitrifier
826 denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 33, 1723-
827 1732.

828 Wuebbles, D.J., Hayhoe, K., 2002. Atmospheric methane and global change. *Earth-Science*
829 *Reviews* 57, 177-210.

Table 1. Absolute changes in soil temperature and soil moisture in response to the three main factors, elevated temperature (T), drought (D) and elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (CO₂), and significant treatment interactions during measuring campaigns in the full-factorial study

	Main factor			Interaction
	T	D	CO ₂	
Mean daytime soil temperature at 5 cm depth ($\Delta^{\circ}\text{C}$)	+ 0.39 ****	- 0.07 *	ns	CO ₂ ×T ****
Soil moisture in 0-20 cm (Δ vol%)	- 0.99 ****	- 0.85 ***	ns	CO ₂ ×D **, CO ₂ ×D×T *
Soil moisture in 20-60 cm (Δ vol%)	- 0.84 **	- 0.79 **	ns	

Significance levels are: **** for $P \leq 0.0001$; *** for $P \leq 0.001$; ** for $P \leq 0.01$; * for $P \leq 0.05$; ns for not significant.

Table 2. Parameter estimates for a repeated measures model used to investigate the influence on CH₄ fluxes ($\mu\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$) of soil temperature at 5 cm depth ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) and soil moisture in the 0-20 cm layer (vol%)

Parameter	Estimate	SE	P-value
μ	12.25	0.48	<0.0001
$\alpha(T = 0)$	-0.35	0.20	0.082
$\alpha(T = 1)$	0	.	.
$\beta(D = 0)$	0.28	0.20	0.17
$\beta(D = 1)$	0	.	.
$\gamma(\text{CO}_2 = 0)$	0.34	0.26	0.25
$\gamma(\text{CO}_2 = 1)$	0	.	.
$\delta(\text{Temp})$	0.070	0.019	0.0004
$\varepsilon(\text{Moist})$	-0.034	0.019	0.074

Model structure:

$$(-\text{CH}_4 + 110)^{0.5} = \mu + \alpha(T) + \beta(D) + \gamma(\text{CO}_2) + \delta \cdot \text{Temp} + \varepsilon \cdot \text{Moist}$$

The main factors were elevated temperature (T), drought (D) and elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations (CO₂). All two- and three-factor interactions had P-values >0.25 and were removed from the model.

831

832

833 **Figure legends**

834 Fig. 1 The arrangement of the field experiment at Brandbjerg, Denmark (a) and the layout of a
835 single block of the experiment (b). In part (a), the octagons are labelled 1 through 12, with
836 consecutive pairs belonging to the same experimental block. Octagons 2, 4, 5, 8, 10 and 12
837 received elevated CO₂ concentrations. The boardwalks are shown by solid lines and the locations
838 of the two meteorological stations are shown as M1 and M2. The two rectangles represent
839 buildings that house computers, control systems and field laboratories. In part (b), the extension
840 direction of the curtains that provide the night-time warming (T) and drought (D) treatments is
841 shown on the upper octagon. One octagon is at ambient concentrations of CO₂ while the other
842 received elevated concentrations of CO₂ from the Free Air Carbon Enrichment (FACE) system.
843

844 Fig. 2 Temporal variation in rainfall (a), soil moisture (b) and soil temperature (c) during
845 measuring campaigns in the full-factorial study. Soil moisture in the 0-20 cm and 20-60 cm soil
846 layers as well as mean daytime soil temperature at 5 cm depth were measured in the ambient
847 treatment (n=6; means ± SE). Periods of experimental drought are indicated by shaded areas.
848

849 Fig. 3 Temporal variation in CH₄ (a) and N₂O fluxes (b) during measuring campaigns in the full-
850 factorial study. Fluxes of CH₄ are presented for warmed and unwarmed treatments separately
851 (n=24; means ± SE). Fluxes of N₂O in three treatments are presented, *viz.* the ambient treatment
852 (A), the combination of drought and elevated CO₂ (DCO₂) and the combination of warming and
853 elevated CO₂ (TCO₂) (n=6; means ± SE). The remaining five treatments were left out for clarity.
854 Periods of experimental drought are indicated by shaded areas.

855

856 Fig. 4 Mean CH₄ fluxes (a) and mean N₂O fluxes (b) across measuring campaigns in the full-
857 factorial study for the ambient treatment (A) in addition to elevated CO₂ (CO₂), drought (D) and
858 elevated temperature (T) as single treatments and in all combinations (CH₄, n=54; N₂O, n=66;
859 means ± SE).

860
861 Fig. 5 Annual mean of NO₃⁻ concentrations in soil water collected at 5 cm depth in 2007 (n=6;
862 means ± SE)

863
864 Fig. 6 Potential nitrification (a) and potential denitrification (b) in soil collected in November
865 2007 in the ambient treatment (A) and in elevated CO₂ (CO₂), drought (D) and elevated
866 temperature (T) as single treatments as well as in all combinations (n=6; means ± SE). In part (a),
867 bars with same letter are not significantly different. The pair wise comparisons of the eight
868 treatments are based on differences of least squares means, and are enabled by the significant
869 CO₂×D×T interaction.

870
871 Fig. 7 Temporal variation in rainfall (a), soil moisture in 0-6 cm (b), CH₄ fluxes (c) and N₂O
872 fluxes (d) during measuring campaigns in the drought/rewetting study measured in the treatments
873 ambient (A), elevated CO₂ (CO₂), drought (D), combined drought and elevated CO₂ (DCO₂) and
874 the combination of elevated temperature, drought and CO₂ (TDCO₂) (n=6; means). Standard
875 error bars are not indicated for clarity. The mean coefficient of variation (CV) across treatments
876 and time for soil moisture, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes were 0.3, 3.0 and 3.6, respectively. The drought
877 period is indicated by shaded areas.













