



Grinberg's Criterion Applied to Some Non-Planar Graphs

Chia, G. L.; Thomassen, Carsten

Published in:
Ars Combinatoria

Publication date:
2011

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Chia, G. L., & Thomassen, C. (2011). Grinberg's Criterion Applied to Some Non-Planar Graphs. *Ars Combinatoria*, 100, 3-7.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Grinberg's Criterion Applied to Some Non-Planar Graphs

G.L. Chia^a and Carsten Thomassen^b

^a*Institute of Mathematical Sciences, University Malaya,
50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*

^b*Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Denmark,
DK-2800, Lyngby, Denmark*

Abstract

Robertson ([5]) and independently, Bondy ([1]) proved that the generalized Petersen graph $P(n, 2)$ is non-hamiltonian if $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, while Thomason [7] proved that it has precisely 3 hamiltonian cycles if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$. The hamiltonian cycles in the remaining generalized Petersen graphs were enumerated by Schwenk [6]. In this note we give a short unified proof of these results using Grinberg's theorem.

A celebrated result of Grinberg (see [4]) concerning planar hamiltonian graphs states that if a planar graph G has a hamiltonian cycle C which partitions its f_i faces of degree i into f'_i (respectively f''_i) faces of degree i in the interior (respectively exterior) of C , then

$$\sum_{i \geq 3} (i - 2)(f'_i - f''_i) = 0.$$

If there is precisely one natural number i not congruent to $2 \pmod{3}$ such that $f_i > 0$, then Grinberg's equation cannot be satisfied, and hence the graph is non-hamiltonian. But even if the equation can be satisfied, it is still possible, in special cases, to use the criterion to prove that a graph is non-hamiltonian. Thus Thomassen [8] used the criterion to describe an infinite class of cubic planar hypohamiltonian graphs (all of which have a face partition that satisfies Grinberg's equation), and also the Tutte graph can be shown to be non-hamiltonian using the Grinberg criterion, see for example, [2] p.166 and [3] Chapter 6. In this note we apply the criterion to a class of non-hamiltonian graphs, namely some generalized Petersen graphs.

Suppose n and k are two integers such that $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $n \geq 5$. The generalized Petersen graph $P(n, k)$ is defined to have vertex-set $\{u_i, v_i : i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and edge-set $\{u_i u_{i+1}, u_i v_i, v_i v_{i+k} : i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ with subscripts reduced modulo } n\}$.

Let F_m denote the m -th Fibonacci number defined by $F_1 = F_2 = 1$, and $F_m = F_{m-1} + F_{m-2}$ for $m > 2$. It is easy to prove, by induction on n , that the number of matchings (including the empty matching) of the path with n vertices is F_{n+1} . Hence the number of matchings of the cycle with n vertices is $F_{n+1} + F_{n-1}$.

Theorem 1 *Let n be a natural number, $n \geq 5$. Then*

- (i) ([1], [5]) $P(n, 2)$ is non-hamiltonian if $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$,
- (ii) ([7]) $P(n, 2)$ has precisely three hamiltonian cycles if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, and
- (iii) ([6]) the number of hamiltonian cycles in $P(n, 2)$ is

$$\begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{6} \\ 2(F_{\frac{n}{2}+1} + F_{\frac{n}{2}-1} - 1) & \text{if } n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{6} \\ n + 2(F_{\frac{n}{2}+1} + F_{\frac{n}{2}-1} - 1) & \text{if } n \equiv 4 \pmod{6} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Assume first that $n \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{6}$ and $n \geq 5$.

If the two edges $u_0 u_1$ and $v_0 v_2$ are deleted from $P(n, 2)$, the result is a planar graph whose face-degree sequence is $(5, \dots, 5, \frac{n+5}{2}, \frac{n+7}{2})$ (see Figure 1) and hence is non-hamiltonian by Grinberg's criterion because when $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $\frac{n+5}{2}$ and $\frac{n+7}{2}$ are $1, 2 \pmod{3}$ respectively while when $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, $\frac{n+5}{2}$ and $\frac{n+7}{2}$ are $2, 0 \pmod{3}$ respectively.

This means that

(*) if $P(n, 2)$ has a hamiltonian cycle C , then C must contain at least one of the edges $u_0 u_1, v_0 v_2$.

Assume now that C is a hamiltonian cycle of $P(n, 2)$. Since C cannot contain all the edges of the inner cycle $v_0 v_2 v_4 \dots v_{n-2} v_0$, we may assume that $v_0 v_2$ is not an edge in C . But then this implies that the paths $v_{n-2} v_0 u_0$ and $u_2 v_2 v_4$ must be part of C .

Since $v_0 v_2$ is not an edge in C , the observation (*) implies that $u_0 u_1$ is an edge in C . By symmetry, $u_1 u_2$ is also an edge in C . This follows because there is an automorphism (the reflection fixing u_1, v_1) of $P(n, 2)$ which interchanges between the edges $u_1 u_0, u_2 u_1$ and keeps $v_0 v_2$ fixed. ("Reflection" here refers to the standard drawing of $P(n, k)$ where the vertices u_0, u_1, \dots and also the vertices v_0, v_1, \dots form convex n -gons.) Hence C contains the




Figure 1: $P(n, 2)$ with u_0u_1 and v_0v_2 deleted, $n \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{6}$

path $v_{n-2}v_0u_0u_1u_2v_2v_4$. So C also contains the path $v_{n-1}v_1v_3u_3u_4$ and therefore C does not contain the edge v_3v_5 . Summarizing, we have proved that if a hamiltonian cycle C does not contain the edge v_0v_2 , then C does not contain the edge v_3v_5 either. By repeating this argument with v_3v_5 instead of v_0v_2 , we conclude that C does not contain the edge v_6v_8 either. In fact C does not contain any of the edges $v_0v_2, v_3v_5, v_6v_8, v_9v_{11}, \dots$. Since C must contain some edge of the inner cycle $v_0v_2v_4 \cdots v_{n-2}v_0$, we conclude that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Hence $P(n, 2)$ has no hamiltonian cycle if $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. In the case that $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, the argument eventually leads to a unique hamiltonian cycle which can be rotated to yield precisely three hamiltonian cycles.

Assume next that $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. Then Grinberg's equation is satisfied but only if the two faces of degrees $\frac{n+5}{2}$ and $\frac{n+7}{2}$ are both in the interior (or exterior) of the hamiltonian cycle on the resulting graph of Fig. 1. This is possible only if the edge v_1v_{n-1} is not contained in the hamiltonian cycle. Assume that C' is such a hamiltonian cycle. Then it is easy to see (from Fig. 1) that the paths $v_{n-3}v_{n-1}u_{n-1}u_0v_0v_{n-2}u_{n-2}u_{n-3}$ and $v_4v_2u_2u_1v_1v_3u_3u_4$ must be part of C' . There is a unique hamiltonian cycle containing these paths which can be rotated to yield n hamiltonian cycles. If it is not possible to obtain a hamiltonian cycle by deleting a pair u_iu_{i+1}, v_iv_{i+2} , then we get a contradiction as in the case when $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$.

When $n \geq 6$ is even, $P(n, 2)$ is a planar graph. Again, the above method can be applied. Note that $(*)$ cannot be satisfied for each pair u_iu_{i+1}, v_iv_{i+2} and also for each pair u_iu_{i-1}, v_iv_{i-2} because the argument in the case $n \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{6}$ would lead to a 2-factor consisting of two

cycles rather than a hamiltonian cycle. So there exists some pair of edges $u_i u_{i+1}, v_i v_{i+2}$ (or some pair $u_i u_{i-1}, v_i v_{i-2}$), say $u_0 u_1, v_0 v_2$, which is avoided by some hamiltonian cycle.

Draw $P(n, 2)$ in the plane such that the $\frac{n}{2}$ -cycle $v_1 v_3 \dots v_{n-1} v_1$ is the outer face boundary. In this case, the face-degree sequence of the resulting graph (after deleting the edges $u_0 u_1$ and $v_0 v_2$) is $(5, \dots, 5, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + 6)$ and Grinberg's equation is satisfied. For this to be possible, any hamiltonian cycle must contain the edge $v_1 v_{n-1}$ (which is common to both the $\frac{n}{2}$ -face and the $(\frac{n}{2} + 6)$ -face) unless $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$.

Assume first that $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{6}$. Then for any even integer $0 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$, whenever the pair of edges $v_i v_{i+2}, u_i u_{i+1}$ is deleted, then the paths $u_{i-1} u_i v_i v_{i-2}, v_{i-1} v_{i+1} u_{i+1} u_{i+2} v_{i+2} v_{i+4}$ and $u_{i+4} u_{i+3} v_{i+3} v_{i+5}$ must be part of the hamiltonian cycle. We now follow the hamiltonian cycle along the path $v_{i+2} v_{i+4} \dots$. If we never use an edge $v_j u_j$ there is a unique way to continue the hamiltonian cycle. On the other hand, the first time we use an edge $v_j u_j$ we repeat the previous configuration with j instead of i . Those edges of the cycle $v_0 v_2 \dots v_0$ which are not in the hamiltonian cycle clearly form a matching. On the other hand, whenever we specify a matching on this cycle, there is a unique hamiltonian cycle which avoids this matching and also avoids edges of the form $u_i u_{i+1}, v_i v_{i+2}$. Therefore the number of hamiltonian cycles in $P(n, 2)$ avoiding some pairs of edges of the form $u_i u_{i+1}, v_i v_{i+2}$ is $F_{\frac{n}{2}+1} + F_{\frac{n}{2}-1} - 1$. Note that for any such hamiltonian cycle, (*) fails for the pairs $u_i u_{i+1}, v_i v_{i+2}$ but holds for the pairs $u_i u_{i-1}, v_i v_{i-2}$.

By symmetry (more precisely, by taking the cycle $u_1 u_2 \dots u_n u_1$ in its reverse order) the number of hamiltonian cycles in $P(n, 2)$ avoiding pairs of edges of the form $u_i u_{i-1}, v_i v_{i-2}$ is also $F_{\frac{n}{2}+1} + F_{\frac{n}{2}-1} - 1$. Thus the total number of hamiltonian cycles in $P(n, 2)$ is $2(F_{\frac{n}{2}+1} + F_{\frac{n}{2}-1} - 1)$ in the case $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{6}$.

The reason that we have counted all hamiltonian cycles is that (*) fails either for the pairs $u_i u_{i+1}, v_i v_{i+2}$ or for the pairs $u_i u_{i-1}, v_i v_{i-2}$, as noted above.

For $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, there are two types of hamiltonian cycles, namely the $2(F_{\frac{n}{2}+1} + F_{\frac{n}{2}-1} - 1)$ hamiltonian cycles which we have already counted, and also the unique hamiltonian cycle that avoids $u_0 u_1, v_0 v_2, v_1 v_{n-1}$, and those which can be obtained from this hamiltonian cycle by rotation and reflection. There are n hamiltonian cycles of the latter type. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] J.A. BONDY, Variations on the hamiltonian theme, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **15** (1972) 57-62.
- [2] M. CAPOBIANCO AND J.C. MOLLUZZO, “*Examples and Counterexamples in Graph Theory*”, North-Holland, (1978) New York.
- [3] G. CHARTAND AND L.LESNIAK, “*Graphs and Digraphs*”, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 4th Edition (2005), New York.
- [4] E. GRINBERG, Plane homogeneous graphs of degree three without Hamiltonian circuits, *Latvian Math. Yearbook, Izdat. Zinatne Riga* **4** (1968) 51-58 [Russian, Latvian and English summaries.]
- [5] G.N. ROBERTSON, “*Graphs under Girth, Valency, and Connectivity Constraints*”, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, 1968.
- [6] A.J. SCHWENK, Enumeration of Hamiltonian cycles in certain generalized Petersen graphs, *J. Combinat. Theory B* **47** (1989) 53-59.
- [7] A.G. THOMASON, Cubic graphs with three hamiltonian cycles are not always uniquely edge-colorable, *J. Graph Theory* **6** (1982) 219-221.
- [8] C. THOMASSEN, Planar cubic hypohamiltonian and hypotraceable graphs, *J. Combinat. Theory B* **30** (1981) 36-44.
- [9] W.T. TUTTE, On Hamiltonian circuits, *J. London Math. Soc.* **21** (1946) 98-101.