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#### Abstract

Robertson ([5]) and independently, Bondy ([1]) proved that the generalized Petersen graph $P(n, 2)$ is non-hamiltonian if $n \equiv 5(\bmod$ 6 ), while Thomason [7] proved that it has precisely 3 hamiltonian cycles if $n \equiv 3(\bmod 6)$. The hamiltonian cycles in the remaining generalized Petersen graphs were enumerated by Schwenk [6]. In this note we give a short unified proof of these results using Grinberg's theorem.


A celebrated result of Grinberg (see [4]) concerning planar hamiltonian graphs states that if a planar graph $G$ has a hamiltonian cycle $C$ which partitions its $f_{i}$ faces of degree $i$ into $f_{i}^{\prime}$ (respectively $f_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ ) faces of degree $i$ in the interior (respectively exterior) of $C$, then

$$
\sum_{i \geq 3}(i-2)\left(f_{i}^{\prime}-f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0
$$

If there is precisely one natural number $i$ not congruent to $2(\bmod 3)$ such that $f_{i}>0$, then Grinberg's equation cannot be satisfied, and hence the graph is non-hamiltonian. But even if the equation can be satisfied, it is still possible, in special cases, to use the criterion to prove that a graph is non-hamiltonian. Thus Thomassen [8] used the criterion to describe an infinite class of cubic planar hypohamiltonian graphs (all of which have a face partition that satisfies Grinberg's equation), and also the Tutte graph can be shown to be non-hamiltonian using the Grinberg criterion, see for example, [2] p. 166 and [3] Chapter 6. In this note we apply the criterion to a class of non-hamiltonian graphs, namely some generalized Petersen graphs.

Suppose $n$ and $k$ are two integers such that $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $n \geq 5$. The generalized Petersen graph $P(n, k)$ is defined to have vertex-set $\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}\right.$ : $i=0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and edge-set $\left\{u_{i} u_{i+1}, u_{i} v_{i}, v_{i} v_{i+k}: i=0,1, \ldots, n-1\right.$ with subscripts reduced modulo $n\}$.

Let $F_{m}$ denote the $m$-th Fibonacci number defined by $F_{1}=F_{2}=1$, and $F_{m}=F_{m-1}+F_{m-2}$ for $m>2$. It is easy to prove, by induction on $n$, that the number of matchings (including the empty matching) of the path with $n$ vertices is $F_{n+1}$. Hence the number of matchings of the cycle with $n$ vertices is $F_{n+1}+F_{n-1}$.

Theorem 1 Let $n$ be a natural number, $n \geq 5$. Then
(i) ([1], [5]) $P(n, 2)$ is non-hamiltonian if $n \equiv 5(\bmod 6)$,
(ii) ([7]) $P(n, 2)$ has precisely three hamiltonian cycles if $n \equiv 3(\bmod$ 6), and
(iii) ([6]) the number of hamiltonian cycles in $P(n, 2)$ is

$$
\begin{cases}n & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 6) \\ 2\left(F_{\frac{n}{2}+1}+F_{\frac{n}{2}-1}-1\right) & \text { if } n \equiv 0,2(\bmod 6) \\ n+2\left(F_{\frac{n}{2}+1}+F_{\frac{n}{2}-1}-1\right) & \text { if } n \equiv 4(\bmod 6)\end{cases}
$$

Proof: Assume first that $n \equiv 3,5(\bmod 6)$ and $n \geq 5$.
If the two edges $u_{0} u_{1}$ and $v_{0} v_{2}$ are deleted from $P(n, 2)$, the result is a planar graph whose face-degree sequence is $\left(5, \ldots, 5, \frac{n+5}{2}, \frac{n+7}{2}\right.$ ) (see Figure 1) and hence is non-hamiltonian by Grinberg's criterion because when $n \equiv 3(\bmod 6), \frac{n+5}{2}$ and $\frac{n+7}{2}$ are $1,2(\bmod 3)$ respectively while when $n \equiv 5(\bmod 6), \frac{n+5}{2}$ and $\frac{n+7}{2}$ are $2,0(\bmod 3)$ respectively.

This means that
(*) if $P(n, 2)$ has a hamiltonian cycle $C$, then $C$ must contain at least one of the edges $u_{0} u_{1}, v_{0} v_{2}$.

Assume now that $C$ is a hamiltonian cycle of $P(n, 2)$. Since $C$ cannot contain all the edges of the inner cycle $v_{0} v_{2} v_{4} \cdots v_{n-2} v_{0}$, we may assume that $v_{0} v_{2}$ is not an edge in $C$. But then this implies that the paths $v_{n-2} v_{0} u_{0}$ and $u_{2} v_{2} v_{4}$ must be part of $C$.

Since $v_{0} v_{2}$ is not an edge in $C$, the observation $(*)$ implies that $u_{0} u_{1}$ is an edge in $C$. By symmetry, $u_{1} u_{2}$ is also an edge in $C$. This follows because there is an automorphism (the reflection fixing $\left.u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ of $P(n, 2)$ which interchanges between the edges $u_{1} u_{0}, u_{2} u_{1}$ and keeps $v_{0} v_{2}$ fixed. ("Reflection" here refers to the standard drawing of $P(n, k)$ where the vertices $u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots$ and also the vertices $v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots$ form convex $n$-gons.) Hence $C$ contains the


Figure 1: $P(n, 2)$ with $u_{0} u_{1}$ and $v_{0} v_{2}$ deleted, $n \equiv 3,5(\bmod 6)$
path $v_{n-2} v_{0} u_{0} u_{1} u_{2} v_{2} v_{4}$. So $C$ also contains the path $v_{n-1} v_{1} v_{3} u_{3} u_{4}$ and therefore $C$ does not contain the edge $v_{3} v_{5}$. Summarizing, we have proved that if a hamiltonian cycle $C$ does not contain the edge $v_{0} v_{2}$, then $C$ does not contain the edge $v_{3} v_{5}$ either. By repeating this argument with $v_{3} v_{5}$ instead of $v_{0} v_{2}$, we conclude that $C$ does not contain the edge $v_{6} v_{8}$ either. In fact $C$ does not contain any of the edges $v_{0} v_{2}, v_{3} v_{5}, v_{6} v_{8}, v_{9} v_{11}, \ldots$ Since $C$ must contain some edge of the inner cycle $v_{0} v_{2} v_{4} \cdots v_{n-2} v_{0}$, we conclude that $n \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. Hence $P(n, 2)$ has no hamiltonian cycle if $n \equiv 5(\bmod 6)$. In the case that $n \equiv 3(\bmod 6)$, the argument eventually leads to a unique hamiltonian cycle which can be rotated to yield precisely three hamiltonian cycles.

Assume next that $n \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$. Then Grinberg's equation is satisfied but only if the two faces of degrees $\frac{n+5}{2}$ and $\frac{n+7}{2}$ are both in the interior (or exterior) of the hamiltonian cycle on the resulting graph of Fig. 1. This is possible only if the edge $v_{1} v_{n-1}$ is not contained in the hamiltonian cycle. Assume that $C^{\prime}$ is such a hamiltonian cycle. Then it is easy to see (from Fig. 1) that the paths $v_{n-3} v_{n-1} u_{n-1} u_{0} v_{0} v_{n-2} u_{n-2} u_{n-3}$ and $v_{4} v_{2} u_{2} u_{1} v_{1} v_{3} u_{3} u_{4}$ must be part of $C^{\prime}$. There is a unique hamiltonian cycle containing these paths which can be rotated to yield $n$ hamiltonian cycles. If it is not possible to obtain a hamiltonian cycle by deleting a pair $u_{i} u_{i+1}, v_{i} v_{i+2}$, then we get a contradiction as in the case when $n \equiv 5(\bmod 6)$.

When $n \geq 6$ is even, $P(n, 2)$ is a planar graph. Again, the above method can be applied. Note that $(*)$ cannot be satisfied for each pair $u_{i} u_{i+1}, v_{i} v_{i+2}$ and also for each pair $u_{i} u_{i-1}, v_{i} v_{i-2}$ because the argument in the case $n \equiv 3,5(\bmod 6)$ would lead to a 2 -factor consisting of two
cycles rather than a hamiltonian cycle. So there exists some pair of edges $u_{i} u_{i+1}, v_{i} v_{i+2}$ (or some pair $u_{i} u_{i-1}, v_{i} v_{i-2}$ ), say $u_{0} u_{1}, v_{0} v_{2}$, which is avoided by some hamiltonian cycle.

Draw $P(n, 2)$ in the plane such that the $\frac{n}{2}$-cycle $v_{1} v_{3} \ldots v_{n-1} v_{1}$ is the outer face boundary. In this case, the face-degree sequence of the resulting graph (after deleting the edges $u_{0} u_{1}$ and $v_{0} v_{2}$ ) is ( $5, \ldots, 5, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+6$ ) and Grinberg's equation is satisfied. For this to be possible, any hamiltonian cycle must contain the edge $v_{1} v_{n-1}$ (which is common to both the $\frac{n}{2}$-face and the $\left(\frac{n}{2}+6\right)$-face $)$ unless $n \equiv 4(\bmod 6)$.

Assume first that $n \equiv 0,2(\bmod 6)$. Then for any even integer $0 \leq$ $i \leq \frac{n}{2}$, whenever the pair of edges $v_{i} v_{i+2}, u_{i} u_{i+1}$ is deleted, then the paths $u_{i-1} u_{i} v_{i} v_{i-2}, v_{i-1} v_{i+1} u_{i+1} u_{i+2} v_{i+2} v_{i+4}$ and $u_{i+4} u_{i+3} v_{i+3} v_{i+5}$ must be part of the hamiltonian cycle. We now follow the hamiltonian cycle along the path $v_{i+2} v_{i+4} \ldots$. If we never use an edge $v_{j} u_{j}$ there is a unique way to continue the hamiltonian cycle. On the other hand, the first time we use an edge $v_{j} u_{j}$ we repeat the previous configuration with $j$ instead of $i$. Those edges of the cycle $v_{0} v_{2} \ldots v_{0}$ which are not in the hamiltonian cycle clearly form a matching. On the other hand, whenever we specify a matching on this cycle, there is a unique hamiltonian cycle which avoids this matching and also avoids edges of the form $u_{i} u_{i+1}, v_{i} v_{i+2}$. Therefore the number of hamiltonian cycles in $P(n, 2)$ avoiding some pairs of edges of the form $u_{i} u_{i+1}, v_{i} v_{i+2}$ is $F_{\frac{n}{2}+1}+F_{\frac{n}{2}-1}-1$. Note that for any such hamiltonian cycle, (*) fails for the pairs $u_{i} u_{i+1}, v_{i} v_{i+2}$ but holds for the pairs $u_{i} u_{i-1}, v_{i} v_{i-2}$.

By symmetry (more precisely, by taking the cycle $u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{n} u_{1}$ in its reverse order) the number of hamiltonian cycles in $P(n, 2)$ avoiding pairs of edges of the form $u_{i} u_{i-1}, v_{i} v_{i-2}$ is also $F_{\frac{n}{2}+1}+F_{\frac{n}{2}-1}-1$. Thus the total number of hamiltonian cycles in $P(n, 2)$ is $2\left(F_{\frac{n}{2}+1}+F_{\frac{n}{2}-1}-1\right)$ in the case $n \equiv 0,2(\bmod 6)$.

The reason that we have counted all hamiltonian cycles is that $(*)$ fails either for the pairs $u_{i} u_{i+1}, v_{i} v_{i+2}$ or for the pairs $u_{i} u_{i-1}, v_{i} v_{i-2}$, as noted above.

For $n \equiv 4(\bmod 6)$, there are two types of hamiltonian cycles, namely the $2\left(F_{\frac{n}{2}+1}+F_{\frac{n}{2}-1}-1\right)$ hamiltonian cycles which we have already counted, and also the unique hamiltonian cycle that avoids $u_{0} u_{1}, v_{0} v_{2}, v_{1} v_{n-1}$, and those which can be obtained from this hamiltonian cycle by rotation and reflection. There are $n$ hamiltonian cycles of the latter type. This completes the proof.
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