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ABSTRACT: Potassium chloride, KCl, formed from biomass combustion may lead to ash deposition and corrosion problems in boilers. Sulfates are effective additives for converting KCl to the less harmful K2SO4. In the present study, the decomposition of ammonium sulfate, aluminum sulfate and ferric sulfate was studied respectively in a fast-heating rate thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA) for deriving a kinetic model. The yields of SO3 and SO2 from the decomposition were studied in a tube reactor, revealing that the ratio of the SO3/SO2 released varied for different sulfate and for ammonium sulfate the ratio was affected by the decomposition temperature. Based on the experimental data, a model was proposed to simulate the sulfation of KCl by different sulfate addition, and the simulation results were compared with pilot-scale experiments conducted in a bubbling fluidized bed reactor. The simulation results of ammonium sulfate addition and ferric sulfate addition compared favorably with the experimental results. However, the model for aluminum sulfate addition under-predicted significantly the high sulfation degree of KCl observed in the experiments, possibly because of an under-estimation of the decomposition rate of aluminum. Under the boiler conditions of the present work, the simulation results suggested that the desirable temperature for the ferric sulfate injection was around 950-900°C, whereas for ammonium sulfate the preferable injection temperature was below 800°C.
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1 INTRODUCTION

One of the major technical challenges associated with the use of biomass through combustion technologies is that biomass combustion may result in a considerable amount of alkali chlorides in the flue gas and subsequently lead to severe deposition and corrosion problems in the boiler [1-6]. In order to mitigate the alkali chloride-induced problems, a feasible method is to use additives to convert the alkali chlorides to the less harmful species [7]. Sulfates, including ammonium sulfate, aluminum sulfate and ferric sulfate, have been proven to be very effective in converting the alkali chlorides to the less harmful alkali sulfates [8-10]. The high effectiveness of the sulfate additives are mainly related to the SO3 released during their thermal decomposition, which reacts with alkali chlorides efficiently [11,12]. However, despite the presence of extensive experimental investigations on the use of sulfate additives under different boiler conditions [8-10], fundamental studies on the decomposition rate and products of sulfate additives are scarce. In relation to this, no modeling work has been carried out to describe the sulfation of alkali chlorides by sulfate addition during biomass combustion. The lack of such knowledge generally limits the optimal use of the sulfate additives.

The objectives of this study were to understand the decomposition behavior of ammonium sulfate, aluminum sulfate and ferric sulfate under boiler conditions, and to develop a model to describe the sulfation of KCl by sulfate addition during biomass combustion. The decomposition of the sulfates was studied respectively in a fast-heating rate thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA) and a tube reactor. The model developed in this work involved a description of sulfate decomposition, a detailed gas-phase kinetic model for sulfation of potassium chloride based on [12], and a simplified model for homogeneous and heterogeneous nucleation of potassium sulfate [13]. The simulation results of sulfate addition were compared with the experimental results from biomass combustion on a pilot-scale bubbling fluidized bed reactor where ammonium sulfate, aluminum sulfate and ferric sulfate was injected respectively to the freeboard of the reactor. Besides, the effectiveness of different sulfate was compared through simulation.

2 EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Fast heating rate TGA

Thermal decomposition of ammonium sulfate, aluminum sulfate and ferric sulfate was studied respectively in a fast heating rate Netzsch STA 449 F1 Jupiter thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA). The experiments were carried out under N2 atmosphere, using pure and dehydrated sulfates. During the experiments, the sulfate was heated at a rate of 500°C/min to an end temperature, and then kept isothermally. The mass loss during the isothermal period was recorded and used to derive the kinetic parameters of sulfate thermal decomposition. For the different sulfate, the end temperatures applied in the experiments differed. For the experiments of ammonium sulfate, the end temperatures were chosen to be in a range of 200–350°C. For the ferric sulfate experiments, the end temperatures varied from 600°C to 800°C. For the aluminum sulfate experiments, the range of the end temperatures was 700–800°C.

2.2 Laboratory-scale tube reactor

In order to study the yields of SO2 and SO3 from the thermal decomposition of ammonium sulfate, aluminum sulfate and ferric sulfate, experiments were conducted in a laboratory-scale tube reactor. The principles of the reactor and the experiments have been described in detail in our early work [14,15]. In the reactor, the decomposition of sulfate was carried out under well-defined temperature and flow conditions (5 Nl/min N2), and the SO2 released were analyzed continuously by an IR-based gas analyzer. Based on the analyzed SO2
concentrations over time, a fractional conversion of the sulfur in ferric sulfate to \( \text{SO}_2 \) at the given temperature condition was calculated. The remaining sulfur in ferric sulfate is assumed to be released as \( \text{SO}_3 \). The temperature range studied was 500–1000\(^\circ\text{C}\), where the conversion of \( \text{SO}_3 \) to \( \text{SO}_2 \) is expected to be negligible.

### 2.3 Bubbling fluidized bed pilot reactor

Pilot-scale experiments were conducted in the 20 kW bubbling fluidized bed (BFB) reactor at the VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland. The fuel used in the experiments was a mixture of 60% (energy basis) bark and 40% (energy basis) refuse derived fuel (RDF) comprised mainly of packaging waste from households and industry. The properties of the fuel mixture are given in Table I. The additives used in the experiments were ammonium sulfate, aluminum sulfate, and ferric sulfate aqueous solutions.

#### Table I: Properties of the fuel mixture of 60% (energy basis) bark and 40% RDF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Fuel mixture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>(wt%, ar)</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatiles</td>
<td>(wt%, db)</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash 815(^\circ\text{C})</td>
<td>(wt%, db)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash 550(^\circ\text{C})</td>
<td>(wt%, db)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>(wt%, db)</td>
<td>52.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>(wt%, db)</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>(wt%, db)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>(wt%, db)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>(wt%, db)</td>
<td>0.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>(wt%, db)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>(wt%, db)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>(wt%, db)</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHV</td>
<td>(MJ/kg, db)</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the bubbling fluidized bed reactor [9].

A schematic diagram of the BFB reactor is shown in Figure 1. During the experiments, the fuel was fed into the bed with screw-type feeder. The ratio between the primary (fluidizing), second and tertiary air was 50.30:20. The fuel feeding rate was kept at 2.48 kg/h (dry basis), and the total air feeding rate was 278 Nl/min. The measured (by thermocouples) temperature distribution as a function of the residence time in the reactor is shown in Figure 2. It can be seen that the freeboard temperature varied, with the highest temperatures measured close to the secondary and tertiary air inlets.

The sulfate additive was sprayed co-currently to the upper part of the freeboard through a nozzle. The residence time corresponding to the additive injection position was 5.8 s, as illustrated in Figure 2. The mean droplet size of the spray was about 10 \( \mu\text{m} \). The amount of the additive injected was determined based on the fuel Cl content and feeding rate. The molar ratio of \( S_{\text{additive}}/\text{Cl}_{\text{fuel}} \) was chosen to be 0.225 and 0.75 respectively for the different sulfate.

The aerosols generated in the reactor were collected by a low pressure impactor at flue gas temperatures of about 650\(^\circ\text{C}\). The compositions of the aerosols (<4 \( \mu\text{m} \)) were analyzed by ion chromatography (IC) for the Cl and S content and by flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (FAAS) for the alkali content.

#### 3 MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND SIMULATION

##### 3.1 Deposition of sulfate

The decomposition of sulfate is assumed to be kinetically controlled and follow the volumetric reaction model:

\[
\frac{dW}{dt} = -kW
\]

where \( W \) is the relative mass of the anhydrous ferric sulfate defined as:

\[
W = \frac{m - m_b}{m_f - m_b}
\]

where \( m_b \) (g) is the mass of the anhydrous sulfate before decomposition, \( m_f \) (g) is the mass of the residue after complete decomposition, and \( m \) (g) is the mass of anhydrous sulfate at \( t \) (s) during decomposition.

The rate constant \( k \) in Eq. 1 follows an Arrhenius expression:

\[
k = A \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right)
\]
where \( A (s^{-1}) \) is the pre-exponential factor, \( E \) (kJ/mol) the activation energy, \( R \) (kJ/mol/K) the gas constant, and \( T \) (K) the temperature of the particle.

The activation energy \( (E) \) and the pre-exponential factor \( (A) \) in Eq. 3 are derived from the TGA experiments. As shown in Figure 3, during the isothermal periods of the TGA experiments, a high linearity is generally seen between \( \ln(k) \) and \( 1/T \), indicating that Eq. 3 can satisfactorily describe the decomposition of the sulfates. Besides, it is also shown that the decomposition rate of sulfates studied in this work generally follows an order of ammonium sulfate > ferric sulfate > aluminum sulfate. The activation energy \( (E) \) and the pre-exponential factor \( (A) \) derived from Figure 3 for different sulfates are given in Table II.

### Table II: Activation energy and pre-exponential factor derived from Figure 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sulfate Type</th>
<th>( E/R ) (K)</th>
<th>( A ) (s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium sulfate</td>
<td>13042</td>
<td>9.15E+06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferric sulfate</td>
<td>28480</td>
<td>1.08E+11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum sulfate</td>
<td>38782</td>
<td>8.70E+12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the decomposition of ammonium sulfate, the yields of \( \text{SO}_2 \) increase almost linearly with increasing temperatures (see Figure 4). Therefore, in the modeling, a linear correlation shown as in the dash line in Figure 4 is adopted to simulate the yields of \( \text{SO}_2 \) from ammonium sulfate decomposition at different temperatures, and the remaining sulfur is assumed to be released as \( \text{SO}_3 \). The global decomposition reaction for ammonium sulfate can be written as:

\[
(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow 2\text{NH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + (1 - x)\text{SO}_3 + x\text{SO}_2
\]

where \( x \) is the \( S \) conversion to \( \text{SO}_3 \) shown in Figure 4, which is dependent on the decomposition temperature of ammonium sulfate.

#### 3.2 Detailed chemical kinetic model

Detailed chemical kinetic model is applied to describe the gas-phase reactions of the potassium, chlorine and sulfur species. The gas phase kinetic model used in this study was based on the reaction mechanism proposed by Hindiyarti et al [12], with updated the thermodynamic properties of the species KO2.

#### 3.3 Condensation of sulfate

For homogeneous and heterogeneous condensation of potassium sulfate, a first order reaction as used by Li et al. [13] is chosen to describe the processes:

\[
K_2\text{SO}_4(g) \rightarrow K_2\text{SO}_4(s)
\]

The rate constant for the reaction above, which represents the condensation rate predicted from aerosol theory [16], is:

\[
k_{\text{condensation}} = 1 \times 10^{43} \exp(150000/T)
\]

#### 3.4 Simulation of the BFB reactor

In order to simulate the BFB experiments, the region from the sulfate injection location to the impactor sampling location (see Figure 2) was simulated as an ideal plug flow reactor. The simulation was carried out with CHEMKIN 4.1.1, using the plug-flow reactor model. The temperature profile used in the simulation was predefined based on the profile shown in Figure 2.

The amount and composition of the inlet flue gas were estimated based on the experiment without additive injection. The flue gas was assumed to contain only \( \text{N}_2 \), \( \text{O}_2 \), \( \text{CO}_2 \), \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \), \( \text{SO}_2 \), \( \text{HCl} \) and \( \text{KCl} \). The concentrations of \( \text{N}_2 \), \( \text{O}_2 \), \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) were obtained by assuming that the fuel was fully converted in the reactor. The concentration of \( \text{KCl} \) was derived based on the amount of Cl in the
aerosols collected during the experiment without additive injection. Although the Cl collected in the aerosols contained both KCl and NaCl, in the simulation we assumed that the Cl found in the aerosols was only comprised of KCl. This assumption is believed to be reasonable since the molar ratio of KCl found in the aerosols was about 0.9, suggesting that KCl was dominated over NaCl. Besides, the sulfation rate of NaCl would be similar to that of KCl under boiler conditions. The HCl concentration in the flue gas was calculated by performing mass balance calculation on Cl, based on the amount of input fuel-Cl and the Cl collected as aerosols. Similarly, the SO3 concentration was obtained from the mass balance calculation of S, according to the input fuel-S and the S collected as aerosols.

For the experiments with additive injection, a pseudo species, SO3*, which produces SO2, SO3 and O2 upon decomposition (other gas/solid residues such as NH3, Fe2O3 and Al2O3 were not considered in the simulation), was defined to simulate the additive in CHEMKIN. The kinetic parameters for sulfate decomposition were based on Table II, and the product distribution was defined based on Eq. 4-6.

From the simulation results, the mass flow of Cl in the aerosols was obtained by assuming that all of the KCl and K,Cl2 found at the outlet of the reactor (i.e. the aerosol sampling location) were collected as aerosols.

3.5 Simulation for sulfate comparison

For comparing the effectiveness of the different sulfates and SO4, simulation was carried out by using a temperature profile shown in Figure 5, which was estimated based on the cooling rate of the BFB reactor. The simulation was conducted with different sulfate additive and different additive injection temperature, as illustrated in Figure 5. The flue gas composition used in the simulation was the same as that used for simulating the BFB experiments, and the molar ratio of S\textsubscript{additive}/Cl\textsubscript{fuel} was kept at 0.5.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5:** Temperature profile used for comparing the effectiveness of different sulfate and SO4. The solid symbols denote the different additive injection temperature/position used in the simulation.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Ammonium sulfate addition in the BFB reactor

Figure 6 compares the experimental and simulated mass flow of Cl (mg/Nm3) in the aerosols during ammonium sulfate addition in the BFB reactor. It can be seen that the model generally captures the experimental results well. The mass flow of Cl in the aerosols is slightly under-predicted by the model, implying a slight over-prediction of the effectiveness of ammonium sulfate addition on KCl sulfation. This is mostly like related to an over-estimation of the release of SO3 from ammonium sulfate decomposition, which may be induced by the relative large experimental errors shown in Figure 4.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6:** Comparison of the experimental and simulated mass flow of Cl (mg/Nm3) in the aerosols during ammonium sulfate addition under different molar ratios of S\textsubscript{additive}/Cl\textsubscript{fuel}.

The distribution of the S and Cl species in the simulation zone during ammonium sulfate addition (S\textsubscript{additive}/Cl\textsubscript{fuel}=0.75) is shown in Figure 7. It is seen that under the conditions of the BFB boiler, the decomposition of ammonium sulfate (denoted as SO3*) is completed almost instantaneously (~30 ms) after injection, forming both SO2 and SO3. The concentration of SO3 becomes constant when the ammonium sulfate is completely decomposed, implying a negligible further conversion of SO3 to SO2. In the residence time of 0-1.5s, the sulfation of KCl appears to be thermodynamically constrained, reflected both by the small amount of K2SO4 formed, and the simultaneous presence of the reactive SO3, KHSO4 and KCl. In the residence time of about 1.5-2s, a rapid conversion of KCl is observed, which is accompanied by the formation of a considerable amount K2SO4 (s). This is mainly due to the occurrence of homogeneous and heterogeneous condensation of K2SO4 in the temperature range of 790-750 °C, which removes the gaseous K2SO4 and promotes the following reactions of SO3, KHSO4 and KCl [11]:

\[
KCl + SO3(+M) = (KO)S(O)Cl(+M) \tag{9}
\]

\[
(KO)S(O)Cl + H2O = KHSO4 + HCl \tag{10}
\]
\[ KHSO_4 + KC_1 = K_2SO_4 + HCl \] (11)

4.2 Ferric sulfate addition in the BFB reactor

A comparison of the experimental and simulated mass flow of Cl (mg/Nm\(^3\)) in the aerosols during ferric sulfate addition in the BFB reactor is shown in Figure 8. The simulation results are in good agreement with the experimental results. Both the experimental and simulation results indicate a rather complete sulfation of KCl under a \( S_{ads}/Cl_{fuel} \) ratio of 0.75.

![Figure 8: Comparison of the experimental and simulated mass flow of Cl (mg/Nm\(^3\)) in the aerosols during ferric sulfate addition under different molar ratios of \( S_{ads}/Cl_{fuel} \).](image)

The distribution of the S and Cl species from the simulation of ferric sulfate is shown in Figure 9. It can be seen that the decomposition of ferric sulfate (denoted as \( SO_4^* \)) is much slower than that of ammonium sulfate. Approximately 15% of the ferric sulfate remains unconverted at the outlet of the reactor. However, due to the high \( S_{ads}/Cl_{fuel} \) molar ratio (0.75) and the significant release of \( SO_3 \) from ferric sulfate decomposition, the KCl in the flue gas is still fully converted to \( K_2SO_4 \). The conversion of KCl takes place primarily in the temperature range of 790-750°C, which is similar to that of ammonium sulfate addition.

![Figure 9: Concentration profiles of the major S and Cl species during the simulation of ferric sulfate addition under a \( S_{ads}/Cl_{fuel} \) ratio of 0.75.](image)

4.3 Aluminum sulfate addition in the BFB reactor

Figure 10 presents a comparison of the experimental and simulated mass flow of Cl (mg/Nm\(^3\)) in the aerosols during aluminum sulfate addition in the BFB reactor. It appears that the model under-predicts significantly the effect of aluminum sulfate addition, resulting in a much higher mass flow of Cl in the aerosols than that obtained experimentally. According to the simulation results, only less than 2% of the aluminum sulfate is decomposed under the conditions of the BFB reactor, which leads to a very low sulfation degree of the KCl in the flue gas. However, if the decomposition rate of aluminum sulfate is increased by 100 times, a reasonable good agreement is achieved between the experimental and simulation results (see the dotted line in Figure 10). Therefore, it is most likely that the decomposition rate of aluminum sulfate is under predicted. Compared to the BFB experiments where the aluminum sulfate is injected as liquid spray and is probably dried to nano-size particles before decomposition [9], the particle size of the aluminum sulfate used in the fast-heating rate TGA experiments is much larger. The difference in particle size may result in slower decomposition rate in the fast-heating rate TGA experiments. However, further investigations are needed in order to fully understand the decomposition behavior of aluminum sulfate under boiler conditions, which is outside the scope of this preliminary study.

![Figure 10: Comparison of the experimental and simulated mass flow of Cl (mg/Nm\(^3\)) in the aerosols during aluminum sulfate addition under different molar ratios of \( S_{ads}/Cl_{fuel} \). The dotted line shows the simulation results based on a decomposition rate 100 times higher than that estimated from the TGA experiments.](image)

4.4 Comparison of the effectiveness of sulfate

Figure 11 shows a comparison of the effectiveness of ferric sulfate, ammonium sulfate and \( SO_3 \) under different injection temperature conditions based on the temperature profile in Figure 5. The \( S_{ads}/Cl_{fuel} \) molar ratio was kept at 0.5.

![Figure 11: Comparison of the simulated effectiveness of ferric sulfate, ammonium sulfate and \( SO_3 \) under different injection temperatures according to the profile shown in Figure 5.](image)
effectiveness for ferric sulfate addition is achieved when it is injected at around 900-950°C, resulting in an almost complete destruction of the KCl in the flue gas. When the injection temperature is increased (e.g. 1100°C), the effectiveness of ferric sulfate is decreased due to a more pronounced dissociate of SO3 to SO2 at higher temperatures. However, when the injection temperature is decreased (e.g. 800°C), only a small fraction of ferric sulfate can be decomposed under the residence time conditions of Figure 5, which also limits the effectiveness significantly.

The effectiveness of ammonium sulfate appears to increase with decreasing injection temperature. This is mainly because that more SO3 is released when the decomposition of ammonium sulfate takes place at lower temperatures. Under a $S_{\text{additive}}/Cl_{\text{KCl}}$ molar ratio of 0.5, the KCl is almost totally sulfated when the ammonium sulfate is injected between 800-700°C. The high effectiveness of ammonium sulfate in this temperature range is mainly related to its fast decomposition rate and the significant release of SO3 (see Figure 4).

The simulated effectiveness of pure SO3 injection is also shown in Figure 11. It is seen that at high injection temperatures (e.g. 1100°C), the effectiveness of SO3 is similar to that of ammonium sulfate and ferric sulfate, due to the rapid dissociation rate of SO3. However, when injected below 1000°C, a complete sulfation of the KCl can be achieved under the simulation conditions. This is partly related to the large $S_{\text{additive}}/Cl_{\text{KCl}}$ molar ratio (0.5) used in the simulation, which greatly exceed the stoichiometric ratio ($S_{\text{additive}}/Cl_{\text{KCl}}=0.19$) calculated based on the amount of KCl found in the flue gas.

5 CONCLUSION

The results of the present study showed that the yields of SO3 from ferric sulfate and aluminum sulfate decomposition was almost independent of the decomposition temperature (up to 900°C), with ferric sulfate constantly producing about 40% SO3 and aluminum sulfate generating about 85% SO3. For ammonium sulfate, the yields of SO3 decreased with increasing decomposition temperature. The models developed for KCl sulfation by ferric sulfate and ammonium sulfate addition captured the experimental results obtained in a bubbling fluidized bed (BFB) reactor well. However, the model for aluminum sulfate addition predicted a very low sulfation degree of KCl, which conflicted significantly with the high effectiveness of aluminum sulfate observed in the BFB experiments. This discrepancy is most likely related to an under-estimation of the decomposition rate of aluminum sulfate, which requires further investigations. Comparison of the effectiveness of ammonium sulfate and ferric sulfate through simulation suggested that under the boiler conditions similar to the present study, the desirable temperature for the injection of ferric sulfate was around 950-900°C, whereas for ammonium sulfate the preferable injection temperature was below 800°C.
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