



## Escherichia coli as indicator of the human Salmonella risk caused by consumption of pork

**Bollerslev, Anne Mette; Nauta, Maarten; Hald, Tine; Hansen, Tina Beck; Aabo, Søren**

*Published in:*  
Salmonelle and Salmonellosis

*Publication date:*  
2013

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*  
Bollerslev, A. M., Nauta, M., Hald, T., Hansen, T. B., & Aabo, S. (2013). Escherichia coli as indicator of the human Salmonella risk caused by consumption of pork. In P. Colin, & G. Clement (Eds.), *Salmonelle and Salmonellosis: Abstract book* (pp. 354-356). [36687943].

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## ***Escherichia coli* as indicator of the human *Salmonella* risk caused by consumption of pork**

Anne Mette Bollerslev<sup>1</sup>, Maarten Nauta<sup>2</sup>, Tine Hald<sup>2</sup>, Tina Beck Hansen<sup>1</sup>, Søren Aabo<sup>1</sup>  
Technical University of Denmark, Divisions of <sup>1</sup>Food Microbiology and <sup>2</sup>Epidemiology and  
Microbial Genomics, Søborg, Denmark

### **Introduction**

*Salmonella* is widespread in the slaughter pig production in Europe, and *Salmonella* from pork constitutes a significant risk for consumers. At slaughter, food chain information do not per se allow for an effective distinction between pigs from *Salmonella* positive and negative herds, and improvement of the general slaughter hygiene is the only mitigating tool to use. So far there have been no reports describing how the hygiene level at slaughter associates to *Salmonella* risk.

We have collected quantitative hygiene data (*E. coli*) and quantified *Salmonella* on pig carcasses at slaughter. The objective is to establish the correlation between the hygiene level and *Salmonella* and to provide the first suggestion for a method to set risk based process hygiene criteria at pig slaughter.

### **Material and methods**

#### *Sample collection*

Carcasses from pigs slaughtered at five Danish pig slaughterhouses were sampled in the period 10 May 2005 to 5 June 2007. Sampling was performed at the slaughterline just before cooling. Carcass swabs (2800 cm<sup>2</sup>) from 1,906 carcasses were analysed both quantitatively for *E. coli* and semi-quantitatively for *Salmonella*. A total of 75 ml peptone water was added to stomacher bags with carcass swabs containing approximately 12.5 ml of peptone water and tissue fluid. This mixture was stomached before dilution. One millilitre of 10-fold dilutions were spread on Petrifilm and subsequently incubated at 41.5 °C for 23-25 h. The number of *E. coli*

was determined using Select *E. coli* Count Plate Petrifilm (3M Microbiology, St. Paul, MN, USA) in accordance with the supplier's instructions. Cell counts were determined by automated reading using a Petrifilm plate reader MI649 9 (3M Microbiology, St. Paul, MN, USA). From a ten-fold dilution of the homogenate, a semi-quantitative analysis for *Salmonella* was performed. All stomached samples were analysed for *Salmonella* using MSRV agar (ISO 6579, Annex D, Anonymous, 2007).

#### *Statistical analyses*

All statistical analyses were performed with the software R (ver. 2.15.1) and RStudio (ver. 0.96.331). Bacterial counts of *E. coli* were log<sub>10</sub>-transformed to obtain approximately normally distributed data. Samples in which *E. coli* was found to be below the detection limit (1 CFU/ml) were assigned a value of 0.5 CFU/ml to allow log<sub>10</sub> transformation.

Means and standard deviations were calculated for the log<sub>10</sub>-transformed *E. coli* levels. The corresponding *Salmonella* prevalence was calculated after dichotomisation of the results (0 = *Salmonella* negative; 1 = *Salmonella* positive). A box-and-whisker plot was made to illustrate the correlation between the concentration of *Salmonella* and *E. coli* found in swab samples.

To determine the association between *E. coli* and *Salmonella*, univariable analyses were carried out. Variables with  $p \leq 0.25$  were included in a multivariable logistic regression analysis. Selection of explanatory variables for the final model was done by stepwise

backwards elimination of the least significant variable until only significant variables remained. In the analysis, *p*-values lower or equal to 0.01 were considered as statistically different. The final explanatory variables were tested for interaction and confounding.

#### *Risk model*

The risk model takes into account both the prevalence of *Salmonella* on carcasses and the estimated number of *Salmonella* bacteria present. The number of *Salmonella* bacteria per cm<sup>2</sup> was estimated from the observed contamination of *E. coli* on the carcass and the established regression between number of *E. coli* and number of *Salmonella* bacteria on the carcass. A simple exposure model was developed assuming that: 1) the concentration of bacteria per cm<sup>2</sup> was even on the whole carcass 2) the whole carcass was consumed raw in 200 gram portions and 3) all 101 human illnesses associated to pork in 2006 in Denmark could be associated to this. Additionally, the risk model included three factors: a correction factor, which adjusted the dose-response relationship provided by FAO/WHO (2002); an underreporting factor (Havelaar et al., 2012) and a factor accounting for preparation of pork to the number of registered cases in Denmark in 2006.

#### **Results**

The average level of *E. coli* found on the skin of the carcasses was 0.8 log CFU/cm<sup>2</sup>, from all five slaughterhouses. The corresponding prevalence of *Salmonella* was found to be 2.5%. The correlation between the concentration of *E. coli* and *Salmonella* is depicted in Figure 1.

The odds of *Salmonella* being present on the carcass were found to increase by 1.87 for every one log<sub>10</sub>-unit increase of *E. coli*. The

risk of *Salmonella* being present on the carcass varied between slaughterhouses.

By applying the observed *E. coli* and *Salmonella* data to the risk model, it was possible to make an estimate on the relationship between hygiene level measured by *E. coli* and the *Salmonella* consumer risk. Table 1 show that the number of human cases could have been reduced by approx. 50% (from 101 to 48.6), if the *E. coli* level at slaughter had not exceeded 3-4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU per 32 cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### **Conclusion**

This is to our knowledge the first report on estimating consumer risk of salmonellosis from the hygiene level at pig slaughter. The perspective is the ability to establish risk based process hygiene criteria based on this principle.

#### **Acknowledgements**

Hardy Christensen, Danish Meat Research Institute, Danish Technological Institute, Denmark.

#### **References**

- Anonymous, 2007. ISO 6579:2002/Amd 1:2007, Annex D: Detection of *Salmonella* spp. in animal faeces and in environmental samples from the primary production stage. International Organisation for Standardization.
- Havelaar, A.H., Ivarsson, S., Löfdahl, M., Nauta, M.J. (2012). Estimating the true incidence of campylobacteriosis and salmonellosis in the European Union, 2009. *Epidemiol. Infect.*, Page 1 to 10, Cambridge University Press 2012.
- WHO/FAO, 2002. Risk assessments of *Salmonella* in eggs and broiler chickens. Microbiological risk assessment series; no. 2. World Health Organization; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

*Figure 1 – Box-and-whisker plot of the level of E. coli stratified by the concentration of Salmonella. The letters represents the following concentration intervals: K < 0.10 CFU/ml, A: 0.10 – 0.91 CFU/ml, B: 0.91 – 10.1 CFU/ml, C: 10.1 – 101 CFU/ml, D: 101 – 909 CFU/ml, DD > 909 CFU/ml (the unit ‘CFU/ml’ corresponds to ‘CFU/32cm<sup>2</sup>’).*




Table 1 – Modelled estimation of the total number of human salmonellosis cases in Denmark in 2006 depending on the maximum level of *E. coli* on pig carcasses at slaughter.

	Maximal level of <i>E. coli</i> on carcass [log <sub>10</sub> CFU/32 cm <sup>2</sup> ]					
	0 - 1	1 - 2	2 - 3	3 - 4	4 - 5	5 - 6
No. of cases	0.0	6.3	1.6	40.7	0.6	51.8
Accumulated no. of cases	0.0	6.3	7.9	48.6	49.2	101.0