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Challenges to reduce GHG emissions
**Major Challenges**

**GHG Emissions Projections for 2025**

- Largest emitters where not included in the 1st commitment period
- Developed and developing country emissions currently about equal
While energy-related CO₂ will continue to dominate, there is strong potential to reduce other emissions through improved efficiency, better farm management & reduced gas flaring.
Global trends in GHG emissions

• Global GHG emissions have grown since pre-industrial times.
• Between 1970 and 2004 emissions have increased 70%
• Broken down on sectors the growth in GHG emissions was as follows:
  • Energy supply: 145%
  • Transport: 120%
  • Industry: 65%
  • LULUCF: 40%
  • Agriculture: 27%
  • Buildings: 26%
• The emission of GHGs have increased at different rates:
  • CO₂ emissions represent about 77% of total anthropogenic GHGs and have grown about 80% from 1970 - 2004
The mitigation challenge according to IPCC

- Without action - global CO$_2$ emissions will grow between 40 and 110% between 2000 and 2030
- To stay below 2 degrees global average warming and avoid major damages:
  - global CO$_2$ emissions should start **declining** by 2015 and
  - be reduced with 50-85% below 2000 level by 2050
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Carbon emission trends since 2007 – higher than predicted by IPCC

Observed global fossil-fuel and industrial CO₂ emissions, compared with averages of 6 scenario groups from the IPCC Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (coloured lines) and range covered by all individual scenarios (grey shading). Emission data are from two sources: The Carbon Dioxide Information and Analysis Center (CDIAC) and the International Energy Agency (IEA). Figure updated using the latest available data (www.globalcarbonproject.org) since the original publication of this report.

Source: Synthesis Report, Climate change congress, by Richardson et. al., March 2009, Copenhagen
### Emission reductions required for stabilising climate with fair distribution of effort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario category</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-450 ppm CO₂-eq²</td>
<td>Annex I</td>
<td>−25% to −40%</td>
<td>−80% to −95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Annex I</td>
<td>Substantial deviation from baseline in Latin America, Middle East, East Asia (−15% to −30% from BAU)</td>
<td>Substantial deviation from baseline in all regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-550 ppm CO₂-eq</td>
<td>Annex I</td>
<td>−10% to −30%</td>
<td>−40% to −90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Annex I</td>
<td>Deviation from baseline in Latin America and Middle East, East Asia (0 to −20% from BAU)</td>
<td>Deviation from baseline in most regions, especially in Latin America and Middle East</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Impacts of 2° C warming – worse than expected

Figure 8
Diagram relating the potential impacts of climate change to the rise in global average temperature. Zero on the temperature scale corresponds approximately to 1000 average temperature, and the bottom of the temperature scale to pre-industrial average temperature. The level of risk or severity of potential impacts increases with the intensity of red colour. The 2°C guardrail is shown for reference.
Mitigation technologies
## Mitigation Technologies - Energy Supply

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Key mitigation technologies and practices currently commercially available. (Selected)</th>
<th>Key mitigation technologies and practices projected to be commercialized before 2030. (Selected)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Energy Supply| • energy efficiency  
• fuel switching from coal to gas  
• nuclear power  
• renewable (hydropower, solar, wind, geothermal and bioenergy)  
• early applications of CO₂ Capture and Storage (CCS)                                                                 | • CCS for gas  
• second generation biomass techn.  
• advanced nuclear power  
• advanced renewables (tidal and wave energy, concentrating solar, solar PV)                                                                 |

**RE industry has been developing very rapidly last 5 years**
### Mitigation Technologies - Industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>(Selected) Key mitigation technologies and practices currently commercially available.</th>
<th>Key mitigation technologies and practices projected to be commercialized before 2030. (Selected)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Industry | • More efficient end-use electrical equipment  
• heat and power recovery;  
• material recycling and substitution  
• control of non-CO₂ gasses  
• and a wide array of process-specific technologies | • Advanced energy efficiency  
• CCS for cement, ammonia, and iron manufacture  
• inert electrodes for aluminium manufacture |
### Mitigation Technologies - Agriculture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>(Selected) Key mitigation technologies and practices currently commercially available.</th>
<th>Key mitigation technologies and practices projected to be commercialized before 2030. (Selected)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Agriculture | • Improved crop and grazing land management to increase soil carbon storage  
• restoration of cultivated peaty soils and degraded lands  
• improved rice cultivation techniques and livestock and manure management to reduce CH4 emissions  
• improved nitrogen fertilizer application techniques to reduce N₂O emissions  
• dedicated energy crops to replace fossil fuel use  
• improved energy efficiency.                                                                                      | • Improvements of crops yields.                                                                                                 |   |
### Mitigation Technologies- Buildings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>(Selected) Key mitigation technologies and practices currently commercially available.</th>
<th>Key mitigation technologies and practices projected to be commercialized before 2030. (Selected)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Buildings  | • Efficient lighting  
   • more efficient electrical appliances and heating and cooling devices  
   • improved cook stoves  
   • improved insulation  
   • passive and active solar design for heating and cooling  
   • alternative refrigeration fluids  
   • recovery and recycle of fluorinated gases | • Integrated design of commercial buildings including technologies such as intelligent meters that provide feedback and control  
   • solar PV integrated in buildings |
### Mitigation technologies - transport

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>(Selected) Key mitigation technologies and practices currently commercially available.</th>
<th>Key mitigation technologies and practices projected to be commercialized before 2030. (Selected)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Transport | • More fuel efficient vehicles  
• hybrid vehicles  
• biofuels  
• modal shifts from road transport to rail and public transport systems  
• cycling, walking  
• land-use planning | • Second generation biofuels  
• higher efficiency aircraft  
• advanced electric and hybrid vehicles with more powerful and reliable batteries |

**Transport emissions increase rapidly but options still hard**
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Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change, 2006

- Climate change is the greatest market failure ever seen
- Postponing emission reductions is very costly, it implies:
  - Greater impacts and adaptation costs
  - Locking in high-carbon infrastructure (such as power-plants expected to last 40-50 years) and delaying ‘clean’ technology
  - More drastic cuts in emissions later on
- Putting an appropriate long-term price on carbon is the first element of policy – either through tax, trading (cap and trade) or regulation
- Technology transfer needs more than a carbon price – policies and international cooperation is necessary, e.g. R&D
- Scaling-up carbon finance to developing countries can support a transition to low-carbon development

The benefits of strong, early actions outweigh the cost
Given the costs of impacts, taking urgent action is good economics

Expected cost of cutting emissions consistent with a 550ppm CO2e stabilisation trajectory averages 1% of GDP per year.

- Resource cost: 1% of GDP in 2050, in range −1% to +3.5%.
- Macroeconomic models: 1% of GDP in 2050, in range +/- 3%.

Costs will not be evenly distributed:

- Competitiveness impacts can be reduced by acting together.

There will be opportunities and co-benefits:

- New markets will be created: worth over $500bn a year by 2050
- Climate policy consistent with energy access, energy security, air quality.

Strong mitigation is fully consistent with the aspirations for growth and development in poor and rich countries.
Policies, measures and instruments
Policies are available to governments to realise mitigation of climate change

Effectiveness of policies depends on national circumstances, their design, interaction, stringency and implementation

- Integrating climate policies in broader development policies
- Regulations and standards
- Taxes and charges
- Tradable permits
- Financial incentives
- Voluntary agreements
- Information instruments
- Research and development

Institutional capacity to develop and implement policies needed
### Policies, measures and instruments shown to be environmentally effective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Mitigation 'tools'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Energy supply| • Reduction of fossil fuel subsidies  
• Taxes or carbon charges on fossil fuels  
• Feed-in-tariffs for RE technologies  
• RE obligations  
• Producer subsidies                                                                                                                             |
| Transport    | • Mandatory fuel economy  
• Biofuel blending taxes on vehicles purchase  
• Registration, use and motor fuels, road and parking pricing  
• Land use regulations and infrastructure planning to influence mobility needs  
• Investment in public transport and non-motorised forms of transport                                                                           |
Polices, measures and instruments shown to be environmentally effective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Mitigation 'tools'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Buildings | • Appliance standards and labelling  
              • Building codes and certification  
              • Demand-side management programmes  
              • Public sector leadership programmes including procurement  
              • Incentives for energy service companies |
| Industry  | • Provision of benchmark information  
              • Performance standards  
              • Subsidies, tax credits  
              • Tradable permits  
              • Voluntary agreements |
### Policies, measures and instruments shown to be environmentally effective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Mitigation 'tools’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>• Financial incentives and regulations for improved land management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Maintaining soil carbon content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Efficient use of fertilizers and irrigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestry</td>
<td>• Financial incentives (national and international) to increase forest area and reduce deforestation and maintain and manage forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Land use regulation and enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste management</td>
<td>• Financial incentives for improved waste and wastewater management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Renewable energy incentives or obligations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Waste management regulations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Costs and benefits of decarbonising the Economy

Source: Kammen in Synthesis Report, Climate change congress, by Richardson et. al., March 2009, Copenhagen
Sustainable development
Low-carbon development

• Making development more sustainable by changing development paths can make a major contribution to climate change mitigation

• Irrespective of the scale of mitigation measures, adaptation measures are necessary

• Changes in development paths emerge from the interactions of public and private decision processes involving government, business and civil society. This process is most effective when actors participate equitably and decentralized decision making processes are coordinated.
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Changes in lifestyle and behavior patterns

• Changes in lifestyle and consumption patterns can reduce GHG emissions

• Education and training can help overcome barriers to the market acceptance of energy efficiency

• Transport demand management including urban planning and provision of information and educational techniques can support GHG mitigation

• In industry, management tools that include staff training, reward systems and documentation of existing practices can help overcome industrial organizational barriers, reduce energy use and GHG emissions
Examples of national mitigation strategies
Denmark’s climate mitigation challenge

Mankoen i forhold til Danmarks reduktionsforpligtelse
**Denmark’s means to fulfill its Kyoto target**

### Table 1. Virkemidler til opfyldelse af klimaforpligtelsen 2008-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virkemidler med stort potentiale:</th>
<th>Reduktionspotential pr. år, mio. ton CO₂</th>
<th>Samfundsoekonomisk enhedsomkostning, kr. pr. ton CO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Varmepumper – fortrænger decentral kraftvarme..</td>
<td>Ca. 1½</td>
<td>-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begrenstring af el-produktion</td>
<td>Ca. 2-8</td>
<td>20-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleksible mekanismer</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>50-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omstilling fra kul til naturgas</td>
<td>Ca. 3</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varmepumper – fortrænger central kraftvarme</td>
<td>Ca. 5</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Havindmølleparker</td>
<td>Ca. 2</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yderligere omstilling fra kul til naturgas</td>
<td>Ca. 5</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ombygning til biomasseanlæg</td>
<td>Ca. 2½</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deponering i undergrunden på land eller i oliefelter</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>160-310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Virkemidler med mindre potentiale:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virkemidler</th>
<th>Reduktionspotential pr. år, mio. ton CO₂</th>
<th>Samfundsoekonomisk enhedsomkostning, kr. pr. ton CO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normer for vinduer</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>-550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normer for olie- og gaskedler</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>-500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flæsgas genindvinding</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>-330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varmepumper – fortrænger oliefyret fjernvarme..</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etablering af biogasfællesanlæg</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yderligere metan-opsamling fra lossepladser</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ændret fodring af malkekøer</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brug af biobrændstoffer</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kørselsafgifter på personbiler</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Øgede brændstofafgifter (1 kr./liter)</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>1430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Government’s vision for the road ahead on climate change

National circumstances:
- coal based economy (high transition lag time), high emissions per capita, associated with major advanced developing economies, relatively wealthy with institutional capacity, extremely vulnerable to impacts of CC, targeted for US differentiation (i.e. no access to finance and technology)

Policy response to mitigation challenges:
- Transition to climate resilient and low-carbon economy without compromising the need for economic growth and development
- Limit global temperature increase to 2°C above pre-industrial levels
- LTMS - peak, plateau and decline - stop growing at the latest by 2020-2025, stabilise for up to ten years, then decline in absolute terms
- Need to starte now with emission reductions: EE, RE, clean and new technology, nuclear energy, economic and fiscal instruments

Source: Dept of Environment and Tourism, South Africa
Adopted a 20% reduction in national energy intensity by 2010
- implemented energy efficiency programs
- raised taxes on petroleum
- adopted new rural vehicle fuel economy standards
- energy conservation law for local governments put in place, 2008

Passed national renewable energy standard of 15% by 2020
- set wind power goals in 2005 – 5GW by 2012 & 30 GW by 2020
- grew its solar industry
- diversified domestic energy sources

Promoted infrastructure for green development
- rail and electric grid construction, EE in new buildings, subsidies for small vehicles, fuel cells and public busses, EE lighting

All domestic actions put in place for local development reasons
China: Increasing amount of economic loss caused by climate disasters

Source: GAO Guangsheng, NDRC, COP12, Nairobi
Achievements of the UNFCCC and its Kyoto Protocol

• The Convention and Protocol represent the global policy response to the climate problem

• They have stimulated an array of national policies, the creation of an international carbon market and the establishment of new institutional mechanisms that may provide the foundation for future mitigation efforts

• However, the impact of the Protocol’s first commitment period 2008-12 relative to global emissions is projected to be limited

• Many options exist for achieving further reductions of global GHG emissions at the international level through cooperation. These are now intensively negotiated up to the COP-15 in Copenhagen, December 2009.
Carbon markets and CDM
Kyoto Protocol

Emissions reduction:
- 5.2% reduction of emissions from Annex I in 2008-12 compared to 1990
- 30% reduction compared to BaU

Flexibility mechanisms"
- Clean Development Mechanism (CDM)
- Joint Implementation
- Emissions trading

Important to see Kyoto as a first step in a longer process
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Global Carbon Market

- fragmented market

Allowance market (cap and trade system)
  - Emission allowances are defined by regulations at the international, national, regional or firm level - Kyoto-ET, EU-ETS, Domestic: UK, Japan, Canada, Korea. Firms: BP, Shell
  - Linkage between EU ETS and project-based mechanisms

Project-based (baseline and credit system)
  - Emission reductions are created and traded through a given project or activity (JI and CDM)

Voluntary market
  - Individuals and companies account and trade their greenhouse gas emissions on a voluntary basis (carbon compensation and travel compensation schemes)
  - Several companies expressed interest in buying project-based credits

Markets are likely to emerge over time as agreement widens
Carbon markets surpassed US$100 billion by the end of 2007…

**Allowance markets (US$ million)**

- EU Emissions Trading Scheme
  - 50,100 in 2007 alone
  - (more than double from previous year)

- New South Wales Certificates
  - 220

**Project-based transactions (US$ million)**

- CDM
  - 7,400 (30% over 2006)

- JI
  - 500

**Voluntary market in 2007 – niche segments (US$ million)**

- Chicago Climate Exchange
  - 70

- Voluntary & retail
  - 270
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Clean Development Mechanism (CDM)
CDM Basics

CDM allows Annex I countries meet part of their emission reduction requirements for first commitment period 2008-2012 at lower costs in non-Annex I countries than could be done domestically.

Annex I countries are allowed to acquire Certified Emission Reductions (CERs) by implementing GHG mitigating CDM projects in non-Annex I countries.

Selling CERs is an additional stream of cash inflow to the project, which improves project economics.

ODA (Official Development Assistance) funds can not be used in CDM investments.

CDM projects shall support sustainable development in the host country

CDM is considered one of the major achievements of Kyoto
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Number of CDM projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status of CDM projects</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At validation</td>
<td>2607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request for registration</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request for review</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correction requested</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total in the process of registration</strong></td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejected by EB</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rejected by DOEs</td>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered, no issuance of CERs</td>
<td>1268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered, CER issued</td>
<td>566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total registered</strong></td>
<td>1834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of projects (incl. rejected &amp; withdrawn)</td>
<td>5416</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: UNEP Risoe Centre CDM Pipeline dated 1 October 2009
Host countries of CDM projects

Source: UNEP Risoe Centre CDM Pipeline dated 1 October 2009
CDM project examples

Kuyasa, Cape Town, South Africa

• low-income housing retrofit in 2309 RDP houses
• Install SWH, insulated ceilings, and CFL lighting
• first registered SA project
• first Gold Standard project in housing sectors

Proposal to upscale to a programmatic CDM project:

• VISION: A clearing house which enables and incentivises access to financing for clean energy services in all low income housing in South Africa
• MISSION: To establish a Facility which 1) administers a CDM programme, and 2) leverages and manages access to the additional upfront financing required for the incremental capital costs of sustainable energy interventions in low income housing
Programmatic CDM projects

A Programme of Activities (PoA) is:
• Voluntary action
• Implementing a policy, measure or stated goal
• Coordinated by a public or private entity
• Made up of CDM Programme Activities (CPA)

Multiple CPAs can be included under a PoA at the time of registration and additional CPAs can be added at any point in the life of the PoA.
Global Overview

Bangladesh - 4 - solar home system + cooking stoves
Brazil - 2 - Methan avoidance agriculture
China - 2 - Irrigation
Honduras - 2 - Hydro
India - 4 - CFL
Mexico - 2 - CFL
Senegal - 2 - CFL
South Africa - 3 - solar water heating + heat retention cookers
South Korea - 2 – Energy Efficiency in industry
Tunisia - 2 - solar water heating
Uganda - 2 - waste composting
Vietnam - 2 – Solar water heating
The project seeks to reduce GHG emissions through free distribution and installation and installation of 30 million energy-saving light bulbs throughout Mexico.

Change from Incandescent lamps to Compact Flourescent Lamps (CFL)

PoA lifetime 31 July 2009 – 30 July 2037
Crediting Period 31 July 2009 – 30 July 2016
CDM Challenges

Complex CDM Modalities & Procedures:
  • Transaction cost to hire service providers.

Heavy institutional requirements for project cycle (DNA, DOE Validation, DOE Verification, etc.).

Knowledge gap between CER buyers & sellers.

Limited access to finance by potential developers:
  • Financial intermediaries lack of knowledge about CDM.
  • Lack of trained national CDM consultants.
  • Investment climate in host countries (e.g. SS Africa).
  • Limited budgets for operations of DNAs.
  • Need for national entities capable of bundling projects.

CDM is undergoing gradual reforms and will be part of a new deal
Thank You!!

For more information visit:

www.cd4cdm.org
http://cdmpipeline.org/
www.uneprisoe.org
http://cdmbazaar.net/