W-band waveguide bandpass filter with E-plane cut

Furtula, Vedran; Salewski, Mirko

Published in:
Review of Scientific Instruments

Publication date:
2014

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation (APA):
W-band waveguide bandpass filter with E-plane cut
Vedran Furtula and Mirko Salewski

Citation: Review of Scientific Instruments 85, 074703 (2014); doi: 10.1063/1.4889875
View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4889875
View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/rsi/85/7?ver=pdfcov
Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in
High-power microwave filters and frequency selective surfaces exploiting electromagnetic wave tunneling through -negative layers
J. Appl. Phys. 113, 064909 (2013); 10.1063/1.4790584

A tunable universal terahertz filter using artificial dielectrics based on parallel-plate waveguides
Appl. Phys. Lett. 97, 131106 (2010); 10.1063/1.3495994

Design, simulation, and measurement of metamaterial absorber

A filter bank system for scattered spectrum analysis in collective Thomson scattering diagnostic on JT-60

Direct-coupled-cavity bandpass filters using scrounged rectangular-to-circular waveguide transitions
W-band waveguide bandpass filter with E-plane cut

Vedran Furtula1,a) and Mirko Salewski2,b)

1Department of Microtechnology and Nanoscience, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-41296 Gothenburg, Sweden
2Department of Physics, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

(Received 29 April 2014; accepted 27 June 2014; published online 22 July 2014)

In this paper, we present a design and measurements of a five-section bandpass filter with a passband from 96 to 106 GHz. The insertion loss is less than 1.4 dB in the passband, and the rejection is better than 40 dB in the range from 115 to 142 GHz. We use transmission line coupling theory based on Tchebycheff’s synthesis in order to provide an initial guess for the geometrical parameters of the filter such as cavity lengths and coupling widths. The filter is manufactured from brass in two halves in the E-plane cut topology. The S-parameters of the filter are measured and compared with the simulations. The measured passband insertion loss is approximately 0.4 dB worse than in the simulation, and the measured passband width is approximately 3.4% narrower. The measured filter attenuation roll-off corresponds well to the simulation. We also compare our S-parameter measurements of the E-plane filter with corresponding measurements of a very similar H-plane filter. The transmission and reflection characteristics of the E-plane filter are better than those of the H-plane filter. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4889875]

I. INTRODUCTION

Waveguide bandpass filters are widely used in receivers sensitive to radiation coming from fusion plasmas. In fusion plasmas diagnostics the most common mm-wave receivers are total-power radiometers.1 For example, the collective Thomson scattering (CTS) diagnostic at ASDEX Upgrade2,3 uses a total-power radiometer operating in the frequency range 75–110 GHz (W-band). The CTS diagnostic can detect spectral power densities of a few electronvolts (eV) against the electron cyclotron emission (ECE) background on the order of 100 eV. The required CTS probing beam produced by a gyrotron is many orders of magnitude stronger than the signal to be detected. Different frequency ranges within the passband of the bandpass filter are then sensitive to different parts of the ion velocity distribution function in the plasma.4,5 At ASDEX Upgrade there are also gyrotrons operating at 140 GHz to heat the plasma by electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH). It is therefore important that bandpass filters installed in CTS diagnostics have a high rejection around 140 GHz.6 Another type of fusion plasma diagnostic using bandpass filters is ECE spectroscopy where the objective is to determine the evolution of the electron temperature profile as a function of time covering the spectral range from 60 to about 800 GHz.7 For example, an ECE diagnostic used to detect neoclassical tearing modes (NTMs) at ASDEX Upgrade monitors radiations in a passband with (bandwidth) of 20 GHz centered at 140 GHz.7 Future nuclear fusion reactors will also be equipped with mm-wave diagnostics since the first mirrors can be designed robust against the harsh radiation environment.8 For example, a CTS system for the next-step fusion device ITER is foreseen.9 Waveguide filters are also used in mm-wave receivers for atmospheric science. For example, a waveguide highpass filter installed in a ground-based mm-wave receiver is used to acquire mesospheric carbon monoxide (CO) data.10 The receiver is operated by the British Antarctic Survey (BAS) stationed at Troll station in Antarctica. Another application of waveguide bandpass filters is found in remote sensing where mm-wave spaceborne radars are used to measure air pollution, the distribution of polar ice or vegetation.11

Extensive literature exists on waveguide components such as junctions, transitions, filters, and multipliers.12–15 Today passive mm-wave components are mostly fabricated using metallic waveguides but recent attempts have been made to design silicon micromachined D-band three-pole and five-pole bandpass filters.16,17 A comparison between different machining topologies, the E-plane cut and the H-plane cut, has been presented for goldplated submillimeter waveguide filters.18 The terms “E-plane cut” and “H-plane cut” refer to the planes parallel to the E-field and the H-field in rectangular waveguides for the fundamental TE_{10} mode, respectively. Thin-film microstrip-like technology implemented on a benzocyclobutene layer,19,20 and reduced size coplanar-waveguide (CPW) resonators,21 and annular CPW branchline coupler with open load stubs22 have been used in the design of compact W-band bandpass filters. A thin metallic strip inserted in the middle of a waveguide parallel to the E-plane has been used to design a W-band bandpass filter.23

In this paper, we present a bandpass filter based on the E-plane cut topology. The filter has five resonator sections (five-pole filter) and is micromachined in brass. The center frequency is 101 GHz and the passband width is 10.37 GHz. The upper rejection bandwidth is designed to be large enough to attenuate strong signals coming from the ECRH gyrotrons at 140 GHz. Additionally, we compare S-parameter measurements of our E-plane filter with corresponding measurements of a very similar H-plane filter.24
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FIG. 1. A five-pole bandpass filter design showing E-plane cut. Blue numbers label cavity sections and black numbers label iris openings. The quantities $t_k$ and $l_k$ are iris thicknesses and cavity lengths, respectively.

II. ANALYSIS AND BACKGROUND

The filter synthesis we use is based on Tchebyscheff’s factors with an odd number of sections $n$. The symmetry in this situation is illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2; the first section on one side is identical to the first section on the opposite side and the second section on one side is identical to the second section on the opposite side. Hence there are three different sections according to $(n+1)/2$ and three different iris openings according to $(n+1)/2$. We use the principle of direct coupling as a technique to cascade resonant sections where one section corresponds to one pole derived from Tchebyscheff’s synthesis. In the direct coupling technique much of the frequency sensitivity between the resonator sections is eliminated (Ref. 14, p. 258), and the filter structure becomes relatively short. The filter is designed as a cascade of sections with approximate lengths of $\lambda_g/2$ connected with very thin couplings (iris openings, see photographs in Figs. 3 and 4) which determine the width of the filter passband. We define the filter passband width in terms of Tchebyscheff’s $0.5$ dB ripple level. The quantity $\lambda_g$ is the guide wavelength for the fundamental TE$_{10}$ mode:

$$\lambda_g = \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r \mu_r - \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda_c}\right)^2}}$$

where $\lambda_c = 2a$ is the cut-off wavelength, $\epsilon_r \mu_r = 1$ for an air-filled waveguide, and $\lambda_0$ is the wavelength in vacuum. The waveguide dimensions are set to WR-10 standard corresponding to dimensions $a = 2.54$ mm and $b = 1.27$ mm. In this case, the lower cut-off frequency is around 59 GHz and the first higher order mode is around 118 GHz. Detailed design equations used to construct the bandpass filter in this paper are presented in Ref. 24.

FIG. 2. A five-pole bandpass filter design showing H-plane cut. Blue numbers label cavity sections and black numbers label iris openings. The quantities $d_k$ are widths of the iris openings.

III. E-PLANE BANDPASS FILTER – SIMULATIONS AND MEASUREMENTS

The design parameters obtained from the design equations based on Tchebyscheff’s synthesis are summarized in Table I. These are used to model the waveguide filter in the 3D electromagnetic simulation environment CST. The S-parameters are calculated using the time domain solver and discrete waveguide ports using more than $2 \times 10^6$ meshcells. However, in the intermediate steps for scaling and optimization we have used the much faster frequency domain solver with approximately $5 \times 10^5$ meshcells. The waveguide material is brass (Cu70%) with a conductivity of $1.6 \times 10^7$ S/m and a thermal conductivity of 109 W/(m K) at room temperature. Simulation results using the initial geometry parameters from Table I do not fit the required passband width of 10 GHz and the center frequency of 101 GHz. Therefore, we choose to scale section lengths $l_k$ and iris openings $d_k$ (see Figs. 1 and 2) in such a way that both the center frequency and the bandwidth match the ideal requirements determined from Tchebyscheff’s synthesis. By downscaling or upscaling section lengths $l_k$ it is possible to move the center frequency up or down, respectively. By widening or narrowing iris openings $d_k$ it is possible to expand or contract the passband.

FIG. 3. Photograph showing a topview of the two micromachined symmetrical parts of the bandpass filter using E-plane cut.

FIG. 4. Photograph of the same filter as in Fig. 3 in diagonal view showing the cross-cut of WR-10 flanges using E-plane cut topology.
respectively. It turns out that the present E-plane filter should be scaled by the factors $\Delta l_k = 0.98$ and $\Delta d_k = 0.993$, i.e., the section lengths should be shortened by 2% and the iris openings should be shortened by only 0.7% in order to better match the target center frequency and bandwidth. As a last step we choose to optimize section lengths $l_k$ and iris openings $d_k$ in order to find the optimum geometry for the filter so that we can match the requirements. The filter topology determined by scaling and the optimization is summarized in Table I. The method of optimization used is Trust region framework \textsuperscript{27} where we optimized at most three parameters at a time from the total number of six optimization parameters ($l_k$, 3) and $d_k$, 3). The scaled and optimized values for $l_k$ and $d_k$ differ less than 6.3% and 16.4% from the corresponding initial values determined by the direct coupling theory, respectively.

We fabricated the filter by dividing it into two symmetrical parts, i.e., we use the E-plane cut at the position $a/2$ where $a$ is the long side of the waveguide. The filter halves are micromachined using a drill with a radius of 0.25 mm ($\Omega 0.5$ mm drill). The two filter halves are connected using two flat head screws ($\Omega 3$ mm) in the middle of the filter and using four socket head screws ($\Omega 1$ mm), two at the input of the filter and two at the output. We measured the performance of the filter using the vector network analyzer (VNA) HP8510C. The VNA is equipped with a WR-10 waveguide interface with the possibility to measure in the range from 75 to 117 GHz. The ports are calibrated using standard TRL technique; T = thru (waveguide ports directly connected), R = reflect (short at port 1 and port 2), L = line ($\lambda/4$ waveguide section between the ports). The intermediate frequency (IF) bandwidth is chosen to be 300 Hz in the VNA settings, and the number of measurement points is chosen to be 801. We noted that the S-parameters were very sensitive to the torque applied to the flange screws. Hence, we did not allow an air gap in the transition between two waveguides.

The simulated and measured S-parameters for transmission characteristics ($S_{21}$ and $S_{12}$) and matchings ($S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$) are presented in Fig. 5, and a zoom in on the passband is shown in Fig. 6. In the simulation, we also assume that $S_{11} = S_{22}$ since we have three symmetry planes in the filter geometry. The shape of the measured $S_{21}$ and $S_{12}$ curves fit remarkably well with the simulations, but the measurements are about 0.4 dB worse than predicted in the simulations (see Fig. 6). The measured passband width is 10.37 GHz, while the simulated one is 10.68 GHz, i.e., a discrepancy of about 3.4%. The largest insertion loss in the passband is about 1.4 dB for frequencies around 96 GHz, and the lowest insertion loss in the passband is about 0.4 dB for frequencies around 104 GHz. The lower rejection (attenuation roll-off) is larger than 22 dB for all frequencies lower than 94 GHz, while the upper rejection is larger than 20 dB in the range 110–117 GHz. The measured matchings in the filter passband at the input port 1 and the output port 2, corresponding to $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ in Fig. 5, respectively, are 9 dB or higher showing good agreement with the simulations. Furthermore, we notice good agreement between the measurements of $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ which indicates that the symmetric filter halves are fabricated accurately. The shape of the attenuation roll-off matches the simulation very well which implies that the Q-factors determined by the simulator are in agreement with the physical conductor loss in brass.

Measured and simulated transmission characteristics in the out-of-band frequency range 140–220 GHz (G-band) are shown in Fig. 7. The input and output matchings ($S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$) are of less importance since the secondary passband is not used for signal transmission, but we are rather interested in how much attenuation we can expect for radiation

### TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>$l_k$ (mm)</th>
<th>Iris</th>
<th>$t_k$ (mm)</th>
<th>$d_k$ (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (and 5)</td>
<td>1.555</td>
<td>1 (and 6)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (and 4)</td>
<td>1.665</td>
<td>2 (and 5)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.681</td>
<td>3 (and 4)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (and 5)</td>
<td>1.458</td>
<td>1 (and 6)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (and 4)</td>
<td>1.618</td>
<td>2 (and 5)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.631</td>
<td>3 (and 4)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 5. Simulated and measured transmission characteristics ($S_{21}$ and $S_{12}$), input matching ($S_{11}$), and output matching ($S_{22}$) of the five-pole bandpass filter in the E-plane cut.

FIG. 6. Zoom in view of the simulated and measured transmission characteristics in the passband presented in Fig. 5.
coming from the ECRH gyrotrons operating at 140 GHz. The measurements in the G-band are accomplished using the programmable network analyzer (PNA-X) N5242A-400 with four ports attached to a pair of OML frequency extenders with WR-05 waveguide flanges. Each OML extender has one local oscillator (LO) port and one radio frequency (RF) port that are connected to the PNA-X ports. The measurements in Fig. 7 show appearance of a secondary passband with relatively low insertion loss in the range from 155 to 195 GHz in accordance with the simulations. Eigenmode analysis shows that the first higher order mode of the smallest sections (no. 1 and no. 5) is approximately at 155 GHz, and that of the largest section (no. 3) is at approximately 195 GHz. We find that this filter attenuates unwanted signals in the range from 115 to 142 GHz with a rejection larger than 40 dB. By increasing the number of resonator sections to seven (seven-pole filter), it is possible to get steeper attenuation roll-off compared with a five-pole filter. However, the upper rejection bandwidth with minimum 40 dB attenuation would then shrink to the range 115–138 GHz and thereby the 140 GHz gyrotron radiation would be inadequately rejected.

IV. E-PLANE AND H-PLANE BANDPASS FILTERS – COMPARISON

In our previous work, presented in Ref. 24, a similar bandpass filter was constructed using five resonator sections (poles) with a passband range from 100 to 110 GHz. The filter was divided into two halves using the H-plane cut, i.e., a cut at the position $b/2$ where $b$ is the short side of the waveguide (see Fig. 8). A comparison of measured S-parameters of the H-plane filter and the E-plane filter are presented in Figs. 9 and 10. We designed the H-plane filter to have a passband 4 GHz upshifted from our present E-plane filter. In Figs. 9 and 10, we therefore shift the x-axis of the E-plane filter by 4 GHz with respect to the x-axis of the H-plane filter, so that the S-parameters are easier to compare. Fig. 9 shows that the H-plane filter has, compared with the E-plane filter, a clear slope in the passband region with insertion loss around 4 dB at the low frequency end ($\approx$100 GHz) and around 2 dB at the high frequency end ($\approx$110 GHz). The E-plane filter has less than 1.4 dB insertion loss across its entire passband. The E-plane filter also has a significantly wider passband of about 10.37 GHz compared with that of the H-plane filter of about 9 GHz. One possible explanation for the high insertion loss in the passband of the H-plane filter is that the H-plane cut introduces a discontinuity perpendicular to the surface current flow of the fundamental $\text{TE}_{10}$ mode. Thereby the surface...
currents are strongly affected by the H-plane discontinuity. This does not happen for the E-plane cut, since the surface current flow of the fundamental TE_{10} mode is parallel with the E-plane. The surface currents are therefore only marginally affected by the E-plane discontinuity. The discontinuities in either case were not taken into account in the simulations. In Fig. 10, we show matchings at the input port 1 and the output port 2 for both the E-plane and the H-plane filters. For the H-plane filter, the first resonance at low frequencies does not create clear minima in S_{11} or S_{22}, whereas that of the E-plane filter creates clear minima. Only four resonances appear clearly in the case of H-plane filter, whereas the expected five resonances in the passband range of the E-plane filter clearly appear.

The S-parameters for the out-of-band region measured from 140 to 220 GHz are presented in Fig. 11 for both filters. The secondary passband for the E-plane filter appears in the range from approximately 155 to 195 GHz and for the H-plane filter from approximately 163 to 203 GHz. For both filters the shape of the S-parameters S_{11} and S_{22} are very similar. The secondary passband of the H-plane filter is upshifted by approximately 10 GHz compared with the E-plane filter. Furthermore, the H-plane filter insertion loss is somewhat higher at the lower frequency end of the secondary passband compared with the remaining part of the secondary passband. The insertion loss around 140 GHz is larger than 40 dB for both filter topologies as observed in the simulations.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have constructed a five-pole bandpass filter with a passband from 96 to 106 GHz. The filter is cut in two halves using the E-plane cut. Since the filter passband determined by initial simulations (topology based on the direct coupling theory) was narrower than expected, we scaled the section lengths l_k and iris openings d_k with factors Δ_l = 0.98 and Δ_d = 0.993, respectively. The scaled geometry provided S-parameters that were relatively close to the ideal values derived from Tchebyscheff’s synthesis. Further improvements of the filter response were possible by optimizing section lengths l_k and iris openings d_k individually or combined. It turned out that it is sufficient to optimize the first (and the last) iris opening and the first (and the last) section length after scaling all sections and all iris openings.

The measured insertion loss of the E-plane filter is lower than 1.4 dB in the passband. S-parameter measurements of the filter agree very well with simulations with a discrepancy no larger than 0.4 dB in the passband. The measured passband width is approximately 3.4% narrower than in the simulation. The filter shows very good out-of-band rejection properties up to 142 GHz. Finally, we compare measured S-parameters of our E-plane filter with those of a very similar H-plane filter. We find that the E-plane filter has superior transmission and reflection characteristics compared with the H-plane filter.
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